

Case No: 2021-0079 Date of visit: 28/04/2021

Time spent on site: 2 hours Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0520 Site Name: South Shian Bay 2
Business No: SB0333 Business Name: Shian Fisheries Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 8 Thermometer No: T146 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Section to be added to BMP with regards to Bonamia restrictions and section on containment.

Site is used as a nursery site with input from Morecambe and Guernsey before being moved off site to Isle of Mull Oysters

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="85"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="85"/>	No facilities inspected	
Species	<input type="text" value="CGI"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="Juv"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="1,000,000"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="10g"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="none"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="none planned"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?


2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

1/10/19- 28/4/21



85
N

Y
Y
N/A
N/A
Y


Y
Y
N

N
N/A
N/A

Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
N

Case Number: **2021-0079** Site No: **SS0520**  
 Date of Visit: **28/04/2021** Inspector: **[REDACTED]**

**Number of Susceptible species on site**

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to <i>Bonamia ostrea</i> (OED)	0	25	
Susceptible to <i>Marteilia refringens</i> (OED, MED)	0	3	
Susceptible to OshV (CGI)	0	3	<b>3</b>

**Sites within a tidal excursion**

	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion			
	0	2	10	<b>2</b>

**Live shellfish movements**

	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS			
	0	5	10	<b>5</b>
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			
	0	10	20	
	Number of suppliers			<b>5</b>
	0	5	10	

**Movements off**

Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas			
	0	1	2	
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas			
	0	3	6	<b>6</b>
	Number of destinations			<b>3</b>
	0	3	6	

**Management practices**

	None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area			
	0	1	2	<b>0</b>
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area			
	0	2	6	
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area			
	0	4	8	

**Biosecurity**

	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase				
	0	1	2	<b>0</b>	
	Sites sharing staff and equipment				
	0	1	5		
				<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc			0	2
				<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

**Total Risk**

**26**  
**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2021-0079      Date of visit: 28/04/2021  
Site No: SS0520      Inspector:                         

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
ECl	17/06/2021		



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0333	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	28/04/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0520	<b>SITE NAME</b>	South Shian Bay 2
<b>CASE No</b>	20210079	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Date: 17/06/2021

R25

## Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

## Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area<sup>(1)</sup> to;

(a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and

(b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement **[delete as appropriate]**

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

**NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].**

- **Fish health management**  
This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- **The management of parasites<sup>(2)</sup>**  
This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms  
This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish  
This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting  
This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement & identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

<sup>(1)</sup> Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

<sup>(2)</sup> Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtheirus salmonis*

Case No: 2021-0080 Date of visit: 29/04/2002

Time spent on site: 2 hours Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0052 Site Name: College Mill  
Business No: FB0043 Business Name: College Mill Trout Farm

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): 7 Thermometer No: T146 FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA: [ ]

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

The site was followed 29/11/16 for work on the flood defences and did not restock until March 2020. A trial of 10000 RTR at 5g was put into the tanks. Two weeks after input failure of the tipping weir, which bounced shut due to a build up of slit, led to failure of water supply to the tanks and the fish died. Water level alarms also failed. The automatic function of the tilting weir has been disabled and it is now only operated manually. High and low level water alarms have been fixed. Morts were collected for disposal by the council.

No treatments on site. Fish are dip vaccinated for ERM and fallow ponds treated with Copper Sulphate.

BMP was available but requires to be updated in line with new development of the site.

Request for information on waste disposal options.

Business plan has moved from supplying fisheries to supplying a smaller number of aquaculture sites. No production for the table market.

Case No: **2021-0080** Site No: **FS0052**

Date of Visit: **29/04/2022** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?  Y
- 2. Changes made to details?  Y

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	26		Facilities stocked	10		No facilities inspected	26	
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	TRO		
Age group	fingerling	fingerling	fingerling	grower	grower	fingerling		
No Fish	19,000	1,837	8,312	9,299	1,500	1,998		
Mean Fish Wt	10g	8oz	5oz	1lb	1kg	3oz		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	none			Next Input Date (Site)		not known		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?				N		Any escapes (since last visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	[REDACTED]							

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?  Y
- 2. Date of last inspection:  fallow since 2016
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  Y
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  N/A

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  Y
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?  Y

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?  Y
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?  Other (detail)
- If other detail:  Council collection and disposal service
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?  Y
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):  mort levels for the past 4 weeks have been between 10 to 20 fish per week.
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  N
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: [REDACTED]
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?  Y
- If yes, detail:  water failure to trial fish input- two weeks post input
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?  N/A
- If yes, detail action: [REDACTED]
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.  N/A

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail: <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail: <input type="text"/>		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). <input type="text"/>		

Records checked between:	<input type="text"/>	Nov 2020 - 29/4/12
--------------------------	----------------------	--------------------



Case Number:	2021-0080	Site No:	FS0052	Insp:		
Date of Visit	29/04/2002	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				
	No	3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>17</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No:

Site No:

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and are these implemented in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

bird nets and fence around site to prevent otter predation.

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: **2021-0080** Site No: **FS0052** Date of visit: **29/04/2002**

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
01/03/20	01/03/2020	1st feed to 5g	5g	RTR		Weekly	100.00	Explained	Water failure

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	10000	Failure of tilting weir and water level alarms on trial input following redevelopment of site	information collected during site visit. 20210080 - not reported as two weeks post input.	

Case No: **2021-0080** Date of visit: **29/04/2022**  
 Site No: **FS0052** Inspector: **[REDACTED]**

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
ECI, CNI	21/06/2021		

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0043	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	29/04/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0052	<b>SITE NAME</b>	College Mill
<b>CASE No</b>	20210080	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007


The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 21/06/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0103 Date of visit: 25/04/2021

Time spent on site: 5 hours Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS1061 Site Name: Inverkerry Hatchery  
Business No: FB0061 Business Name: Landcatch Natural Selection Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 8.3 Thermometer No: T155 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: HI Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]



**Additional Case Information:**

Fish have only been stocked for 4 days. Site has not been stocked since 2010 so no mortality records to inspect. Same for treatment records and results of health surveillance. Fry looked good and have had no issues since input.

plan to have 3 compatchs for eggs installed. This has been delayed. Currently only fry on site. Site has not been stocked with fry since 2010.

Currently fish are moved on from Ormsary and then are going to be stocked into the inverkerry smolt unit.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="9"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="9"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="9"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="22 S1's"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="828,000"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="0.2g"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="September"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="not known"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="text"/>
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="text" value="N"/>
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
If no, detail:	<input type="text"/>

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="text"/>
3. Any significant results?	<input type="text"/>
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<input type="text"/>

Records checked between:	<input type="text" value="10/11/2020-25/04/2021"/>
--------------------------	--

Case Number:	2021-0103	Site No:	FS1061	Insp:		
Date of Visit	25/04/2021	<b>No of movements/supp./dest.</b>			<b>Score</b>	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>14</b>	<b>LOW</b>

Case No: 2021-0103

Site No: FS1061

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)   

top nets	Electric fencing
----------	------------------
- If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0061	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	25/04/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1061	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Inverkerry Hatchery
<b>CASE No</b>	20210103	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 19/05/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>