FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		ate of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0636			Date of v	risit: 23/10/2019
Time spent on site:	min	Mai	n Inspector:	
Site No: SS0359 Business No: SB0523	Site Name: Business Name:	Sgeir Liath Lochnell Oysters		
Case Types: 1 INF	2 3	4 5	6	\supset
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045	completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S CoGF	MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see addit	ional information/clin ional information/clin ional information/clin	ical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:		

Information received from commercial samples of 7/30 positive results for Bonamia ostreae by PCR. Inspector to collect further samples at low tide next week. IDN placed 23/10/19.

Case No:	2019-0636			Date of visit:	23/10/2019			
Site No:	SS0359	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notificat	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
INF- no report	30/10/2019	шэр	2 insp					
IN - No report	30/10/2013							

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0639			Date of visit: 30/10/2019
Time spent on site: 5.	5 hrs	Main Ins	spector:
Site No: FS1084 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Isle Ewe Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-15
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additiona	Il information/clinical score sheet. Il information/clinical score sheet. Il information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Fish on site appeared in good condition on inspection. Staying low in water but coming up for feed. Showing normal behaviour. Lice skirts present on site to aid control of sea lice levels. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy internally, all feeding well. Once site is fallow unsure if site will be used again.

Wild wrasse from introduced to site 20/05/2019 and again on the 21/10/2019. Movement records of cleanerfish kept seperate from salmon movement records. Wrasse fed medicated feed (florocol) over a 10 day period beginning on 15/09/2019. Withdrawal period stated as N/A on prescription as cleaner fish not desired for human consumption.

Mortality peaks noted in previous cycle. 17/09/18 - 23/09 (3057, 1.36%), 22/10/18 - 28/10/18 (7382, 3.4%), 5/11/18 - 11/11/18 (6679, 3.24%) and 12/11/18 - 18/11/18 (4852, 2.4%), no specific pens affected. CMS and gill anaemia gradually worked its way around entire site. Site also affected by plankton insult. These had already been reported to FHI.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0639		Site No:	FS1084				
Date of Visit:		30/10/2019	9		Inspector(s)):]
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	7
Site Details								
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group	2019 Q2	Wild						
No Fish	272,136	24,249						
Mean Fish Wt	1.26kg	n/a						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Sep./Oct. 20)20	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	no plans		
Recent (last 4 wks) (disease probl	lems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					01/11/2017	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?				01/11/201.	l N
4. Are movement re								Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	ductions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		it by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst			•	•	_			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		30/09 (344,0 (1004,0.3979).126%), 7/10 (%)	392,0.143%)	, 14/10 (790,	,0.289%), 21/	10
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
	- 10		. 10					
6. Any other peaks in								Y
If yes, detail:		nal information		t an EUI2				•
7. Have increased (ulif yes, detail action:	inexplained)) vet or FHI?				1
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	Fish harvest		IRT case and (enter on mort	ality events	sheet	Y
o. Have mortant, c.	CINO DOCI	ported to	i ii iio, aaa	introduce direct	Silici on inc.	lanty over the s	Jiloot.	

ii otilei, detaii.		
Medicines records	s available for inspection?	Y
•	lete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withd	rawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	- Is	
_	ls available for inspection?	Y
	and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner a	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplain	ned) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action tha	at will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been incl	luded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health sta	atus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	cation if required)?	
	dry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ase been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals		
	rity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveilla	ance	
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
•	available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant res	·	N
•	etailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
F	Records checked between: 01/11/2017 - 30/10/2019	

	111 059, VEISIOII 12							133	ded by. I I				
	Case no:	2019-06	39	Site No:		FS1084	1		Date of vis		30/1	10/2019	30/
ı	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		н			
	Time sampling starts/ends:						Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
ı	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	P	Α		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
Г	Pool Group												
Г	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	1.2600	1.2600	1.2600	1.2600								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Lough Alten (ROI)	o Lough Alten (ROI)	မ Lough Alten (ROI)	ச Lough Alten (ROI)								
U	,	•	_	•	•								

10/2019	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0	ı	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1					

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0639		Site No:	FS1084		Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
IVIOVEITIETIUS OII	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contact	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		Ш
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent water	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	_	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			
CoGP/Regulator				J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0]			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		1 0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		24 MEDIUM

Case No:	2019-0639		S	Site No:	FS1084]	
Sea Lice Inspection (s in the previous 4 years	s?				N
•	•	equivalent) fallowed syn		a single y	ear class basis?		Υ
3. Does the site have a	access to a range of licamectin benzoate) as	enced in-feed and bath well as access to suitab	sea lice medica	ations (inc	cluding deltamethrin,	ures, and	Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or	_	ement agreement or sta	atement relevar	nt to the si	ite and CoGP Farm		Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the (CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anno	ex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?		low the suggested criter	ria for treatmen	t in the Co	oGP during the period	I that	Υ
	•	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish od that records are insp		el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish I	Health Inspectorate? If n	no, FHI see com	nment.			N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welf	fare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)	N
•		istered or other actions is elongatus is considered					N/A
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where	applicable)?					N/A
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significar	nt impact upon t	the lice le	vels recorded?		N/A
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation betw	veen participati	ng farms?	?		N/A
		where fewer population		_		nt for	Y
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es		ement procedure with wiinfestation?	aypoints descri	bing set a	actions to deal with re	cognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no pleas	se detail re	easons.		Υ
Containment Inspecti	ion						
Has the site experient	nced equipment dama	ge due to predators in ti	he current or pr	revious pr	oduction cycles?		N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against	the predation experience	ed on site? (De	tail below	")		Υ
A.D.D, Tension Nets	, Top Nets, MML						
If other, detail below:							
3 Have escape incide	nts or events been ex	perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site	e since the	e last FHI inspection?	,	N
If Yes proceed with que							
4. Have these been rep		•					
		orthwith (where they exis					
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where	they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If gill nets were depl	oyed was this action a	greed with local wild fish	n interests and	was perm	ission given by Scott	ish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG				,			
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and min	imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not	covered in	n code but could		
be considered under	r satisfactory measu	res of the Act)					
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with r	egards to containment?	If no, please d	etail reas	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/20)/2018
Case No: 2019-0639	Site No: FS1084		
Date of Visit: 30/	10/2019 Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection	located within a farm management area?	Y	1
If N, no further questions requir	e completion.		Ī
Points of Compliance for Bot	h Farm Management Agreements and Stat	ements	
3. Is the current FMAg/S availal4. Does the FMAg/S identify the5. Does the FMAg/S identify the	e relevant farm management area? e fish farm site(s) to which it applies? e date of commencement of the agreement or	Y Y Y	
Arrangements for Fish Health	n Management		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the farm?	e minimum health standards for the stocks to l	be introduced to the area or	•
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the	e vaccination requirements for stocks held in the species of fish which may be stocked into the maximum stocking density of any pen on a	the area or farm?]
	ne arrangements for the storage and disposal vidual farm?	of any dead fish from any	1
Arrangements for The Manag	ement of Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify a	rrangements for the sharing of data on sea lic	e numbers and treatments?	1
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the of statement?	ne availability and the use of medicines on farm	ms covered by the agreement Y	3
15. Does the FMAg/S identify a lice on farms in the area or indiv	ny requirements for the sensitivity testing of a		
	ne circumstances under which biological contr	rols and cleaner fish are to be	1
	ne arrangements for synchronous treatments	on farms within the area?	1
Live Fish Movements			
area or farm?	ne circumstances when live fish may be introd ne arrangements for the movement of live fish)

