FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0481			Date of visit: 08/11/2021
Time spent on site:	Shours	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS1008	Site Name:	Attadale Broodstock Unit	
Business No: FB0461	Business Name:	River Carron Restoration Pro	pject
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to	fish present?	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	l?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Current stock: tank 1: 80, 2-3kg

tank 11: 611 +1 sea trout, 30- 40g Tank 12 and 3: 440 + 2 sea trout, 300g

tank 6 and 9, 17 wild salmon cock, 2kg-4kg (mainly grilse)

tank 7 and 8 13 wild salmon hens 2kg-7kg

Fry are first fed in shallow tanks at the Dunosdale and Glen Mhor sites. The fish then get transferred to Attadale for further on growing. Glen Mhor and Dunosdale are fed by burn and springs.

Since last inspection (2019) no signs of fungus on any fish, especially not sea trout broodstock.

Site has not treated fish since last Saprolegnia issue in 2019.

Peaks in Mortality:

02/11/2019 to 14/02/2020- 109 culled and dead mature sea trout, reason of mortality and cull was saprolegnia 10/06/2021 to 06/09/2021- 143, mixture of fry and mortality post fry transfer

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0481]	Site No:	FS1008				
Date of Visit:		08/11/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	•	<i>,</i> -					N	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect				_		
Total No facilities		20	Facilities sto	cked	8	No facilitie	s inspected	8
	SAL	TRO	SAL (Wild					
			Broodstock)					
Species								
	see	see	see					
	additional	additional	additional					
Age group	comments	comments	comments					
No Fish	1,131	3	30					
Mean Fish Wt	30g to 3kg	30 to 300g	2 to 7kg					
Next Fallow Date (Si		never fallow		Next Input Da	te (Site)	June 2022	•	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N/A
If yes, detail:					,, cocuped	(555		10//
 Movement records Date of last inspect Are records comp Are movement records comp Are records comp Are health certificat Transport Records	ction: lete and corre cords availab lete and corre ates for introd	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa	ible?	in a CTD\Q		29/10/2019	Y N/A N/A N/A
1. Are any movemen		• •	*	•				Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records?	?			Y
Mortality Records	211.6	" •						
Mortality records a		•						I
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	t?			Domestic was	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:			10					
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (None					
Evidence of recen		• •						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
		al comments						
7. Have increased (d	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 29/10/2019-98/11/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0481		Site No:	FS1008	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	•	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through corehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	,	1			
	equivalent statu	ıs ı from Category III farm	4	1			
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	i			0
products		esses with other farms	3	ł			
		t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed		, 1			0
osc of unpusicumocu recus	Feeding unpas	<u>'</u>	5	1			
Biosecurity	3 1	Number of sites	1] 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM
					· will		.III DIOIII

Case No:	2021-0481	3	Site No:	FS1008	
 Is the CoGP Farm M Does the site have a 	nced sea lice problei Management Area (or access to a range of amectin benzoate)	ms in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed sync licenced in-feed and bath s s well as access to suitable	thronously on a single ysea lice medications (in		d
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or		agement agreement or stat	ement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	
		nspection? (Legal SSI, Coo ed standard specified in the		_egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	•	pelow the suggested criteria	a for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	
		almonis) numbers per fish eriod that records are inspe		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o	r
•	•	n Health Inspectorate? If no ch is considered to cause s		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
				levels have exceeded the tions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action12. Have therapeutic tr	•	e applicable)? ons taken had a significant	impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?	
•		ed out in cooperation betwe	•		
14. Is there a harvestin sea lice?	ng strategy for the sit	e, where fewer populations	or part populations are	e held without treatment for	
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es		-	ypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognise	d
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stoc	ks reflect sea lice count da	ta? If no please detail i	reasons.	
Containment Inspecti	ion				
•	• •	nage due to predators in the		•	N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate agains	t the predation experience	d on site? (Detail belov	v)	Υ
If other, detail below:	:				
Tanks have lids, mink t	•				
Have escape incideIf Yes proceed with que		experienced on or in the vic	inity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N/A
4. Have these been rep		•			
		forthwith (where they exist	1)2 (CoGP - 4 4 37 5 4	4 17)	
•		•		st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	ny) used to recover e	scapees? If yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were deploading the Ministers? (Legal, CoG		agreed with local wild fish	interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish	
		inimise the risk of further e	scapes? (Not covered	in code but could	
be considered under 10. Is the site inspected	•	sures of the Act) regards to containment? I	If no, please detail reas	son(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2021-0481			Date of visit:	08/11/2021			
Site No:	FS1008	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Damant Communication								
Report Summary	D 1		- nd -					
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date 40/05/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	12/05/2022							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0461 Date of Visit 08/11/2021

