

Marine Scotland

West Coast of the Outer Hebrides Special Protection Area (SPA)
Business and Regulatory Impact Assessment

December 2020



Partial Business and Regulatory Impact Assessment

Title of Proposal

West Coast of the Outer Hebrides Special Protection Area (SPA)

Purpose and intended effect

Background

The Scottish Government is committed to a clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature. In order to meet this commitment our seas must be managed in a sustainable manner - balancing the competing demands on marine resources. Biological and geological diversity must be protected to ensure our future marine ecosystem is capable of providing the economic and social benefits it yields today.

The EU Wild Birds Directive (2009/147/EC as codified) requires Member States to classify as Special Protection Areas (SPAs) the most suitable territories for wild birds. Building on the work of the SPA Review Working Group and taking account of existing guidelines on the identification of SPAs (JNCC, 1999), Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) have identified 14 sites which they consider essential for the completion of a list of marine SPAs. These proposals include sites supporting wintering waterfowl, important areas for red throated divers, terns, European shag and foraging seabirds.

The West Coast of the Outer Hebrides proposed Special Protection Area (pSPA) is located along the western seaboard of the archipelago of the Outer Hebrides, from the island of Scarp, off north west Harris, west of North Uist, Benbecula and South Uist to the island of Sandray south of Barra (Figure 1). The pSPA encompasses most of the marine waters within the Sound of Harris and the Sound of Barra.

The area included within the pSPA supports a population of European importance of the following Annex 1 species:

- Great northern diver (Gavia immer)
- Red-throated diver (Gavia stellata)
- Black-throated diver (Gavia arctica)
- Slavonian grebe (Podiceps auritus)

It also supports migratory populations of European importance of the following species:

- Common eider (Somateria mollissima)
- Long-tailed duck (Clangula hyemalis)
- Red-breasted merganser (Mergus serrator)

The West Coast of the Outer Hebrides pSPA comprises in total an area of 1321.7km²

Parts of the west coast of the Outer Hebrides, notably Harris, Lewis and some locations to the south of the island chain, have steep rocky shores and seacliffs bordered by deep water. However, much of the west coast consists of extensive lengths of calcareous sandy shore with numerous sheltered bays and inlets for birds to moult, roost, rest and feed. Sea depths within 10 kilometres (km) of the west coast are shallow and rarely greater than 30 metres (m), with the seabed gently and consistently falling to an eventual depth of 120m. The waters to the west of the islands are supplied with little sediment from the land or from tidal currents (Barne *et al.* 1997). The seabed sediment is generally thin, often less than 5m in depth and comprises mostly of shell-sand with some gravel. Further offshore, outside the soft sediments, extensive outcrops of bedrock occur.

This coast supports one of the largest unbroken expanses of kelp forest in Scotland and together with the mixed sand and gravel sediments these habitats are likely to support a diverse range of animal communities including molluscs, crustaceans, pelagic and demersal fish species.

Divers, Slavonian grebe and red-breasted merganser feed on a wide variety of fish that are associated with a range of seabed substrates. These birds catch fish by diving from the surface and pursuing their prey underwater. The fish species taken will be influenced by what is locally most readily available, but the diet of divers and mergansers can include haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*, cod *Gadus morhua*, herring *Clupea harengus*, sprats *Sprattus sprattus* and gurnard *Eutrigla gurnardus* along with smaller species such as sand-eels *Ammodytidae*, pipefish *Syngathidae*, gobies *Gobiidae*, flatfish *Pleuronectidae* and butterfish *Pholis gunnellus*.

Slavonian grebe feed on small fish species but their diet also includes small amphipods and other crustaceans. Great northern divers also feed opportunistically on small crustaceans.

Common eider and long-tailed duck feed almost exclusively on molluscs and small crustaceans, diving from the surface to pluck their prey from the seabed.

Diving activity varies among species but average foraging dive depths for most are shallower than 15m. However, substantially greater maximum dive depths have been recorded for some species, particularly great northern diver (maximum dive depth of 55m; Ropert-Coudert *et al* 2016).

The presence of high densities of wintering waterfowl in the West Coast of the Outer Hebrides is indicative of the importance of these shallow and productive waters that also offer relatively sheltered areas. Eider are resident throughout the year, but long-tailed duck, great northern diver, black-throated diver and Slavonian grebe migrate long distances from their northern breeding grounds to reach wintering grounds such as the West Coast of the Outer Hebrides. Red-breasted mergansers are typically short distance migrants, using coastal areas in winter.

The Outer Hebrides are a stronghold for breeding red-throated diver which feed almost exclusively at sea within a limited foraging range. During the summer months, the West Coast of the Outer Hebrides is an important foraging area for a high concentration of red-throated diver nesting territories on adjacent islands including Mointeach Scadabhaigh SPA.

Objective

The EU Wild Birds Directive requires member states of the EU to identify SPAs for:

- rare or vulnerable bird species (as listed in Annex I of the Directive); and
- regularly occurring migratory bird species.

And to do so in the geographical sea and land area where the Directive applies.

The EU Wild Birds Directive was adopted in 1979 by the EU member states due to increasing concerns about declines in Europe's wild bird populations caused by pollution, loss of habitats and unsustainable exploitation. The EU Wild Birds Directive recognises that wild birds, many of which are migratory, are a shared heritage of the member states and that their conservation needs international cooperation. The creation of a network of protected sites, including SPAs, is one of several conservation measures that contribute to the protection of rare, vulnerable and migratory bird species.

