FHI 059, Version 11	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017				
Case No: 2018-0192			Date of visit: 07/06/2018				
Time spent on site:	13 hrs	Main II	nspector: WJM				
Site No: SS0759	Site Name:	Fearna					
Business No: SB0530	Business Name:	Loch Striven Mussel Fa	arms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 ECI 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): N/A	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir	ng fish present?	N If yes, see addition	al information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observ	ved?		al information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see addition	al information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?		N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Harvesting almost complete. Only 3.5 - 4 lines remaining. Collection ropes being put out on lines that have been harvested. Mussels looking clean.

On site to inspect 18 bags of mussels destined for Lenger Seafoods Ireland Ltd, Carlingford Lough. No other species noted and certificate INTRA.GB.2018.0015746 (MD18/031) issued.

FHI 059, Version 11			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/09/2017
Case No:	2018-0192		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		07/06/2018	3		Inspector(s):	WJM]
Registration/Authornal 1. Business/site det 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	tive?			Y N	}
Site Details								
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	es inspected	30
Species	MED							
Age group	2017							
No Fish	~40 tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	25mm							
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	June 2018		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	wild spat f	all 2018	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	alocado probi	01110 :			Tary occuped	(cirioo idot	violej :	14/74
Movement Record								
1. Movement record		r inspection?					00/00/0040	Y
 Date of last inspet Are records comp 		nothy optorod	2				06/06/2018	Y
4. Are movement re		•						N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific				ıhle?				N/A
		idoliono (odiv	vitir OD) availe					,,
Transport Records	3							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried ou	t hy (or on be	half) of the hu	siness (not us	ing a STR)?			
If yes, is there a sys		• `		•	~			
Mortality Records	·		·					
Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail))		
If other detail:	empty shells		ed					
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		No observed	mortality				
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased/a	typical morta	lities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility/	reason:				
								21/2
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?							N/A	
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								N/A
If yes, detail action:	ипехріаіней) і	nortailles be	en reported to	vecoi Fni?				IN/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	RT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	sheet.	N/A
						,		

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		N
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	N/A
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify \$		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included	1?	N/A
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pre	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	N/A
is detected been included and how and when that will be n		
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock	ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	N/A
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	N/A
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff,	·	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in plants.		N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impler	mented on site?	N/A
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by,	or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	,	
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems)).	

Records checked between: 06/06/2018 - 07/06/2018

FHI 059, Version 11 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/09/2017 Case Number: 2018-0192 SS0759 Site No: Date of Visit WJM 07/06/2018 Inspector: Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen No Yes Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) 0 25 0 Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) 0 3 3 Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) 0 3 0 1 2-5 >6 Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion 0 2 10 2 0 1-2 >3 Live shellfish movements 0 5 10 O Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 10 20 0 Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0 Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management 0 2 2 1 Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management 0 6 3 6 Areas 0 Number of destinations 3 6 **Secure Unsecure** Management (effluent (no effluent practices None treatment) treatment) Water contacts with Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS depuration facilities management area 0 2 0 Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area 0 2 6 n Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management 0 4 8 0 area Number of sites 1 2 or 3 > 4 Biosecurity Sites operating from single shorebase 0 Contacts with other sites Sites sharing staff and equipment Yes No

Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc

0

Total Risk

MEDIUM

Case No:	2018-0192			Date of visit	: 07/06/2018	В		
Site No:	SS0759]		Inspector	: WJM]		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
Results Summary	гтец.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						Ŭ	<u> </u>	2 11105
				<u> </u>				
				+	<u> </u>			+
				+				
				†				
				1	1			1
				1				
				_				
Report Summary				_				
Case Type MOV ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	_				
MOV	25/06/2018		PMM	_				
ECI	25/06/2018	WJM	PMM	_				
Case Completion	02/11/2018	WJM	ASM	_				
				4				
				4				
				4				
				-				





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoSB0530Date of Visit07/06/2018Site NoSS0759Site NameFearnaInspectorWarren MurrayCase No20180192

Case completion report

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 02/11/2018. The required records have now been provided to Marine Scotland.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 02/11/2018
Fish Health Inspector





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 07/06/2018

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Warren Murray
 Case No
 20180192

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) for placing on the market in Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 25/06/2018
Fish Health Inspector





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 07/06/2018

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Warren Murray
 Case No
 20180192

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Biosecurity measures plan unavailable for inspection. Site correspondent to send in a copy.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Date: 25/06/2018

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017			
		Date of visit: 31/05/2018			
3.5 hours	Main Insp	pector: ALW			
Site Name: Business Name:	FAI Shetland FAI Aquaculture Ltd				
2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: None			
g fish present? ed?	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
out intended visit detail re	ason below:				
	Site Name: Business Name: 2 CNI 3 Thermometer No: Region: SH g fish present?	Site Name: Business Name: PAI Shetland FAI Aquaculture Ltd 2 CNI Thermometer No: Site Water type: If yes, see additional of the second o			

Additional Case Information:

Experiencing grumbling mortality 2-3 weeks post IP vaccination particularly with Ridgeway vaccine (Pseudomonas anguilliseptica, Vibrio sp., Aliivibrio sp. and Aeromonas salmonicida). Nothing conclusive from histology, occasionally isolating bacteria (P. anguilliseptica 1/20 in recent report). Use different vaccines as per customer request, but same vaccinating team and not seeing increase in mortality following vaccination with Pharmaq vaccine (A. salmonicida, Vibrio anguillarum and Vibrio salmonicida).