SITE No FS1008 SITE NAME Attadale Broodstock Unit

Case No 20210481 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 12/05/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0487			Date of visit: 09/11/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0500 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Russel Burn The Scottish Salmon Company	y
Case Types: 1 VMD	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Applecross Smolt Unit (recent mortality):

Wk44: 26830, 1.99% Wk45: 66245, 4.98% Wk46: 50810, 4.07% Wk47: 7012, 0.59%

(Site manager could not remove culled fish from mortality total)

W/b 08/11/2021- 6.66% Mortality event

Some confusion regarding naming of the site. Site staff have been distinguishing between the smolt unit with Applecross and Russelburn, when they are operating under the same authorisation.

Paperwork and sampling completed by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2021-0487]	Site No:	FS0500							
Date of Visit:		09/11/2021]		Inspector(s):						
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails									
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ				
2. Changes made to	details?						Y				
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)								
Total No facilities		5/	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	3			
Species	SAL	SAL									
Age group	22 S1	22 S1									
No Fish	120,000	441,000									
Mean Fish Wt	40g	55g									
Next Fallow Date (S		No plans	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Dec 2021	<u> </u>				
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N			
If yes, detail:											
 Are records comp Are movement red Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement 	1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?										
Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y			
2. How are mortalitie		•			Whole fish - [Oundas Che	emicals				
If other detail:	<u> </u>										
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y			
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		See addition	al comments							
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical morta						N			
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:			•				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N			
If yes, detail:											
7. Have increased (u	nexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				Y			
If yes, detail action:		Reported to	FHI and comp	oany vets							
8. Have 'mortality ev					ality events sh	eet.		Y			

Treatments and Me	dicines Rec	orde			
Recent treatments					Y
T. Processia around in the	`	Florfenicol,			
If yes, detail:		T.M.S.			
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records	available for	inspection?			Y
3. Are records comp		•			Y
4. Are fish in a withdo		•			Y
5. If yes, what treatm	•		Formalin, T.M	S	_
If other, detail:	()		r ommann, rim		
6. Are medicines sto	red appropria	telv?			Y
		,			
Biosecurity Record	ls				
1. Biosecurity record		r inspection?			
· ·		•	rding and safe o	disposal been considered?	
				s or veterinary professional of any	
	•	at the site been included?		**	
, ,	•			on of the presence of a listed diseas	e
		v and when that will be no			
5. Has the health sta	itus of aquacu	Ilture animals being stocke	ed on the farm s	site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certific		_		\ . · · · ·	
	·	,			
6. Have the husband	lry and biosed	curity measures implement	ted between ea	ch epidemiological unit to minimise	
	•	•		ent, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		•		he physical containment of	
aquaculture animals		,		• •	
•		s been adequately implem	ented on site?		
If no, detail:	,	. , ,			
Results of Surveilla	ance				
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveilla	nce been carried out by, o	r on behalf of, tl	he business?	
2. If yes, are results		• •	,		
3. Any significant res					
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under	recent disease problems).			
F	Records check	red between:	26/01/21 - 09/	11/21	