Further work is required to complete a marine UK-wide network of SPAs at sea in order to meet the needs of seabirds and waterfowl. The Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) has been working over the past decade on behalf of all the countries' Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (SNCBs) to complete a programme of data collection and analysis to inform the provision of advice on possible sites. Natural England, Natural Resources Wales, and the Department of Environment Northern Ireland (DoENI) are considering several possible marine SPAs in English, Welsh and Northern Irish inshore waters, including extensions to existing seabird colony SPAs and entirely marine SPAs.

The network of marine SPAs in Scotland is being progressed by Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) where these fall largely within 12 nautical miles from shore and by Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) where they fall largely beyond 12 nautical miles. SNH and JNCC have identified 14 sites which they consider essential for the completion of a list of marine SPAs. These proposals include sites supporting wintering waterfowl, important areas for red throated divers, terns, European shag and foraging seabirds.

Evidence in this BRIA is drawn from the work of statutory nature conservation bodies and consultants ABPmer and eftec¹. It brings together the science-led arguments for classification and the projected potential social and economic consequences of such action. This will inform Scottish Ministers of the possible

¹ The Scottish MPA Project: Second Iteration of Site Proposals – Developing the Evidence Base for Impact Assessments, ABPMer

impacts of designating the SPA, and due to requirements of the Birds Directive this will be for informational purposes only as the decision to classify SPAs can only be on the basis of scientific evidence. The site has been identified for classification as an SPA due to the confirmed presence of biodiversity features detailed above.

This BRIA examines the socio-economic impact of designating the proposed West Coast of the Outer Hebrides site as an SPA. The assessment period covers the 20 year period from 2015 to 2034 - reflecting the time horizon within which the majority of impacts are expected to occur. As with any socio-economic assessment related to environmental classifications, the findings should be considered as estimates, and in cases where greater uncertainty exists, such as for fisheries, are deliberately presented as worst-case scenarios to build in necessary caution.

In addition a range of scenarios are presented to account for the inherent uncertainty associated with such proposals. Lower, intermediate and upper scenarios have been developed to reflect the requirements for management measures, the spatial extent of features and the extent to which OSPAR/BAP² features are already afforded protection. The intermediate scenario is viewed as the best estimate. The estimated impacts across the three scenarios commonly vary quite significantly.

Rationale for Government intervention

The EU Wild Birds Directive (2009/147/EC as codified) requires Member States to classify as Special Protection Areas (SPAs) the most suitable territories for wild birds. The Scottish Government is responsible for identifying SPAs for Scotland.

In addition, the Scottish Government has a number of international commitments to deliver a network of MPAs. Scotland's marine environment provides: food; energy sources (wind, wave and tidal power, minerals and fossil fuels); routes and harbours for shipping; tourism and recreational opportunities; and sites of cultural and historical interest. Scotland's seas contain important distinctive habitats and support a diverse range of species that require protection in order to be conserved or for recovery to be facilitated. Due to the competing demands placed upon Scotland's marine resources, more effective management is required so that a balance between conservation and sustainable use can be struck. Currently there is not sufficient protection in place to ensure that the marine environment is properly protected and complex ecosystems safeguarded.

The SPAs will form part of an ecologically coherent network of well-managed MPAs that is vital to conserve and regenerate our seas, in turn protecting the many goods and services they provide now, and for generations to come.

2	Biodiversity	Action Pla	n
	D.00.10.0.1	, 10110111 1a	٠.

Consultation

Within Government

Consultation has been undertaken with policy colleagues within Marine Scotland, including aquaculture, nature conservation, marine renewables, fisheries and fresh water fisheries, and with Transport Scotland.

Historic Environment Scotland and the Scottish Environmental Protection Agency have also been consulted. Meetings were held with policy officials within these public bodies to discuss the development of these SPAs. We have also been working with Defra and other UK Departments on the join up between the Scottish MPA network, which includes SPAs, and the wider UK contribution to the OSPAR MPA network.

• Public Consultation

A full public consultation took place in Autumn 2016. Further consultation took place in Autumn 2018 on a Network Assessment for the proposed set of sites and the SEA. An update to the SEA was consulted on in the summer of 2019.

Business

Routine updates are provided to the Marine Strategy Forum and are supplemented with

bilateral meetings across sectors including the fishing industry, environmental NGOs, tourism and recreation, nature conservation, renewable energy, aquaculture, ports and harbours, defence and local community groups.

A National Workshop attended by a wide range of stakeholders was held in March 2016 to present the proposals and gather feedback on the proposed consultation package.³

Options

Option 1: Do nothing

Option 1 is the 'Do nothing' option; this is the baseline scenario. Under this option, the proposed West Coast of the Outer Hebrides site is not classified. Accordingly, no additional management measures would be required.

Option 2: Classify site as a Special Protection Area

Option 2 involves the formal classification of the West Coast of the Outer Hebrides site. Classification would provide recognition and protection to the natural features of the site while also contributing to the wider Scottish and UK SPA network. Requisite management would be required to maintain the status of the site.

³ http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/marine-environment/mpanetwork/marinespas/spaworkshop

Sectors and groups affected

The following sectors have been identified as present (or possibly present in the future) within the proposed West Coast of the Outer Hebrides site and potentially interact with one or more of the features:

- Aquaculture (Finfish)
- Aquaculture (Shellfish)
- Coastal defence and flood protection
- Commercial fisheries (GVA)
- Energy generation
- Military
- Ports and harbours
- Telecom cables
- Public Sector

Affected sectors may be impacted to a greater or lesser degree by classification depending on which scenario is pursued and which management option is preferred.