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify accepta	ble harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	lual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the date date when a farm or area may be resto	es by which the area or individual farm will be fallow ocked?	and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto site	es covered by the Y
	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept ont?	on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Mana	gement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agree parties to the agreement?	ement include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed an	nd operated in accordance with the agreement or sta	atement?
26. What is the version no/date of issu	ue of the FMAg/S? 12/04/2019	

Freq: Date of Notification Database Insp	Case No:	2019-0639			Date of visit:	30/10/2019			
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2nd Insp	Site No:	FS1084	l		Inspector:		l		
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2nd Insp	Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
Report Summary Report Summary Case Type Date Insp. 2nd Insp. 2			Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp									
Report Summary Case Type Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD O7/11/2019 Date Insp. 2 nd Insp. I									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp									
Report Summary Case Type Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019 2nd Insp 2nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019 The state of the									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019 Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp 2nd Insp 2nd Insp 3nd Insp 3nd Insp 3nd Insp 3nd Insp 3nd Insp 3nd Insp									
Report Summary Case Type Date ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp 2nd Insp 2nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019 Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD O7/11/2019									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019	Poport Summan								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019	O T	D-4-	I	and .					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 07/11/2019	Case Type			2" Insp					
	ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	07/11/2019							





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 30/10/2019

 Site No
 FS1084
 Site Name
 Isle Ewe

 Inspector
 Case No
 20190639

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• Site numbers of the site source or destination for movements on and off site were not being recorded in the movement records book. They are to be recorded from now on.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 07/11/2019
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12	I	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0640			Date of visit: 28/10/2019
Time spent on site:	hr	Main I	nspector:
Site No: SS0476 Business No: SB0502	Site Name: Business Name:	Seafield Centre Seafield Oysters	
Case Types: 1 REG 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	
	No access to boat. Wat	er level also too high to see	trestles.

Not able to inspect site as site operator has taken boat out of the water for the winter period and water level too high to see trestles. Full ECI paperwork carried out. Site operator not planning to continue using site after current stock is sold. Operator advised to contact FHI to either inactivate or deregister site once fallow. Site expected to be fallow by the end of 2020.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0640		Site No:	SS0476	5			
Date of Visit:		28/10/2019	9		Inspector(s):]
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	- -
Site Details								
Total No facilities		24	Facilities sto	ocked	8	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	OED	CGI					T	
Age group	1999	2016						
No Fish	2 bags	8 bags						
Mean Fish Wt	~100g	~50g						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Dec. 2020		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	no plan to	restock	
Recent (last 4 wks) (disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	es (since last	visit)?	N
Movement Records								
Movement record Date of last inspects Are records comp Are movement records.	s available fo ction: llete and corr	ectly entered	?	·			09/10/2017	Y Y N/A
5. Are records comp6. Are health certific		-		able?				N/A N/A
Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system.	nts carried ou		•	•	_			
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities of their detail:		•			Domestic w	/aste - <25kg		
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•			mortalities wit	hin last 4 we	eks		
5. Evidence of recer If yes, facility nos/no	nt increased/a	• •	lities?					N
ii yes, lacility 1105/110	mortality per	racility/110 St	ock per lacility	ricason.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	hecked?					N
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (to the second of the se	unexplained)	mortalities be	een reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			·					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	l? If no, add M	IRT case and	enter on mor	tality events :	sheet.	N/A

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher

Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise

transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of

Results of Surveillance

If no. detail:

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

health status, certification if required)?

aquaculture animals held on site?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

09/10/2017 - 28/10/2019

Total Risk

Case No:	2019-0640			Date of visit:	28/10/2019			
Site No:	SS0476	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summany				1				
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inch	and Image					
REG	04/11/2019	Insp	2 nd Insp					
NLO	04/11/2019							





Seafield Oysters 10 Achintraid Strathcarron Ross-shire IV54 8XB

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0502 SITE NO SS0476 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 28/10/2019
SITE NAME Seafield Centre
CASE NO 20190640

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The business was contacted in relation to the above site, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

Site was due full inspection but this could not be carried out due to sea level being too high and lack of access to a boat.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. However, due to the fact of native oysters being susceptible to *Bonamia ostrea* an inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually.


The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 04/11/2019

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0641			Date of visit: 29/10/2019
Time spent on site:	1 hr	Main	Inspector:
Site No: FS1008 Business No: FB0461	Site Name: Business Name:	Attadale Broodstock U River Carron Restorati	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): <14	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	Y If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Site catches wild salmon from r. Carron to collect eggs. Eggs are then taken to Glen Mor or Dunosdale sites before returning to Attadale prior to release over the Carron system. Sea trout brood fish also held on site and stripped every year to release fry across the Carron system as well. Part of the river Carron restoration project.

In 2018 an otter was getting into tanks and taking a few fish by pushing weights off covers of feeding vents of tanks. The vents have since now been fully closed.

Signs of fungus on sea trout brood fish but mortality levels remaining low with no sign of increase. All other stock looking healthy.