	TI 059, Version 15			_				153	su c u by.				
	Case no:	2021-04	187	Site No		FS0500			Date of		09/	11/2021	09/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplir	ig. Hi		l	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:0	0:00	16:0	0:00	l	Inspect	or:		l	VMD N	0.	18
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5]	
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total S	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-60
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
	Average weight												
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW
Stock Details		n Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	വ Stofnfiskur	அ Stofnfiskur	o Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	9) Stofnfiskur	න Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	9) Stofnfiskur	9 Stofnfiskur	ക Stofnfiskur
S	I acility NO	5	5	ວ	ວ	O .	5	0	O	6	0	0	O

1111038	, versio	11 13						155	ucu by.		
11/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Info	rmation:							
0		Total T	ests ass	signed	0						
				_							
61-65	66-70	71-75	76-80	81-85							
01-03	00-70	11-13	70-00	01-03							
SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL							
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							
FW	FW	FW	FW	FW							
Ę	j.	'n	j	Ę							
fisk	fisk	fisk	fisk	fisk							
Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur							
7	7	7	7	7							
1	1	1	1	1							

Site No: FS0500

Case No: 2021-0487

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0487			Date of visit:	09/11/2021							
Site No:	FS0500	1		Inspector:		1						
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
	1											
Report Summary												
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
VMD	12/05/2022											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 Date of Visit 09/11/2021

SITE NO FS0500 SITE NAME Applecross Smolt Unit

Case No 20210487 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

The above site was visited in accordance with the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 12/05/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0551			Date of visit: 09/11/2021		
Time spent on site:	nr	Main Inspecto	r:		
Site No: FS1336 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Hatchery The Scottish Salmon Company	,		
Case Types: 1 REG 2	3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional inform	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:					


Additional Case Information:

Site inspected to collect information regarding disinfection procedures.

Paperwork completed by supervised by supervised by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		Is	sued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12	2/05/2020	
Case No: 202	21-0551		Site No:	FS1336			
Date of Visit:		09/11/2021]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Authoris							
 Business/site details Changes made to de 	•	ed by site represe	ntative?				
z. Onanges made to de	italis:						
Site Details (include c	leaner fish for	all sections)	_				
Total No facilities	1	1	Facilities stocked		5		
Species SAL							
	22 S1						
	3,121						
Mean Fish Wt 77g							
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Continuous		Next Input Date (S	_ ′		
Recent (last 4 wks) dise	•			Y	Any escapes (since		
If yes, detail: Fun	ngus						
Movement Records							
Movement records a	vailable for inspe	ection?					
2. Date of last inspection	•	John I					
2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?							
5. Are records complete							
6. Are health certificate	•		ailable?				
Transport Records							
1. Are any movements	carried out by (o	r on behalf) of the	husiness (not using	ı a STR)?			
If yes, is there a system	• •		•	, u O 1 D) .			
, ,	, p.a.c						
Mortality Records							
 Mortality records ava 	•	tion?					
2. How are mortalities of	disposed of?				Whole fish - Dunda		
If other detail:							
3. Mortality records con	•	ctly entered?					
4. Recent mortality (las			Fry 2021: Wk44, 1	075, 0.6%; Wk45, 1	1260, 0.04%;Wk46, 1		
5. Evidence of recent in	• •						
If yes, facility nos/no mo	ortality per facility	y/no stock per facil	lity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in m	nortality during p	eriod checked?					
If yes, detail:	lortainty during p	criou oriconcu.					
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							
If yes, detail action:							
8. Have 'mortality event	ts' been reported	to FHI? If no, ente	er details on mortali	ty events sheet.			

_ , , ,
Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increase
been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is d
when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status)
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transn
(movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture ar
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
in no, detail.
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,



TTII 000, VEISIOII 10	15.
ed (unexplained) mortality at the site	
ed (unexplained) mortality at the site	
etected been included and how and	
status, certification if required)?	
sission of discoss been severed	
nission of disease been covered	
nimals held on site?	

Case No:	2021-0551			Date of visit:	09/11/2021			
Site No:	FS1336	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type REG	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	12/05/2022							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 Date of Visit 09/11/2021

SITE No FS1336 SITE NAME Applecross Hatchery

Case No 20210551 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter

Date: 12/05/2022