Benefits

Option 1: Do nothing

No additional benefits are expected to arise from this policy option.

Option 2: Designate site as a Special Protection Area

The extent and quality of habitat and available food around Scotland's coast supports huge numbers of different species of seabirds. Few countries can match this and we have an international responsibility to protect what we have around Scotland. Therefore the appropriate action is to protect and maintain Scotland's seabird and water bird populations and meet the requirements of the EU Wild Birds Directive.

SPAs are created to meet international commitments under the EU Wild Birds Directive, which promotes the conservation of wild birds. SPAs are managed to safeguard the birds and avoid significant disturbance and deterioration of their habitats. This means that proposed activities likely to affect an SPA are assessed for their potential to cause such disturbance or deterioration. The relevant consenting authority must ensure beyond reasonable scientific doubt that any impact is not significant before permitting the activity.

While it may not be possible with current levels of research to monetise benefits with a satisfactory degree of rigour, it is clear that many of the benefits relate to aspects of our lives that we take for granted and for which it is good practice and common sense to maintain through protection measures such as SPAs.

Contribution to an Ecologically Coherent network

Scotland's seas support a huge diversity of marine life and habitats, with around 6,500 species of plants and animals, with plenty more no doubt to be found in the undiscovered deeps of the north and west of Scotland. Our seas account for 61% of UK waters and remain at the forefront of our food and energy needs, through fishing, aquaculture, oil and gas, and new industries such as renewables, as well as recreation activities and ecotourism. This SPA is a contribution to a wider network of Marine Protected Areas designed to conserve and regenerate our seas. This in turn will help ensure that ecosystem goods and services continue to support current and future generations. It is likely that an ecologically coherent network of marine protected areas is likely to provide greater benefit than the sum of its individual components.

Ecosystem Services Benefits

Ecosystems are very complex, and it is thought that the more complex an ecosystem is the more resilient it is to change. Therefore, if it is damaged or if a species or habitat is removed from that ecosystem, the chances of survival for those services reduce as the ecosystem becomes weaker. However, by conserving or allowing the species and habitats that make up that ecosystem to recover, we can be more confident of the continuation of the long term benefits the marine environment provides.

Non-Use Values

Non-use value of the natural environment is the benefit people get simply from being aware of a diverse and sustainable marine environment even if they do not themselves use it. We take for granted many of the things we read about or watch, such as bright colourful fish, reefs and strange shaped deep sea curiosities, to lose them would be a loss to future generations that will not be able to experience them. It is challenging to put a precise value on this, but the high quality experience derived from Scotland's seas can be better preserved through measures such as SPAs.

It is expected that non-use value will be attained as a result of classification and the support of wider conservation objectives. Whilst ecosystem services benefits at an individual site level cannot be readily calculated, the one-off non-use value to Scottish households of marine conservation in Scottish waters generated by the additional 14 SPAs is estimated to be in the region of £74 million.⁴ This figure uses valuation evidence across several sites with similar features and characteristics and highlights the significant positive non-use value that divers and anglers within the Scottish marine environment place on securing the quality of the marine resources they use as a result of protection against degradation.

⁴ Developing the Evidence Base for Impact Assessments, ABPMer

Use Values

There could be a major transformative effect on inshore habitat and a significantly enhanced flow of environmental goods and services. We know the inherent capacity of the system and the flora and fauna that it could support. Achieving that could see the expansion of recreational activities such as diving, sea-angling, and other tourism alongside sustainable methods of fishing.

Research by Kenter et al⁵ has been used to estimate the use benefits to divers and anglers specifically, as a result of classifications safeguarding the total recreational value of the sites. The additional increase in recreational value as result of implementing management measures for the 14 new SPAs has an estimated total present value of £2.1-6.2 million over the 20 year assessment period.⁶

In addition there is likely to be increased activity for businesses in the marine wildlife and tourism sector. This includes those directly involved (e.g. operating boat trips) and those benefiting indirectly (e.g. accommodation providers). The scale of this increase across the proposed sites cannot be quantified, but it can be expected to be some increment of the existing value of these activities. Given the marine wildlife tourism market is currently estimated to be worth £100's of millions per year, an increment of this could be expected to be worth in the region of £10 million per year across the network to the Scottish wildlife tourism market.⁷

Summary of Benefits

The uncertainties in each of the benefits assessed result in a large range of estimated values. Based on the available evidence, the combined total present value of the benefits for the new network (based on the additional benefits of the 14 new proposals) is tentatively estimated to be between in the region of £80 million over the 20 year assessment period. This is comprised of a one-off non-use value attained at designation to Scottish households of marine conservation in Scottish waters generated by the additional 14 SPAs of £74 million and an additional use value as result of implementing management measures for the 14 new SPAs of £2.1-£6.2 million.

For a qualitative summary of anticipated benefits to ecosystem services in this particular site see appendix A.

Costs

Option 1: Do nothing

This option is not predicted to create any additional costs to the sectors and groups outlined above.

⁵ http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=Mb8nUAphh%2bY%3d&tabid=82

⁶ Developing the Evidence Base for Impact Assessments, ABPMer

⁷ Developing the Evidence Base for Impact Assessments, ABPMer

However failure to classify the "most suitable territories" as SPAs would leave the Scottish Government exposed to a high risk of EC infraction proceedings, which may result in substantial one off and recurring fines.