IP vaccination at 12-15g depending on vaccine. Using specialised vaccination table for lumpfish. The fish are held in shallow water and the surface of the table is ridged to prevent the fish from attaching with their suckers. Some fish are also dip vaccinated at around 1g. Discussions in industry on whether dip vaccinating needs to be carried out twice as a proportion of the population may not be taking in vaccine as they close their opercula and 'hold their breath' during the bath.

Fish are deployed to sea sites at 25-30g. It is difficult to ensure vaccination carried out early enough and keep to required size for deployment. Fish must be a minimum size for vaccination, but there is a period of 350-450 degree days following vaccination before they can be deployed and the fish grow quite quickly. Fish are graded 1 week before deployment with small and large grade removed. Currently have some large fish, but unable to find a customer for them.

Fish are treated with either florfenicol or branzil predeployment at customer request. Fish are receiving a feed supplement to enhance immune system.

Experienced a power outage last October which led to water pump failure. Unable to get water flowing to site and decision made to cull stock on site using MS222 (~200,000 fish). During fallow period found problem with pump and this has been fixed. Intake water is treated with UV.

Rebuilding larval unit as issues with water flow. Tanks in temporarily for next batch of larvae from Iceland, but further work to be carried out including raising tanks. Lumpfish prefer higher water flows. Fish appeared to be in good condition.

FHI 059, Version 11			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/09/2017	
Case No:	2018-0234		Site No:	FS1065					
Date of Visit:		31/05/2018]		Inspector(s):	ALW		1	
1. Business/site deta	Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Y								
Site Details									
Total No facilities		60	Facilities sto	cked	22	No facilities	s inspected	60	
Species	LUM								
Age group	Mixed								
No Fish	315,256								
Mean Fish Wt	1.5g-120g								
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	N/A		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	June 2018			
					1				
Recent (last 4 wks) of					Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	Grumbling m	nortality post v	/accination - n	othing conclus	sive				
Movement Records	S								
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y	
2. Date of last inspec		.,					03/04/2017		
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y	
4. Are movement red								Y	
5. Are records comp		•						Y	
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	rith GB) availa	able?				Y	
Transport Records									
1. Are any movemer	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y	
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records	?			Y	
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y	
2. How are mortalities	<u> </u>	f?			Incinerated -	Shetland W	aste to Ener	ЗУ	
	Frozen first								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	_					Y	
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):			ty for May = 12 409, 21-27/5/18				1, 14-	
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					Y	
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:									
Higher in tanks N9, I				ation					
6. Any other peaks in								Y	
				ue to pump fail	ure (~200,000)			
7. Have increased (ull figure yes, detail action:	iriexplained)							Y	
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re		npling - nothin		enter on morta	lity events s	heet	N/A	
J. Hard Hiertanty CV	27.10 50011 10	F 51.00 to 1 111	, add ivi	Jago and C	on morta	, 5 7 57 110 5		,, .	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	Y
If yes, detail: Branzil, Florfenicol	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Υ
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	N
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Υ
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 3/4/17 - 31/5/18	

FHI 059, Version 11		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/09/2017
Case Number:	2018-0234		Site No:	FS1065		Insp:	ALW
Date of Visit	31/05/2018		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
•	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water		Site contact	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		0
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent water	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0]			0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	1				0
		from Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				0
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		T 0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			0
					Total Rank		33 HIGH

Case No:	2018-0234		Site No:	FS1065	
Sea Lice Inspection (and 1. Has the site experies	Seawater Sites Only) nced sea lice problems i	n the previous 4 years?			
•	·	ivalent) fallowed synchronous	sly on a single y	ear class basis?	
azamethiphos and ema	amectin benzoate) as w in a reasonable period o cumented farm manager	nced in-feed and bath sea lice ell as access to suitable biolog of time? nent agreement or statement in	gical and/or mec	hanical control measu	res, and
		ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6)		
		andard specified in the SSI an	•	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	x 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		v the suggested criteria for tre	eatment in the Co	oGP during the period	that
8. Have average adult records are inspected?		onis) numbers per fish been a	t a level of 3 or a	above during the perio	d that
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish He	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI se	ee comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is	considered to cause significa	nt welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	.3.50)
		ered or other actions taken wh ngatus is considered to have			
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where ap	olicable)?			
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact	upon the lice le	vels recorded?	
		ut in cooperation between par nere fewer populations or part	•		nt for
15. Is there a site spec	ific written lice managen scalation of a sea lice inf	ent procedure with waypoints estation?	describing set a	actions to deal with rec	ognised
16. Does the stock on reasons.	site appear satisfactory i	n relation to sea lice level and	sea lice count d	lata? If no please deta	il
Containment Inspect					
•		due to predators in the currer		•	N
	ce to mitigate against the	e predation experienced on site	e? (Detail below	<i>y</i>)	Y
Site inside					
If other, detail below:					
If Yes proceed with que	ents or events been expendents or events been expendents and selections 4 – 9. If No skip to orted to Scottish Ministers	·	the site since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB for	nwith (where they exist)? (CoC	GP – 4.4.37, 5.4	l.17)	
·		ocal fisheries trusts forthwith (•	4.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were depl	oyed was this action agr	eed with local wild fish interest	s and was perm	nission given by Scottis	sh
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	SP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)				
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minim	ise the risk of further escapes	? (Not covered in	n code but could	
	r satisfactory measure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with reg	ards to containment? If no, ple	ease detail reas	on(s)	Y