Water temperature forgot to be taken but definitely was below 14oC.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0641]	Site No:	FS1008				
Date of Visit:		29/10/201	9		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Authornamental 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N]
Site Details								
Total No facilities		20	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	s inspected	20
Species	TRO	TRO	SAL					
Age group	parr	2015	2019					
No Fish	1,500	240	21					
Mean Fish Wt	8g	1.2kg	~3kg					
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	never fallow	/	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	June 2020		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last \	visit)?	N
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inapaction?	,					V
2. Date of last inspe		or inspection:					16/11/2016	'
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	2				10/11/2010	N
4. Are movement re		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		-		able?				N/A
Transport Records	;							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	ut by (or on be	ehalf) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place	for maintena	nce of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a								Y
How are mortalitiesIf other detail:	es disposed o	of?			Domestic wa	ste - <25kg		
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•	,		hole site since	02/10/19 - 29	/10/19		
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	uring period c	hecked?					N
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	een reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FH	I? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	heet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail:		N
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspe		Y
Are records complete and correctly electrical and correctly electr	ntered?	T N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		T T
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for insper	ection?	Y
•	ortality removal, recording and safe disposal bee	en considered? Y
3. Has the manner and period in which t	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterina	ary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the	e site been included?	Y
4. Use the potion that will be taken in th		Y
	e event that the presence or suspicion of the pro- when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
	animals being stocked on the farm site been co	
health status, certification if required)?	4. m. m. d.	70104 (24.4 2. 13
•	measures implemented between each epidemic	
	movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or d	
aquaculture animals held on site?	the measures in place to maintain the physical	containment or
Have the biosecurity procedures been	n adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance be	een carried out by, or on behalf of, the business	s? N

16/11/2016 - 29/10/2019

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI				Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0641		Si	te No:	FS1008		lnsp:	
Date of Visit	29/10/2019		No	No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements				0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS		0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone	or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	_	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	$\overline{}$	0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of des		_	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	<u> </u>	Site conta	acts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category on or within 1 tidal excursion		1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category or or within 1 tidal excursion		1	3	6		Ш
		or in a coastal zone with category on or within 1 tidal excursion	V	1	4	8		Ш
Management practices			No	one	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent wa	aters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro			0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)		1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status			2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status			4				
		n from Category III farm		8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm		10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.		0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms		3				П
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms		5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed		0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed		5				ш
Biosecurity		Number of s	sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment		0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			0				
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No			1				1
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes			0				
with regulator or industry code of practice	No			3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes			0				0
	No			2				
						Total Rank		18 MEDIUM

Case No:	2019-0641		Site No:	FS1008	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)				
• • •	••	in the previous 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	anagement Area (or ed	uivalent) fallowed synchr	ronously on a single ye	ear class basis?	
	-	nced in-feed and bath sea			
azamethiphos and ema	amectin benzoate) as v	ell as access to suitable		hanical control measures,	and
can these be deployed					
4. Is there a signed doc Management Area (or e		ment agreement or stater	ment relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGF	P Annex 6)		
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required s	tandard specified in the S	SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		w the suggested criteria	for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that	
		onis) numbers per fish bed that records are inspect		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no,	FHI see comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which i	s considered to cause sig	gnificant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50	0)
				levels have exceeded the ions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.5	1)
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where a	oplicable)?			
12. Have therapeutic tro	eatments or the actions	taken had a significant in	mpact upon the lice le	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation betwee	en participating farms?	•	
		•		held without treatment for	
			points describing set a	ctions to deal with recogni	sed
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data	n? If no please detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspecti	on				
•		e due to predators in the	current or previous pro	oduction cycles?	Y
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against th	e predation experienced	on site? (Detail below)	Y
Tank lids				,	
If other, detail below:					
ii otilor, dotali bolow.					
3. Have escape incide	nts or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicin	ity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que			•	·	
4. Have these been rep	•	•			
5. Have these been rep	oorted to local DSFB for	thwith (where they exist)?	? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	.17)	
				t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail			$\overline{}$
		reed with local wild fish in	terests and was perm	ission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG					
		nise the risk of further esc	capes? (Not covered in	n code but could	
	satisfactory measure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If r	no, please detail reaso	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Case No:	2019-0641			Date of visit:	29/10/2019			
Site No:	FS1008	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notificat	tion		
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	_							
	Date	Inco	and I					
Case Type ECI, CNI		Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CIVI	04/11/2019							
	-							
	1							
			—					
								





River Carron Restoration Project Dunosdale Lochcarron Ross-shire IV54 8YH

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0461 SITE NO FS1008 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 29/10/2019
SITE NAME Attadale Broodstock Unit
CASE No 20190641

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• Site numbers of the site source or destination for movements on and off site were not being recorded in the movement records book. They are to be recorded from now on.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 04/11/2019

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0642			Date of visit: 29/10/2019
Time spent on site:	30 min	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0760 Business No: FB0461	Site Name: Business Name:	Seafield Hatchery River Carron Restoration Proj	ect
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	il reason below:	
		Site fallow	

Site reported to be fallow and has been since 2018. Site not planned to be used again. Manager informed to contact FHI to either deregister site or make it inactive. Movement and mortality records not available for inspection due to miscommunication between case inspector and site manager.

FHI 059, Version 12	!		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0642		Site No:	FS0760				
Date of Visit:		29/10/2019)		Inspector(s):	:]
Registration/Authornal 1. Business/site det 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			Y N	}
Site Details								
Total No facilities Species	fallow	12	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Age group No Fish	Tallow							
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	site fallow		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	no plans		
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease proble	ems?		N/A	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N/A
Movement Record	s							
1. Movement record	ds available for	r inspection?						N
2. Date of last inspe							28/07/2015	
3. Are records comp		•						N/A
4. Are movement re				1				N/A
Are records compAre health certific		•		able?				N/A N/A
Transport Records		uctions (outv	Alli Ob) availe	able:				1307
Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys				•	_			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?						N
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed of	?			Domestic wa	aste - <25kg		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent						N/A
4. Recent mortality	· ·		site fallow					NI/A
5. Evidence of recei		• •						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per racility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			5 17 I-I V					NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been rer	ported to FHI	i? If no. add IV	/IRT case and e	enter on mort	ality events :	sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail: If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspections.Are records complete and correctly enter		
 Are fecolds complete and correctly enter Are fish in a withdrawal period? 	neu :	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
• •	ality removal, recording and safe disposal be APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterina	
	event that the presence or suspicion of the prevent that will be notified to Scottish Ministers'	
Has the health status of aquaculture ani health status, certification if required)?	imals being stocked on the farm site been co	overed (equal or higher
	easures implemented between each epidemic overnent of staff, visitors, equipment, live or o	
7. Is documentation available regarding the aquaculture animals held on site?	e measures in place to maintain the physical	containment of
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been a lf no, detail:	dequately implemented on site?	
Results of Surveillance		