In addition it should be noted that the societal cost of not designating could be both large and irreversible relative to the current condition of the marine environment. The absence of management measures to conserve the identified features may produce future economic and social costs in terms of increased marine habitat and biodiversity degradation. The option to not designate holds the potential to undermine the overall ecological coherence of the Scottish SPA Network. This potentially large and irreversible societal cost avoided is presented within the benefits section of the 'do designate' scenario (option 2) to avoid double counting the same impact.

Option 2: Designate site as a Special Protection Area

Costs have been evaluated based on the implementation of potential management measures. Where feasible costs have been quantified, where this has not been possible costs are stated qualitatively. All quantified costs have been discounted in line with HM Treasury guidance using a discount rate of 3.5%. Discounting reflects the fact that individuals prefer present consumption over future consumption.

Aquaculture (Finfish)

There are five finfish aquaculture sites within the boundary of the WOH SPA. These are Sound of Harris, West Loch Tarbert, Ardhasaig I, Ardhasaig II and Soay. There are a further three additional finfish farms within 1km of the SPA. These are Grey Horse Channel, Hellisay and Rodel.

Economic Co	Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA				
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate	Upper Estimate		
		Estimate			
Assumptions for cost impacts	 Additional assessment to support planning applications; and Additional assessment to support CAR Applications. 	 Additional assessment to support planning applications; and Additional assessment to support CAR Applications. 	 Additional assessment to support planning applications; Additional assessment to support CAR Applications; and Additional bird surveys. 		
Description of one-off	Under all scenarios:				
costs	SSPO estimates that there will be a 12 planning applications across the SPAs in the next five years. For the purposes of this assessment, it has been assumed that similar rates of application occur in subsequent periods of the impact				

assessment and the distribution of planning applications is in proportion to the number of existing sites in each SPA. It is assumed that the additional assessments will fall in 2017, 2022, 2027 and 2032 and the costs of each assessment will be £5.2k; and

It has been assumed that additional assessment will be required to support CAR licence applications at a cost of £5.2k per licence application incurred once every 10 years for each finfish farm installation within 1km of a new marine SPA where these installations are not already within an existing site (SAC, SPA or MPA). The CAR licence applications are assumed to be in 2020 and 2030 for all installations.

For upper scenario only:

It is assumed that a condition of the licence for each of the 12 planning applications will be to provide annual monitoring returns of bird entanglement at a cost of £0.5k per site per year starting in the year following submission of the planning application.

Description of recurring costs	• None.	• None.	• None.
Description of non-quantified costs	 Cost of	 Cost of uncertainty	 Cost of uncertainty
	uncertainty and	and delays in	and delays in
	delays in planning	planning	planning
	applications.	applications.	applications.

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£millions)				
Total costs (2015– 2034)	0.027	0.027	0.046	
Average annual costs	0.001	0.001	0.002	
Present value of total costs (2015– 2034)	0.020	0.020	0.032	

Aquaculture (Shellfish)

There are three shellfish aquaculture sites within the boundary of the WOH SPA. These are Biadh na Gradh, Garbh Lingeigh and Sound of Harris (Shellfish). There are a further four additional shellfish sites within 1km of the pSPA. These are Fuiay Rock South of Hellisay, Nursery Site, Rubha Charnain and Sounds of Barra.

Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA				
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate Estimate	Upper Estimate	
Assumptions	 Additional 	 Additional 	 Additional 	
for cost	assessment to	assessment to	assessment to	
impacts	support planning applications.	support planning applications.	support planning applications.	
Description of one-off	Under all scenarios:			
costs	It has been assumed that there will be 15 planning applications (new installations or extensions) that may be submitted at a national level in the next five years within or adjacent (within 1km) to new SPA proposals. For subsequent periods of the IA, it has been assumed that this number will reduce to 10 planning applications within new SPAs every 5 years. The total number of planning applications in each five year period has bene assigned to individual new SPAs based on the relative number of existing installations within each new SPA. It is assumed that the additional assessments will fall in 2017, 2022, 2027 and 2032 and the costs of each assessment will be £5.2k.			
Description of recurring costs	■ None.	■ None.	■ None.	
Description of non-quantified costs	Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications.	 Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications. 	Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications.	

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£million)				
Total costs (2015– 2034)	0.026	0.026	0.026	
Average annual costs	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Present value of total costs (2015– 2034)	0.020	0.020	0.020	

Coastal defence and flood protection

There are five coast protection and flood defence structures (1 x Artificial protection (dykes), 3 x rock armour, 1 x breakwater) which overlap the WOH SPA

boundary or within the 10km buffer. Therefore, management costs may be incurred under the assumption structures will require maintenance or construction works once every 20 years (starting in 2024).

Seasonal controls will be applied to construction activity, where necessary, to minimise impacts to protected features. It has been assumed that these seasonal restrictions can be accommodated without imposing any additional cost on the construction programme.

Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as a SPA				
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate	Upper Estimate	
		Estimate		
Assumptions for	Additional	Additional	Additional	
cost impacts	assessment to	assessment to	assessment to	
	support planning	support planning	support planning	
	application	application	application	
	(maintenance or	(maintenance or	(maintenance or	
	construction	construction	construction	
Description of	works).	works).	works).	
Description of one-off costs	 Additional assessment to 	 Additional assessment to 	 Additional assessment to 	
One-on costs	support planning	support planning	support planning	
	application	application	application	
	(maintenance or	(maintenance or	(maintenance or	
	construction	construction	construction	
	works) - £5.2k	works) - £5.2k	works) - £5.2k	
	per application.	per application.	per application.	
	Applications	Applications	Applications	
	estimated for	estimated for	estimated for	
	two	two	two	
	developments to	developments to	developments to	
	be submitted in	be submitted in	be submitted in	
D i . f f	2024.	2024.	2024.	
Description of	None.	None.	None.	
recurring costs	0	0	0	
Description of	Seasonal seasonal	Seasonal seasonal	Seasonal septrals applied	
non-quantified costs	controls applied to construction	controls applied to construction	controls applied to construction	
00313	activity.	activity.	activity.	
	activity.	activity.	activity.	

