

SHORT-TERM LETS WORKING GROUP: MEETING 2

PAPER 5 – SURVEY RESULTS

At the first meeting of the working group, we undertook to seek your views on the operation of the group. Of the 25 members present (excluding Scottish Government officials), three submitted responses (12% response rate). We are grateful to the three members who responded.

The responses are summarised below:

Q1. How effective or ineffective was the first meeting at working towards the aims of the group? On a five point scale from very effective to very ineffective:

- Two said partially effective; one said very ineffective.

Q2. How effective or ineffective was the chairing of the first meeting? On a five point scale from very effective to very ineffective:

- Two said effective; one said ineffective.

Q3. What do you think about the size of the group? On a three point scale of too large, about right and too small:

- All three said the group is too large.

Q4. What do you think about representation on the group? Given a binary choice between relevant interests being covered or a gap in coverage:

- Two said relevant interests were covered; one abstained.

Q5. If you think there is a gap, what is it and how is it best covered? Given free text:

- One said NFUS; two made no comment.

Q6. Do you have any suggestions for improving the running of future meetings? Given a binary choice between yes and no:

- Two said yes and one said no.

Q7. If you answered yes, briefly outline your suggestion(s). Two answers were given:

- a) Replace Chair with someone from VisitScotland.
- b) More questions set out beforehand and submission of answers/views then circulated also before meeting.

Conclusion

There is no obvious mandate to make major changes to the operation of the working group, given that the overwhelming majority of members did not respond to the survey. With regard to the feedback and suggestions from the survey, we will endeavour to make improvements where we can.