28/07/2015 - 29/10/2019

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

Case No:	2019-0642	Date of visit: 29/10/2019								
Site No:	FS0760	1		Inspector:		1				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notificat					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
REG	04/11/2019									
		_								





River Carron Restoration Project Dunosdale Lochcarron Ross-shire IV54 8YH

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0461
SITE NO FS0760
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 29/10/2019
SITE NAME Seafield Hatchery 20190642

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The operator of the above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Site said to be fallow. A full site inspection will be organised for a later date.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 04/11/2019

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018						
Case No: 2019-0643			Date of visit: 28/10/2019						
Time spent on site:	1.5h	Main Inspe	ector:						
Site No: FS0913 Business No: FB0391	Site Name: Business Name:	Wester Fearn Highland Salmon Company	y Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 5.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Water very dark and peaty, limited visibility. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Fish sampled for VMD appear healthy.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 08/10/2018	
Case No:	2019-0643		Site No:	FS0913					
Date of Visit:		28/10/2019			Inspector(s):			1	
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		te representa	itive?			Y N		
Site Details						_			
Total No facilities Species	SAL	1 hatchery, 14 tanks	Facilities sto	cked	11 tanks	No facilitie	s inspected	1 hatchery, 14 tanks	
Age group No Fish	2020 S1 348,600								
Mean Fish Wt	40g	End of Nove		Northwest Da	(Cita)	F.h	2020		
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	End of Nover	nber 2019	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	February 2	2020		
Recent (last 4 wks) of If yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
Movement Records	<u> </u>								
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?									
Transport Records 1. Are any movemer If yes, is there a syst	nts carried out		•		_			N	
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a 2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	es disposed of	f?			Other (detail)		Y	
3. Mortality records of 4. Recent mortality (complete and		red?	es on site er day, low do	uble digit num	bers culled	during vaccin	Y ation.	
5. Evidence of recently yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				N	
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:				aa dha y daala	ita faile d fin t	fa a alarra		Y	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?									
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI?	If no, add M	RT case and e	enter on morta	ality events	sheet.	N/A	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018								
Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?		Y								
If other, detail:	M.S.									
2. Medicines records available for ins		Y								
 Are records complete and correctly Are fish in a withdrawal period? 	entered?	Y								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.									
If other, detail:										
6. Are medicines stored appropriately	?	Y								
Biosecurity Records										
1. Biosecurity records available for ins	spection?	Y								
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?										
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?										

2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Popardo chacked between: 20/10/2019 29/10/2010	

	11 055, VEISION 12								ded by. I				
	Case no:	2019-06	643	Site No:		FS0913			Date of v		28/	10/2019	28/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	16:0	00:00	16:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	3
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-3	4-9				$\overline{}$						
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL						$\overline{}$				
	Average weight	40g	40g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	FW	FW										
		_											
		anc a	and										
Sis		<u> </u>	<u>ë</u>										
Details	i	5											
		MOWI Ireland	MOWI Ireland										
Stock	Stock Origin												
St	Facility No	8	10										

	······································													
10/2019	10/2019 Additional Sample Information:													
3 fish per sample														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0643		Site No:	FS0913		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
Special Control of the Control of th	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	3
Movements on	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0				
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	,	0				${f \square}$
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III					-
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		ш
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
	Tallino apolioali						$\overline{}$
Management practices	l A my , my a a a a ima		None	Secure	Unsecure		_
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				$\overline{}$
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				ш
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				-
	Processing fish	r from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	Ī			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				-
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				-
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				ш
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3	1			\vdash
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		17
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2019-0643	:	Site No:	FS0913	
Sea Lice Inspection (
•	nced sea lice problems in the pr	•			
	lanagement Area (or equivalent				
azamethiphos and ema can these be deployed 4. Is there a signed do	access to a range of licenced in- amectin benzoate) as well as a in a reasonable period of time? cumented farm management ag	ccess to suitable biological a	nd/or mec	hanical control measu	res, and
Management Area (or					
	ecords available for inspection?				
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard	specified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	x 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the size (CoGP Annex 6)	uggested criteria for treatme	nt in the Co	oGP during the period	that
_	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) no 0/6/19) during the period that re	•	el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/	6/19) or
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish Health Ins	pectorate? If no, FHI see co	mment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is consid	lered to cause significant we	lfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	.3.50)
	eatments been administered or reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>				
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where applicable	9)?			
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions taken h	ad a significant impact upon	the lice le	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried out in co	operation between participat	ting farms?	?	
sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fe				
	ific written lice management pro scalation of a sea lice infestation		ribing set a	actions to deal with rec	ognised
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect s	ea lice count data? If no plea	ise detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspecti	on				
•	nced equipment damage due to	predators in the current or p	revious pr	oduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against the preda	tion experienced on site? (D	etail below	')	Y
If other, detail below:					
top nets, jump netting,					
3. Have escape incide	nts or events been experienced	on or in the vicinity of the si	te since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to ques	tion 10			
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	l.17)	
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fis	heries trusts forthwith (where	e they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? I	f yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were depl	oyed was this action agreed wit	n local wild fish interests and	was perm	ission given by Scottis	h
Ministers? (Legal, CoG			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minimise the	risk of further escapes? (No	t covered in	n code but could	
be considered under	r satisfactory measures of the	e Act)			
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with regards to	containment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Site No: FS0913 Case No: 2019-0643

Nature of non-compliance: Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2019-0643			Date of visit:	28/10/2019			
				'				
Site No:	FS0913			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notificat			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary		-						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	01/11/2019							





Highland Salmon Company Ltd New House Ardchronie Ardgay, Sutherland IV24 3DJ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0391 Site No FS0913 Inspector DATE OF VISIT 28/10/2019
SITE NAME Wester Fearn
CASE NO 20190643