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£million)				
Total costs (2015– 2034)	0.026	0.026	0.026	
Average annual costs	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Present value of	0.019	0.019	0.019	

total costs		
(2015–		
2034)		

Commercial Fisheries:

According to VMS-based estimates and ICES rectangle landings statistics, pots, dredges, and trawls (over-15m) and dredges and trawls (under-15m vessels) operate within the WOH SPA. The value of catches from the WOH area was £35,000 (over-15m vessels) and £746,000 (under-15m vessels, indicated from ICES rectangle landings data) (annual average for 2009–2013, 2015 prices). Landings from the over-15m vessels are predominantly into Stocknish (23% by value), Uig (22%) and Kallin (13%). For the over-15m fleet, a total of 66 UK vessels operated in the WOH area in the period 2009-2013, including nephrops trawls (32), dredges (20) and pots (14). Pots operate mainly in the west part of the SPA and in the east in the channels between Isle of Harris and North Uist and between South Uist and Barra.

Management measures for the scenarios have been developed based on the sensitivity and vulnerability of the features to the pressures caused by different gear types and SNH recommendations.

Uprated ScotMap data (under-15m vessels) indicate that the annual average earnings from the WOH SPA was £2,965,000 for the period 2007-2011, with pots contributing the highest value. The coverage for ScotMap interviews in the region was 87% (total value of reported landings from the Fisheries Information Network for those vessels included in the ScotMap value analysis expressed as a percentage of the total reported landings for all vessels <15m); the spatial representation of the value of fishing is more robust in regions where coverage is higher.

Non-UK VMS ping data indicate that 1 non-UK vessel was active in the WOH area in 2011 to 2013, from Norway. No information on gear types used by the Norwegian vessel was available.

Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as a SPA				
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate Estimate	Upper Estimate	
Assumptions for cost impacts	No change to existing	 10% reduction in mobile bottom gear effort across the site 	30% reduction in mobile bottom gear effort across the site	
Description of one-off costs	None	• None	■ None	
Description of recurring costs	• None	 Loss of >15m fishing income (annual values, £ k): 	 Loss of >15m fishing income (annual values, £ k): 	

_			
		 dredges (0.8); all trawls (0.3). Loss of <15m fishing income (annual values, £ k): dredges (2.5); all trawls (1.8). 	 dredges (2.4); all trawls (0.8). Loss of <15m fishing income (annual values, £ k): dredges (7.5); all trawls (5.3).
Description of non-quantified costs	• None	 Loss of value of catches from non-UK vessels using mobile bottom contact gears in the SPA (possibly Norway (1 vessel)); and Displacement impacts (additional fishing pressure on other areas, potential conflict with other vessels, additional steaming time/fuel costs, gear development and adaptation costs, and additional quota costs). 	 Loss of value of catches from non-UK vessels using mobile bottom contact gears in the SPA (possibly Norway (1 vessel)); and Displacement impacts (additional fishing pressure on other areas, potential conflict with other vessels, additional steaming time/fuel costs, gear development and adaptation costs, and additional quota costs).

Commercial fisheries costs are presented below in terms of Gross Value Added (GVA). GVA more accurately reflects the wider value of the sector to the local area and economy beyond the market value of the landed catch. Stating costs purely in terms of landed value would overstate the true economic cost of not fishing. If fishermen are prevented from catching fish they forgo the landed value of those fish but subsequently forgo the payment of intermediate costs such as fuel (it is assumed that no fishing activity is displaced). Costs are also presented in terms of the reduction in full-time equivalent (FTE) employment. It is also possible that effort not continuing in the area could be transferred to other locations resulting in no or reduced loss of income.

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as a SPA (£Million)				
Total change in GVA (2015–2034)	0.000	0.049	0.148	
Average annual change to GVA	0.000	0.003	0.007	
Present value of total change in GVA (2015–2034)	0.000	0.036	0.109	
Direct and Indirect reduction in Employment	0.0 jobs	0.1 jobs	0.2 jobs	

These estimates represent a worst-case scenario, based on the assumption of zero displacement of fishing activity. In reality, it is likely that some commercial fishing activity will be displaced to other grounds and hence it is likely that the impacts on employment are likely to be lower than those estimated. A recent Marine Scotland study on fisheries displacement in relation to the 2015 Nature Conservation MPA classifications⁸ indicated that a significant proportion of fishing effort affected by the classifications was likely to relocate elsewhere. In reality, vessels are likely to react to any management measures in place in order to maintain profitability (i.e. by changing target species/gear type) but this could add to their costs (i.e. the extra fuel cost associated with fishing elsewhere). This uncertainty surrounding the change in behaviour is the reasoning behind not attempting to quantify this cost impact. Other non-quantified costs include: potential conflict with other fishing vessels, environmental consequences of targeting new areas, longer steaming times and increased fuel costs, changes in costs and earnings, gear development and adaptation costs, and additional quota costs.