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/09/2017

FHI 059, Version 11

FHI 059, Version 11	Issued by: FH	I	Date of issue: 12/09/2017
Case No: 2018-0234	Site No: FS1065		
Date of Visit: 31/05/2018	Inspector: ALW	/	
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	-	a?	N
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements an	d Statements	
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for in Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm Does the FMAg/S identify the date of Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 	spection? It farm management area? In site(s) to which it applies? It commencement of the agreer		
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	ement		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximindividual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangifish farm in the area or the individual farm. Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem. 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availation of statement? 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any required on farms in the area or individual fait.	ation requirements for stocks hes of fish which may be stockenum stocking density of any pergements for the storage and dirm? of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on bility and the use of medicines rements for the sensitivity testions? nestances under which biological	eld in the area or farm? d into the area or farm? en on any farm in the area or the sposal of any dead fish from an sea lice numbers and treatmer on farms covered by the agree	ne
used on farms in the area or individual f 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		ments on farms within the area	?
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumarea or farm?19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?			

FHI 059, Version 11	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptab	ole harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	ridual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates date when a farm or area may be resto	s by which the area or individual farm will be fallow ocked?	w and the earliest
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto s	ites covered by the
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether be covered by the agreement or statement	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept t?	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag	gement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreer parties to the agreement?	ment include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	d operated in accordance with the agreement or s	statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	e of the FMAg/S?	

Case No:	2018-0234			Date of vis	sit: 31/05/20	18			
Site No:	FS1065	1		Inspecto	or: ALW				
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Notific				
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
					+	_			
					+	_			
					+	_			
						_			
				+					
				+					
				+					
Report Summary		1	1	7					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
				-					
ECI/CNI Case closed	12/06/2018 30/10/2018		PMM ASM	4					
Case closed	30/10/2010	ALVV	ASIVI	4					
				4					
				4					
				-					
			1						
			1						
			1						





FAI Aquaculture Ltd Ardtoe Marine Research Facility Ardtoe, Acharacle Argyll PH36 4LD

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOFB0439DATE OF VISIT31/05/2018SITE NOFS1065SITE NAMEFAI ShetlandINSPECTORAndrea WarwickCASE NO20180234

Case completion report

A recommendation in relation to the above case was made for implementation by 12th July 2018. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendation has been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 30/10/2018





FAI Aquaculture Ltd Ardtoe Marine Research Facility Ardtoe, Acharacle Argyll PH36 4LD

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0439Date of Visit31/05/2018Site NoFS1065Site NameFAI ShetlandInspectorAndrea WarwickCase No20180234

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Documentation on the measures in place to maintain physical containment of the aquaculture animals on the site was not available.

This must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that this point has been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to:

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
 - This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites⁽²⁾
 This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms
 This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to
 or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish
 This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish
 removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement & identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*

FHI 059, Version 11		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017					
Case No: 2018-0287			Date of visit: 25/06/2018					
Time spent on site:	1 hour	Main Inspe	ector: AJW					
Site No: FS0052	Site Name:	College Mill						
Business No: FB0043	Business Name:	College Mill Trout Farm						
Case Types: 1 OTH	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	· ·	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Clinical signs of disease observ	red?	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
g								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Visit to site to see progress and discuss restocking.

Met with _______ - Land agent. Council minutes state the fallow period will "from the closure date until the farm has received the authorisation to reopen from SEPA and Marine Scotland". I explained the authorisation for the site has never been withdrawn and the site can be restocked when the keeper of the fish can provide evidence that adequate defences are in place to ensure containment.

Issues on site remain with surface of back channel, fence to contain fish at time of flooding, levels of inflow pipes to provide oxygen to the back channel and ability to drain upper level ponds. Site has requested a visit from FHI prior to restocking.

Case No:	2018-0287			Date of visit:	25/06/2018]			
Site No:	FS0052 Inspector: AJW								
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
	_								
	_			-				-	
			•				-		
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
Other- no report	24/09/2018		WJM	1					