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 01/11/2019

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Da	ate of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2019-0644			Date of vi	sit: 29/10/2019					
Time spent on site: 4.	5h	Ma	ain Inspector:						
Site No: FS0612 Business No: FB0341	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Merkland Migdale Smolts Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6	\supset					
Water Temp (°C): 7.8	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 c	completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F CoGP	MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Water dark and peaty, visibility limited. No moribund fish observed. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0644]	Site No:	FS0612				
Date of Visit:		29/10/2019]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ils summary		ite representa	ative?			Y N	
Site Details								
Total No facilities		20	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	20
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	2019 S0	2020 S1						
No Fish	114,277	51,336						
Mean Fish Wt	130g	65g						
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	November 2	019	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	May/June	2020	
					1			
Recent (last 4 wks) of	lisease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	;							
1. Movement records	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		•					05/12/2018	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
4. Are movement red	ords availab	le for dead fis	h and waste?	•				N/A
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					N/A
Are health certification	ates for introd	ductions (outw	ith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	ts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records							'	
1. Mortality records a	vailable for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed o	f?			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:	very little ens	siled waste on	nly uplifted ver	ry infrequently r	none collected	since last i	nspection	
3. Mortality records of	omplete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (I	•			7 per day per s	site, 0.04% in (October		
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
C. Amir athan maaka in		winan maniaal ala	a alsa dO					V
6. Any other peaks in				nd annravimata	oly EOO authod)	due to big	har tamparatı	I lower
	_		•	nd approximate it in May (this ir	•		•	
If yes, detail:		he design on	•	• •	loidues offe de	ay intoreaset	и пона пто р	cha due to
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail:		N
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspe		Y
Are records complete and correctly eAre fish in a withdrawal period?	ntered?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:	•	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspersion	ection?	Y
•	ortality removal, recording and safe disposal be	
	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or vetering	ary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the	e site been included?	Y
	e event that the presence or suspicion of the presence or suspicion or sus	
	animals being stocked on the farm site been co	
	measures implemented between each epidemic movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or o	
·	the measures in place to maintain the physical	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures bee	n adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance b	een carried out by, or on behalf of, the business	s? Y

August report on increased zooplankton in the water and increased water temperatures causing some mortality

Zooplankton

5/12/2018-29/10/2019

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

	11 059, Version 12							133	ueu by. Fn				
	Case no:	2019-06	644	Site No:		FS0612	2		Date of vis Sampling:	it/	29/1	10/2019	29/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	НІ			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	r:		_	VMD No). [19
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3	ш	4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	PA	\		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-8	9-14	15-20	21-26	27-32	33-38						
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g						
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
Stock Details		O Kintail Hatchery	6 Kintail Hatchery	ھ Kintail Hatchery	17 Kintail Hatchery	G Kintail Hatchery	Kintail Hatchery						

	······································													
10/2019 Additional Sample Information:														
	2 fish per sample, anesthetised with TMS													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0644		Site No:	FS0612		Insp:	
Date of Visit	29/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
Special Control of the Control of th	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off			0			10	
Movements on	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	rtumber er dee	Site contacts	0				
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				-
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					-
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1		8		
	iaims apstream	TOT WILLIAM T HAD EXCUSSION	<u>'</u>	-	°		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				-
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				-
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
out of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		8
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2019-0644	;	Site No:	FS0612	
•	(Seawater Sites Only) enced sea lice problems in the pre	vious 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm	Management Area (or equivalent)	fallowed synchronously on	a single ye	ear class basis?	
azamethiphos and en can these be deployed	access to a range of licenced in-formamectin benzoate) as well as acted in a reasonable period of time? ocumented farm management agr	cess to suitable biological a	nd/or mech	hanical control measur	es, and
Management Area (o					
5. Are sea lice count	records available for inspection? (I	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			
6. Do records adequa	ately reflect the required standard s	specified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (Lo	egal SSI, CoGP Annex	(6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> records are inspected	monis) record levels below the sur d? (CoGP Annex 6)	ggested criteria for treatmer	nt in the Co	oGP during the period t	hat
	t female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) nul 10/6/19) during the period that rec	•	el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6	6/19) or
If yes, have these bee	en reported to the Fish Health Insp	ectorate? If no, FHI see co	mment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infe	estation at a level which is conside	red to cause significant we	lfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.	3.50)
	treatments been administered or of treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is				
11. Has any other act	tion been taken (where applicable)	?			
12. Have therapeutic	treatments or the actions taken ha	d a significant impact upon	the lice lev	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, w	here conducted, carried out in coo	peration between participat	ing farms?	•	
14. Is there a harvest sea lice?	ing strategy for the site, where few	er populations or part popu	lations are	held without treatment	t for
	ecific written lice management proc escalation of a sea lice infestation		ribing set a	ctions to deal with reco	ognised
16. Do the sea lice le	vels observed on stocks reflect sea	a lice count data? If no plea	se detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspec	tion				
•	enced equipment damage due to p	predators in the current or p	revious pro	oduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in pl	ace to mitigate against the predati	on experienced on site? (De	etail below)	Y
If other, detail below					
top nets, tensioned n					
•	lents or events been experienced	•	te since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
	uestions 4 – 9. If No skip to question	on 10			
4. Have these been re	eported to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been re	eported to local DSFB forthwith (w	here they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	.17)	
6. Have these been re	eported to the SSPO and local fish	eries trusts forthwith (where	e they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)
7. Were methods (if a	any) used to recover escapees? If	yes give detail			
8. If all nets were der	ployed was this action agreed with	local wild fish interests and	was permi	ission given by Scottis	n
Ministers? (Legal, Co		all distribution of the state o	point.	g	
9. What action was ta	ken to prevent and minimise the r	sk of further escapes? (Not	covered in	n code but could	
be considered und	er satisfactory measures of the	Act)			
10. Is the site inspect	ed as satisfactory with regards to	containment? If no, please of	detail reaso	on(s)	Y

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Site No: FS0612

Case No: 2019-0644

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2019-0644			Date of visit:	29/10/2019			
Site No:	FS0612	l		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notificat	tion		
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	01/11/2019		2 11150					
,								





Migdale Smolts Ltd Dornoch Road Bonar Bridge Sutherland IV24 3EB

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0341
SITE NO FS0612
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 29/10/2019
SITE NAME Loch Merkland
CASE NO 20190644

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 01/11/2019
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0645	J		Date of visit: 28/10/2019
Time spent on site:	1 hr	Main In:	spector:
Site No: FS0568 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Tullich Hatchery The Scottish Salmon Co	ompany
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 6.6.	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additiona	al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ry out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

120,000 eggs to be sent to Langass Hatchery to create elite batch to start broodstock family.

Only 24 fish (5.6%) lost since input in July 2019, a quarter of which were due to movement. The percentage may look high but a total of only 429 brought onto site. 15 fish lost late July from 1 tank (tank 5) due to blockage in water inlet. Only 3 mortalities since then. Fish on site appeared healthy on inspection.