Energy Generation:

There are no energy generation developments within the WOH SPA boundary (or 10 km buffer); thus economic costs and management measures associated with energy generation in this SPA are described in light of known possible future developments.

One wave energy generation development, the Harris Demonstration Project (test site), is currently planned (pre-consent) to be located within 10 km of the WOH SPA boundary. There are currently no offshore wind or tidal energy generation developments within the WOH SPA boundary (or 10 km buffer).

⁸ http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/marine-environment/mpanetwork/Displacement

Economic Costs of	Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA			
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate Estimate	Upper Estimate	
Assumptions for cost impacts	Additional assessment (HRA) of new wave and tidal development.	 Additional assessment (HRA) of new wave and tidal development; and Additional monitoring of SPA features for new tidal developments. 	 Additional assessment (HRA) of new wave and tidal development; and Additional monitoring of SPA features for new wave and tidal developments. 	
Description of one-off costs	Additional assessment for licence application — £12,650 per licence application. Applications estimated for one wave development (Harris Demonstration Project) to be submitted in 2016.	Additional assessment for licence application – £12,650 per licence application. Applications estimated for one wave development (Harris Demonstration Project) to be submitted in 2016.	 Additional assessment for licence application – £12,650 per licence applications. Applications estimated for one wave development (Harris Demonstration Project) to be submitted in 2016; and Additional monitoring of SPA features - £20k per development every three years following installation. Monitoring estimated for one wave development (Harris Demonstration Project) to be installed in 2016, thus monitoring conducted in 2019, 2022, 	

			2025, 2028, 2031 and 2034.
Description of recurring costs	• None.	• None.	• None.
Description of non-quantified costs	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities.

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£Million)			
Total costs (2015–2034)	0.013	0.013	0.133
Average annual costs	0.001	0.001	0.007
Present value of total costs (2015–2034)	0.012	0.012	0.094

Possible social impacts may flow from the economic costs resulting from classification. There may be reduced future employment opportunities if additional costs are significant and render development projects economically unviable or if delays arising from classification impact on potential investment opportunities. It is not possible to assess potential cost impacts relating to potential future development areas, such as the Sectoral Marine Plan options, that could be affected due to the uncertainty surrounding the location and nature of future development.

Military

8 military practice areas (Fleet Exercise Area (North) (X5501), Barra (X5633), Ushenish (X5712), Hebrides (D701), Loch Maddy (X5713), Hebrides (D701A), Hebrides (D701C) and Hebrides (D701E); All firing danger areas) overlap with the WOH SPA.

The features which overlap with military activities have not been described as vulnerable to MoD activities in this SPA. It is assumed that management relating to MoD activity will be coordinated through the MoD's Maritime Environmental Sustainability Appraisal Tool (MESAT) which the MoD uses to assist in meeting its environmental obligations. This process will include operational guidance to reduce significant impacts of military activities on SPAs. It is assumed that the MoD will incur additional costs in adjusting MESAT and other MoD environmental assessment tools in order to consider whether its activities will impact on the conservation objectives of SPAs and also incur additional costs in adjusting electronic charts to consider SPAs. However, these costs will be incurred at national level and hence no site-specific cost assessments have been made.

Ports and Harbours

There are 15 minor ports/harbours (Acarsaid, Aird Ma Ruibhe Terminal, Aird Mhor, Ardhasaig, Ardveenish, Barra, Castlebay, Ceann a Gharaidh, Eriskay, Haunn, Hougharry, Leverburgh, Ludag, Northbay and Pol nan Crann) located within the WOH SPA boundary or within the 1km buffer. Therefore, management costs may be incurred under the assumption that minor ports/harbours will undertake development every 10 years (starting in 2025) within the assessment period (2015-2034).

There are no open disposal sites within the WOH SPA boundary (or 1km buffer).

	Lower Estimate	Intermediate	Upper Estimate
		Estimate	
Assumptions for	Additional	Additional	Additional
cost impacts	assessment of	assessment of	assessment of
	new port/harbour	new port/harbour	new port/harbour
	developments in	developments in	developments in
	or adjacent to	or adjacent to	or adjacent to
	SPA to support	SPA to support	SPA to support
	licence	licence	licence
	applications.	applications.	applications.
Description of	 Additional 	 Additional 	 Additional
one-off costs	assessment of	assessment of	assessment of
	new port/harbour	new port/harbour	new port/harbou
	developments -	developments -	developments -
	£7.1k per	£7.1k per	£7.1k per
	application.	application.	application.
	Assessment	Assessment	Assessment
	estimated for 13	estimated for 13	estimated for 13
	minor ports	minor ports	minor ports
	(Acarsaid, Aird	(Acarsaid, Aird	(Acarsaid, Aird
	Ma Ruibhe	Ma Ruibhe	Ma Ruibhe
	Terminal, Aird	Terminal, Aird	Terminal, Aird
	Mhor,	Mhor,	Mhor,
	Ardhasaig,	Ardhasaig,	Ardhasaig,
	Ardveenish,	Ardveenish,	Ardveenish,
	Barra,	Barra,	Barra,
	Castlebay,	Castlebay,	Castlebay,
	Ceann a	Ceann a	Ceann a
	Gharaidh,	Gharaidh,	Gharaidh,
	Eriskay, Haunn,	Eriskay, Haunn,	Eriskay, Haunn,
	Leverburgh,	Leverburgh,	Leverburgh,
	Ludag,	Ludag,	Ludag,
	Northbay) to be	Northbay) to be	Northbay) to be
	submitted in	submitted in	submitted in
	2025.	2025.	2025.

Description of	None.	None.	None.
recurring costs			
Description of non-quantified costs	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities.

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£Million)			
Total costs (2015–2034)	0.092	0.092	0.092
Average annual costs	0.005	0.005	0.005
Present value of total costs (2015–2034)	0.065	0.065	0.065

It should be noted that additional cost impacts could also arise as a result of consenting delays. The cost impacts and uncertainty associated with SPA classification may impact on potential investment opportunities.

Telecom Cables

There are three telecom cables (Leverburgh to Lochmaddy, Balla to Ludag and North Bay to Coilleag) located within the WOH SPA boundary. Therefore, management measures associated with the replacement of telecom cables (additional assessment) during the assessment period could lead to cost impacts.

Economic Costs	Economic Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA			
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate	Upper Estimate	
		Estimate		
Assumptions for	 Additional 	 Additional 	 Additional 	
cost impacts	assessment to	assessment to	assessment to	
	inform marine	inform marine	inform marine	
	licensing for	licensing for	licensing for	
	telecom cable	telecom cable	telecom cable	
	replacement.	replacement.	replacement.	
Description of	 Additional 	 Additional 	 Additional 	
one-off costs	assessment to	assessment to	assessment to	
	inform marine	inform marine	inform marine	
	licensing - £2.6k	licensing - £2.6k	licensing – £2.6k	
	per licence	per licence	per licence	
	application.	application.	application.	
	Applications	Applications	Applications	
	estimated for	estimated for	estimated for	
	three telecom	three telecom	three telecom	

Description of	cables (Leverburgh to Lochmaddy, Balla to Ludag and North Bay to Coilleag) to be submitted in 2025. None.	cables (Leverburgh to Lochmaddy, Balla to Ludag and North Bay to Coilleag) to be submitted in 2025. None.	cables (Leverburgh to Lochmaddy, Balla to Ludag and North Bay to Coilleag) to be submitted in 2025. None.
recurring costs Description of non-quantified costs	 Costs of project	 Costs of project	Costs of project
	delays during	delays during	delays during
	consenting;	consenting;	consenting;
	potential impact	potential impact	potential impact
	on investment	on investment	on investment
	opportunities.	opportunities.	opportunities.

Quantified Costs on the Activity of Classification of the Site as an SPA (£Million)			
Total costs (2014–2033)	0.008	0.008	0.008
Average annual costs	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Present value of total costs (2014–2033)	0.006	0.006	0.006

It should be noted that additional cost impacts could also arise as a result of consenting delays. The cost impacts and uncertainty associated with SPA classification may impact on potential investment opportunities.

Public Sector:

The decision to designate the West Coast of the Outer Hebrides site as a SPA, would result in costs being incurred by the public sector in the following areas:

- Preparation of Marine Management Schemes
- Preparation of Statutory Instruments
- Development of voluntary instruments
- Site monitoring
- Compliance and enforcement
- Promotion of public understanding
- Regulatory and advisory costs associated with licensing decisions

Some of these costs will accrue at the national level and as such have not been disaggregated to site level.

Site-specific Public Sector Costs (£Million, 2015-2034)			
	Lower Estimate	Intermediate Estimate	Upper Estimate
Preparation of Marine Management Schemes	0.025	0.025	0.025
Preparation of Statutory Instruments	0.000	0.004	0.004
Development of voluntary measures	0.000	0.000	0.000
Site monitoring	0.088	0.088	0.088
Regulatory and advisory costs associated with licensing decisions	0.014	0.014	0.014
Total Quantified Public Sector Costs	0.127	0.131	0.131

Total Costs

Total quantified costs are presented in present value terms. Commercial fisheries costs are presented in terms of GVA.

Total Present Value of Quantified Costs (£Million, 2015-2034)			
Sector	Lower Estimate	Intermediate Estimate	Upper Estimate
Aquaculture (Finfish)	0.020	0.020	0.032
Aquaculture (Shellfish)	0.020	0.020	0.020
Coastal defence and flood protection	0.019	0.019	0.019
Energy generation	0.012	0.012	0.094
Military	See National Costs	See National Costs	See National Costs
Ports and harbours	0.065	0.065	0.065
Telecom cables	0.006	0.006	0.006
Public Sector	0.127	0.131	0.131
Total Present Value of Costs	0.269	0.273	0.367

GVA Impacts (£million 2015-2034)			
Commercial Fisheries 0.000 0.036 0.109			

Total Non-Quantified Costs									
Scenario									
Sector/Group									
Aquaculture (Finfish)	 Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications. 	 Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications. 	 Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications. 						
Aquaculture (Shellfish)	Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications.	Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications.	Cost of uncertainty and delays in planning applications.						
Coastal defence and flood protection	 Seasonal controls applied to construction activity. 	 Seasonal controls applied to construction activity. 	Seasonal controls applied to construction activity.						
Commercial fisheries	■ None	 Loss of value of catches from non-UK vessels and Displacement impacts 	 Loss of value of catches from non-UK vessels and Displacement impacts 						
Energy generation	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities.						
Ports and harbours	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact on investment opportunities. 						
Telecom cables	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact 	 Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact 	Costs of project delays during consenting; potential impact						

on investment	on investment	on investment
opportunities.	opportunities.	opportunities.

Scottish Firms Impact Test

This section is informed by evidence gathered during the consultation phase.

Businesses affected include some small and micro-sized firms. Additional costs imposed by the classification of the site have the potential to fall on small businesses.