Site temperature taken from site thermometer for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0645		Site No:	FS0568				
Date of Visit:		28/10/2019]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Authori 1. Business/site detail 2. Changes made to o	ls summary		ite representa	tive?			Y Y	
Site Details			_			_		
Total No facilities		68	Facilities sto	cked	15	No facilitie	s inspected	68
	SAL 2017 S1							
3-3	405							
L	9.2kg							
Next Fallow Date (Site		Dec. 2019		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Unlikely to	be restocked	
	-/				1	o		
Recent (last 4 wks) di	isease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
1. Movement records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspect	tion:						14/02/2017	
3. Are records comple	ete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
4. Are movement reco	ords availabl	e for dead fis	h and waste?					N/A
Are records comple	ete and corre	ectly entered?	?					N/A
Are health certificat	tes for introd	uctions (outw	/ith GB) availa	ıble?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movement	s carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syste			•	•	_			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records av	vailable for in	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail))		
If other detail:	Ensiled at St	rome (where	stock was so	urced from)				
3. Mortality records co	omplete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (la	ast 4 wks):		24 fish lost in	n total since inp	out.			
5. Evidence of recent	increased/at	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no r	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	mortality dur	ring period ch	necked?					N
7. Have increased (ur	nevnlained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	icxpialiticu) i	nortainies be	en reported to	vector i i i :				14//
8. Have 'mortality eve	nts' been rer	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	RT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail: If other, detail:		N
2. Medicines records available for ins	•	Y
 Are records complete and correctly Are fish in a withdrawal period? 	rentered?	T N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:	-	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately	?	Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for instance.	spection?	Y
•	mortality removal, recording and safe disposal be	
•	th the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterin	ary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at	the site been included?	Y
	the event that the presence or suspicion of the p nd when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers	
5. Has the health status of aquacultur health status, certification if required)	re animals being stocked on the farm site been or ?	overed (equal or higher Y
·	ty measures implemented between each epidemi d (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or	
7. Is documentation available regardinaquaculture animals held on site?	ng the measures in place to maintain the physica	Il containment of Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures be If no, detail:	een adequately implemented on site?	Y
Results of Surveillance		
Has any animal health surveillance	been carried out by, or on behalf of, the busines	ss?

14/02/2017 - 28/10/2019

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0645		Site No:	FS0568		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
oposico	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	5
Mayamanta off							
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0			10 10	3
Exposure via water	rtuiliber er des	Site contacts	_				
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				igwdow
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					\vdash
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		-
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	8		
	iainis apstream	TOT WILLIEF T HAZI EXCUISION	'	-	٥		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						-
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5	-			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	·	5				-
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			-
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3	-			\vdash
out of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2]			
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2019-0645		Site No:	FS0568	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
•	• •	in the previous 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	lanagement Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synch	nronously on a single y	ear class basis?	
	•	enced in-feed and bath se			
azamethiphos and ema	amectin benzoate) as	well as access to suitable		hanical control measures,	and
can these be deployed	•				
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or	_	ement agreement or state	ement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoG	P Annex 6)		
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in the	SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?		ow the suggested criteria	for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that	
		nonis) numbers per fish b d that records are inspec		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no,	, FHI see comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause si	gnificant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50	0)
•				levels have exceeded the ions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.5	1)
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where a	pplicable)?			
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	s taken had a significant i	impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation between	en participating farms?	?	
		•		held without treatment for	
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es			points describing set a	actions to deal with recogni	ised
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data	a? If no please detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspecti	on				
1. Has the site experien	nced equipment dama	e due to predators in the	current or previous pr	oduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against t	ne predation experienced	I on site? (Detail below	')	Υ
Top nets, Site indoor	s, Rentokil				
If other, detail below:					
3. Have escape incide	nts or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicir	nity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10			
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Minis	ters?			
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)	? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	J.17)	
				t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17	')
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail			
		reed with local wild fish in	nterests and was perm	ission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	•			n anda but	
		mise the risk of further es	capes? (Not covered in	n code but could	
	r satisfactory measur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(-)	N
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If	no, please detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Case No:	2019-0645	Date of visit: 28/10/2019						
Site No:	FS0568	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Poport Cummon								
Report Summary Case Type	D-4-	I	and .					
Case Type	Date Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	04/11/2019							





The Scottish Salmon Company 1 Smithy Lane Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0169
SITE NO FS0568
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 28/10/2019
SITE NAME Tullich Hatchery
CASE NO 20190645

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 04/11/2019

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2019-0652			Date of visit: 30/10/2019					
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspe	ector:					
Site No: FS0752 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Taranaish The Scottish Salmon Comp	any					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 10.7	Thermometer No:	T147	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA W-1					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Medium to heavy fouling on some of the nets. Net cleaner currently on site making its way around all the cages.

Fish looked healthy and feeding very well

All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy showing no signs of disease.

Inspection and VMD sampling carried out by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 08/10/2018	
Case No:	2019-0652		Site No:	FS0752	<u>'</u>				
Date of Visit:		30/10/2019	,		Inspector(s)):]	
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			Y N	- -	
Site Details									
Total No facilities Species	SAL	16	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	es inspected	16	
Age group No Fish	19 S1's 540,789								
Mean Fish Wt	921g	0-4-2020		Next Innut De	to (Cita)	anxing 202			
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Oct 2020		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	spring 202	.1		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N	
Movement Records	s								
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?									
Transport Records 1. Are any movement fyes, is there a systematical systems.	nts carried out		•	•	_			N	
Mortality Records									
 Mortality records a How are mortalities 		•			Other (detai	il)		Y	
If other detail:	White shore	cockles							
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter		0.400():::dc44(4)	550 0 400/ \v.	1.40/4044.07	100/ >	Υ	
4. Recent mortality (•		·	0.12%)wk41(15	558-U.18%)W	/k42(1044-0.1	12%)WK43(23		
5. Evidence of recer		• •		dragon:				N	
If yes, facility nos/no	топанцу рег	facility/no sto	ck per racility	/reason.					
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y	
If yes, detail:	Post treatme	ent mortality, v	worst in 9, 11,	, 10, 14. Peroxi	ide treatment	t. 39460 (4.37	7%) fish lost.		
7. Have increased (u	• •							Y	
If yes, detail action:				ll down week fo					
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on more	tality events s	sheet.	Y	

Results of Surveillance

If no. detail:

aquaculture animals held on site?