• Competition Assessment

Classification of the site as a SPA may affect marine activities where businesses operate within a given spatial area or require a spatial licence for new or amended operations.

Competition Filter Questions

Will the proposal directly limit the number or range of suppliers? e.g. will it award exclusive rights to a supplier or create closed procurement or licensing programmes?

No. It is unlikely that classification of the site as a SPA will directly limit the number or range of suppliers.

Will the proposal indirectly limit the number or range of suppliers? e.g. will it raise costs to smaller entrants relative to larger existing suppliers?

Limited / No Impact. Classification of the site as a SPA could affect the spatial location of commercial fisheries activity and may restrict the output capacity of this sector. However, restrictions on fishing locations may well be negated by displacement i.e. vessels fishing elsewhere. It is not expected that the distribution of additional costs will be skewed towards smaller entrants relative to larger existing suppliers.

Classification could affect the preparation of applications, location of marine developments and activities, or requirements for marine developments which would apply to any developer of an affected licensed activity when preparing and submitting an application. Additional costs will potentially be incurred by developers submitting new licence applications, but they will apply to both new entrants and to incumbents looking to expand or alter their operations.

Will the proposal limit the ability of suppliers to compete? e.g. will it reduce the channels suppliers can use or geographic area they can operate in?

No. Classification of the site will not directly affect firms' route to market or the geographical markets they can sell into.

Will the proposal reduce suppliers' incentives to compete vigorously? e.g. will it encourage or enable the exchange of information on prices, costs, sales or outputs between suppliers?

No. Classification of the site is not expected to reduce suppliers' incentives to compete vigorously.

Test run of business forms

It is not envisaged that classification of the site will result in the creation of new forms for businesses to deal with, or result in amendments of existing forms.

Legal Aid Impact Test

It is not expected that the SPA will have any impact on the current level of use that an individual makes to access justice through legal aid or on the possible expenditure from the legal aid fund as any legal/authorisation decision impacted by the SPA will largely affect businesses rather than individuals.

Enforcement, sanctions and monitoring

The relevant competent authorities for each activity / industry has responsibility for compliance, monitoring and enforcement of the requirement to protect the site. This must be done in accordance with Article 6 of the EU Habitats Directive.

Implementation and delivery plan

After classification of the site the relevant competent authorities must adhere to the legislative requirements so that adequate protection of the site occurs. Marine Scotland will be responsible for considering whether fisheries management measures are required.

Summary and recommendation

Option 2: Designate site as a Special Protection Area – is the preferred option.

The extent and quality of habitat and available food around Scotland's coast supports huge numbers of different species of seabirds. Few countries can match this and we have an international responsibility to protect what we have around Scotland. Therefore the appropriate action is to protect and maintain Scotland's seabird and water bird populations and meet the requirements of the EC Birds Directive.

Declaration and publication

I have read the Business and Regulatory Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that (a) it represents a fair and reasonable view of the expected costs, benefits and impact of the policy, and (b) that the benefits justify the costs. I am satisfied that business impact has been assessed with the support of businesses in Scotland.

Signed:

Date:

03 December 2020

Mairi Gougeon, Minister for Rural Affairs and the Natural Environment

Scottish Government Contact point:

marine_conservation@gov.scot

Appendix A - Ecosystem Services Benefits, West Coast of the Outer Hebrides

Comicos	Relevance	Baseline	Estimated Impacts of Classification			Value	Scale of	0
Services	to Site	Level	Lower	Intermediate	Upper	Weighting	Benefits	Confidence
Fish for human consumption	Low, seabed contribute to the food web	Stocks not at MSY	Nil	Minimal, small increase in fish stocks possible		Low	Minimal	Moderate
Fish for non- human consumption		Stocks reduced from potential maximum						
Gas and climate regulation	Minimal, in coastal areas	Minimal	Nil			Low	Nil	High
Non-use value of natural environment	Moderate, bird species, and contribution of the site to MPA network, have non-use value.	Non-use value of the site may decline	Minimal	Low, maintain features of site	Moderate, protection of features of site from decline, possibly allowing some recovery	Low - moderate, contributes to maintaining marine biodiversity	Low	Moderate, response of feature to management measures, and value to society, uncertain
Recreation	Low - Moderate, inaccessible site, but limited wildlife tourism, but features contribute to W. Isles tourism.	Recreation value of the site may decline		otection of site features that to recreation, possibly allowing some		Moderate, tourism supports jobs, but substitutes are available.	Minimal.	Moderate, significance of change from management measures uncertain.
Research and Education	Moderate, features have	Value of site may decline	Minimal	Low, protection maintains future research opport-unities.		Low	Low	Moderate, extent to

Services	Relevance to Site	Baseline Level	Estimated	Estimated Impacts of Classification			Scale of	Confidence
			Lower	Intermediate	Upper	Weighting	Benefits	Confidence
	research			Classification may play role in				which
	value, but			communicating	management			research uses
	there are			needs.	-			site in future
	substitutes							uncertain.
Total value of changes in ecosystem services		Minimal fo	Minimal for lower scenario, Low for intermediate and upper			Low	Moderate	
	-		scenarios					



© Crown copyright 2020



This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit **nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3** or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: **psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk**.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.scot

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at

The Scottish Government St Andrew's House Edinburgh EH1 3DG

ISBN: 978-1-80004-376-3 (web only)

Published by The Scottish Government, December 2020

Produced for The Scottish Government by APS Group Scotland, 21 Tennant Street, Edinburgh EH6 5NA PPDAS799746 (12/20)

www.gov.scot