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise

transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of

- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

AGD present in low levels.

Records checked between:

07/08/2018-30/10/2019

П	11 059, Version 12							ISS	suea by: F	ПІ			
	Case no:	2019-0	652	Site No:		FS0752			Date of vi		30/	10/2019	30/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		0:00 Indoors		0:00	 3	Inspecto	or: l 4		5	VMD No	o. 	12
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	F	PA		Total Sa	ımples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No	F1	F2	F3									
	Fish nos	F1	F2	F3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	921g	921g	921g									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Russleburn	Amhuinsuidhe	Clachan hatchery									
S	racility NO	6	9	14									

10/2019	0/2019 Additional Sample Information:												
3	Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2019-0652		Site No:	FS0752		Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS			5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	•	1 0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contact:	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		Ш
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	_	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Το	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			
CoGP/Regulator				J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

Case No:	2019-0652	Site No: FS0752	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)		
 Has the site experient 	iced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	anagement Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Υ
	mectin benzoate) as	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and d of time?	Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		ement agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequate	ly reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salme</i> records are inspected?		low the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	Υ
_	•	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or od that records are inspected?	N
•	•	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infes	tation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•		stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where a	applicable)?	Υ
•	•	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ
		out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ
		where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for	Y
15. Is there a site speci scenarios during the es		ement procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised nfestation?	Υ
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection	on		
1. Has the site experier	iced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against t	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ
O tak ADD MML Top	nets, tensioned net	s, seal blinds on socks	
If other, detail below:			
Have escape incider	nts or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que		•	
4. Have these been rep	orted to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
If gill nets were deploy Ministers? (Legal, CoG)		greed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish	
9. What action was take	en to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could	
be considered under	satisfactory measu	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected	l as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

Case No: 2019-0652 Site No: FS0752 Date of Visit: 30/10/2019 Inspector:	
Date of Visit: 30/10/2019 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	
Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements	
 2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared? 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection? 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area? 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies? 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement? 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review? 	Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Management	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area of farm?	or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y Y Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Υ
Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments	s? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreem of statement?	ent Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to used on farms in the area or individual farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements	
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the are or individual farms?	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	rvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by w date when a farm or area may be restocked		ow and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one o agreement or statement?	r more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brood covered by the agreement or statement?	stock or potential broodstock are to be ke	pt on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manageme	nt Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and open	rated in accordance with the agreement or	statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the	ne FMAg/S? 27.12.2018	

Site No: FS0752

Case No: 2019-0652

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2019-0652	Date of visit: 30/10/2019								
Site No:	FS0752	ı		Inspector:		l				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion				
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI CNI SLI VMD	07/11/2019									
	-									





The Scottish Salmon Company 1 Smithy Lane Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 30/10/2019

 SITE NO
 FS0752
 SITE NAME
 Taranaish

 INSPECTOR
 CASE NO
 20190652

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 07/11/2019

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 08/10/2018			
Case No: 2019-0653			[Date of visit: 3	0/10/2019			
Time spent on site:	hours	M	lain Inspector:					
Site No: FS1233 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Eughlam The Scottish Salm	on Company					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	T147]	FHI 045 complet	ed			
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	W-1			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

currently one pen stocked with lumpfish, remainder of pens to be stocked with lumpfish in spring 2020

Fish looked very healthy with a good feeding response. All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showing no clinical signs of disease.

Inspection and VMD sampling carried out by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0653		Site No:	FS1233				
Date of Visit:		30/10/2019	ð		Inspector(s):]
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ils summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details								
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	13	No facilitie	s inspected	13
Species	SAL	Lumpfish						
Age group	19 S1's	2019						
No Fish	1,217,129	4,440						
Mean Fish Wt	1.162kg	30g						
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	October 202	20	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Spring 202	21	
					1			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last)	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	AGD							
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement records	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							24/10/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					N
4. Are movement red								Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered	?					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed o	f?			Other (detail))		
	Whole fish -							
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (•			.08%)wk42(286	3-0.02%_wk41	1(364 -0.03%	6) wk40(306-	
Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring pariod o	hookod2					N
If yes, detail:	1 Mortality du	nng penod ci	leckeu:					1
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, add N	IRT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

ii yes, detaii.	TIVIO			
If other, detail:				
	s available for inspection?			Y
3. Are records comp	lete and correctly entered?			Y
4. Are fish in a withd	rawal period?			Y
5. If yes, what treatm	ient(s)?	TMS		
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?			Y
Biosecurity Record	le			
_	ls available for inspection?			,
-	ind frequency of mortality rer	moval recording and safe	disposal been considered?	<u> </u>
			rs or veterinary professional of any	,
	ned) mortality at the site bee		is or veterinary professional or arry	
morodood (unoxpian	roay mortality at the site bee	in indiaca.		,
4 Has the action tha	it will be taken in the event th	hat the presence or suspic	cion of the presence of a listed disease	<u> </u>
	luded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> th		•	
			site been covered (equal or higher	V
health status, certific	•		one seen cererea (equal er mg.re.	
,	· ´			
6. Have the husband	lry and biosecurity measures	s implemented between ea	ach epidemiological unit to minimise	У
	ase been covered (moveme			
7. Is documentation	available regarding the mea	sures in place to maintain	the physical containment of	У
aquaculture animals	held on site?	·		
8. Have the biosecur	rity procedures been adequa	itely implemented on site?	•	У
If no, detail:				
Results of Surveilla	ance			
1 Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carrie	ed out by or on behalf of	the husiness?	Y
•	available for inspection?	za zataj, or on achan on,		Y
3. Any significant res	•			N
	etailed under recent disease	problems)		
ii joo, dotaii (ii fiot di	Tanou andor recent disease	problemo).		

24/10/2018 - 30/10/2019 Records checked between:

г	HI 059, Version 12							ISS	ued by: Fr	11			
	Case no:	2019-06	553	Site No:		FS1233			Date of vis		30/1	10/2019	30/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No). [15
ı	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
ı	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	P.	Α		Total Sa	mples
_	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No	F1	F2	F3									
Г	Fish nos												
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	1.162kg	1.162kg	1.162kg									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Geocrab	Langabahat	5 Humnabhat									
U.	, admity 140	7	11	14									

10/2019	10/2019 Additional Sample Information:												
3	Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 08							
Case Number:	2019-0653		Site No:	FS1233		Insp:		
Date of Visit	30/10/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS			5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0	
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	10	
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	0	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		ш	
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		ш	
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0	
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2					
	equivalent stat		4					
	_	n from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	n from Category ∀ farm	10				\Box	
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			ш	
CoGP/Regulator				J				
Practices in accordance	Yes		0]			0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0	
	No		2					
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM	

Case No:	2019-0653	Site No: FS1233							
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)								
1. Has the site experien	iced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	N						
2. Is the CoGP Farm Ma	anagement Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Υ						
	mectin benzoate) as	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and d of time?	Υ						
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		ement agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ						
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ						
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)									
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salme</i> records are inspected?		low the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	Υ						
_	•	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or od that records are inspected?	N						
•	•	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A						
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infes	tation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N						
		stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A						
11. Has any other action	n been taken (where a	applicable)?	Υ						
•	•	is taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ						
•		out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ						
		where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for	Y						
15. Is there a site speci scenarios during the es	_	ement procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised nfestation?	Υ						
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ						
Containment Inspection	on								
1. Has the site experien	iced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N						
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ						
ADD Seal blinds, Ten	sioned nets, MML								
If other, detail below:									
 Have escape incider 	nts or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N						
If Yes proceed with que		•							
4. Have these been rep	orted to Scottish Minis	sters?							
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)							
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)							
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail							
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoGl		greed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish							
9. What action was take	en to prevent and min	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could							
be considered under	satisfactory measu	res of the Act)							
10. Is the site inspected	as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ						

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0653	Site No: FS1233	
Date of Visit: 30/10/201	9 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	l within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish factoring	ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statemen	у у у
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana		
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccir 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the max individual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrai fish farm in the area or the individual f		or farm? or farm? y n the area or the
Arrangements for The Management		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	ments for the sharing of data on sea lice numbe	rs and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	lability and the use of medicines on farms cover	ed by the agreement y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual f		
used on farms in the area or individual	ımstances under which biological controls and c l farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced or r	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/20
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	le harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates date when a farm or area may be restoo	by which the area or individual farm will be fallocked?	ow and the earliest
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether b covered by the agreement or statement	proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep?	ot on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	nent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	operated in accordance with the agreement or	statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	of the FMAg/S? 27.12.2018	

Case No:	2019-0653			Date of visit:	30/10/2019					
Site No:	FS1233			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
							<u> </u>			
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI CNI SLI VMD	07/11/2019									





The Scottish Salmon Company
1 Smithy Lane
Lochgilphead
Argyll
PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0169Date of Visit30/10/2019Site NoFS1233Site NameEughlamInspectorCase No20190653

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The FS number was not always recorded in the source/destination section of the movement book. It was agreed that this would be recorded in the future.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Date: 19/11/2019
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2019-0655			Date of visit: 30/10/2019					
Time spent on site:	3h	Main Ins	spector:					
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farn	ms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

15 bags (18 tonnes) of mussel for export to Ireland inspected, no issues noted therefore health certificate INTRA.GB.2019.0030558 (local ref:MD19/134) issued.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0655]	Site No:	SS0759	•			
Date of Visit:		30/10/2019	3		Inspector(s)):]
Registration/Authornamental 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	-
Site Details								
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	N/A
Species	MED							
Age group	Spat							
No Fish	65 tonne							
Mean Fish Wt	N/A							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	ongoing cyc	le	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	natural sp	at collection	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	uiscase press	icins:			Ally Codepo	5 (Silice last	visity:	INIA
Movement Records	s							
1. Movement record	ls available fo	or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							24/10/2019	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp	olete and corr	ectly entered	?					N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records	,							
1. Are any movemen		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys				•	_			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie								
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):							
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta	lities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	uring period cl	hecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	Thoram, an	illig period s.	iconcu.					
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add N	IRT case and e	enter on mort	tality events	sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for ins 3. Are records complete and correctly 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:	•	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately	?	
3. Has the manner and period in which increased (unexplained) mortality at4. Has the action that will be taken in is detected been included and how a	mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinathe site been included? the event that the presence or suspicion of the presend when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? The animals being stocked on the farm site been contained.	esence of a listed disease ?
transmission of disease been covered 7. Is documentation available regardinaquaculture animals held on site?	ty measures implemented between each epidemic d (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or d ing the measures in place to maintain the physical een adequately implemented on site?	lead fish etc.)?
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance	e been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business	s? N/A

29/10/19 to 30/10/19

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

Case No:	2019-0655	Date of visit: 30/10/2019								
Site No:	SS0759	l		Inspector:		l				
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Report Summany										
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inch	and Image							
MOV		Insp	2 nd Insp							
WOV	11/11/2019									
	 									





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 30/10/2019

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20190655

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of mussel spat for placing on the market in Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/11/2019
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2019-0656			Date of visit: 31/10/2019					
Time spent on site:)	Main Inspecto	r:					
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 MOV 2	3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

16 bags (19.2 tonnes) of mussel for export to Ireland inspected, no issues noted therefore health certificate INTRA.GB.2019.0030559 (local ref:MD19/135) issued.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0656		Site No:	SS0759	•			
Date of Visit:		31/10/2019			Inspector(s)):]
Registration/Authornamental 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			Y N	- -
Site Details								
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED							
Age group	Spat							
No Fish	60.8 tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	N/A							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	ongoing cycl	е	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	natural sp	at collection	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:					,		VIC.1., 1	
Movement Record	s							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		поросили					30/10/2019	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	>					Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys				•	_			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for it	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie		•						
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):							
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				
C. Any other neeks i	- montality du	ring paried of	alca d2					N/A
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ing period cr	ескеи					INA
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add N	IRT case and	enter on mort	ality events	sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for ins 3. Are records complete and correctly 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:	•	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately	?	
3. Has the manner and period in which increased (unexplained) mortality at4. Has the action that will be taken in is detected been included and how a	mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinathe site been included? the event that the presence or suspicion of the presend when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? The animals being stocked on the farm site been contained.	esence of a listed disease ?
transmission of disease been covered 7. Is documentation available regardinaquaculture animals held on site?	ty measures implemented between each epidemic d (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or d ing the measures in place to maintain the physical een adequately implemented on site?	lead fish etc.)?
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance	e been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business	s? N/A

30/10/19 to 31/9/19

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

Case No:	2019-0656	Date of visit: 31/10/2019						
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	11/11/2019		Z IIISP					
mo v	11/11/2010							





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 31/10/2019

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20190656

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of mussel spat for placing on the market in Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/11/2019
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter