## **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

## The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan

	on 1: Should we change the name of the carer's assessment to the Carer's t Plan?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comr	nents:
	We would support the views of carers within South Lanarkshire in changing the terminology to 'carers support plan' from 'carer's assessment' and to remove the negative connotation of assessing a carer's 'ability' to care, though we do feel that there are some practice issues in terms of the carer's assessment/carer support plan.
	Whilst we certainly support the view that a strengths based approach is required and terminology does need to change, we feel practice issues are arguably of more concern in supporting carers, indeed carers report this.
	on 2: Should we remove the substantial and regular test so that all carers will ble for the Carer's Support Plan?
Yes	⊠ No
Comr	nents:
	We would not support removing the 'regular and substantial test' and early comments from some carers within South Lanarkshire support this, with a view to targeting support/resources to those carers within greatest need.
	Removing the test could potentially open up support to people who may quite simply be good neighbours (running errands for an older person etc). However, in driving consistency, ultimately we would like to see the same definition used by all LAs in relation to 'regular and substantial'.

Question 3: Should we remove that part of the existing carer assessment process whereby the cared-for person is a person for whom the local authority must or may provide community care services/children's services?	
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comme	ents:
: 1 ;	We would support the proposal that a carer should be able to request support from the LA (assessment of need) if services are not provided to the cared-for person (such as for example if the cared-for person is accessing health services only). In practice, we would not envisage this proposal to have a major impact in terms of resourcing at present but with integration we would want to explore the potential impact of this further.
Question 4: Should we introduce two routes through to the Carer's Support Plan – at the carer's request and by the local authority making an offer?	
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comme	ents:
require	should have direct access for the consideration of their support ments and local authorities should identify carers' needs more readily. A of routes should be available.
Question provide o	5: Should we remove from statute the wording about the carer's ability to are?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comme	ents:
about h	though carers are clear that this wording is not helpful and also raise issues now interpretation of this presents in practice. The change in terminology be welcomed.
length of	6: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the time it is likely to take to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds to be advised of the reasons?
⊠ Yes	□No

Comments:	
	should promote reasonable working time frames, we staff to inform carers of the process of the carer's finish.
We are aware from our co of the assessment proces	onsultation with carers that in practice carer experiences s can vary considerably.
Question 7: How significant and carers?	an issue is portability of assessment for service users
Comments:	
from carers but we do sup longer-distance caring inc Changing demographics,	as a significant issue in our area and in our feedback oport the need for some more research in this area as reases because of geographical and social mobility. the need to support older people and to respond to nities would necessitate further work in this area.
	n (young carers) move from one local authority area to an will be governed by that respective legislation.
	ottish Government and COSLA with relevant interests ord improvements to the portability of assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Yes, as per our comments	s above. We would support further work in this area.
	duce a duty for local authorities to establish and maintain le with information and advice relating to the Carer's or carers and young carers?
□ Yes	No ⊠
	F7
Comments:	

information and advice to carer opportunity to review and impro	within South Lanarkshire in terms of providing so but the proposed legislation affords an ove the services' ability to support and represent eir rights and accessing information.
Carers are aware of local resoumprovement.	urces, however they report there being room for
(Scotland) Act 2002 about the su Ministers, subject to reassurance	section 12 of the Community Care and Health bmission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish es, which are subject in turn to Spending Review of funding to Health Boards for support to carers
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
_	Social Care will support the need to repeal section ould continue to be dedicated specifically to carers.
Support to Carers (other than i	nformation and advice)
Question 11: Should we introduct to an eligibility framework?	ce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
most in need, but we must be v	d in order to allocate resources to those carers very mindful that Caring Together (the national ventative working. Carers needing lower level ss this timeously and easily.
Question 12: Alternatively, shoul support carers and young carers?	d we retain the existing discretionary power to?
☐ Yes	⊠ No
Comments:	
See above. We would support	introducing a legal duty.

Question 13: Should we introduce a duty to provide short breaks?	
☐ Yes	⊠ No
	ort introducing a duty, carers may get a short break as and by introducing support as a duty, the output of a short come for the carer.
Stages and Transitions	
will include guidance for thos stages of caring? This would	ue statutory guidance on the Carer's Support Plan which se undertaking the Carer's Support Plan on managing d apply to adult carers only. (For young carers, practice o support management of a Child's Plan through the
⊠ Yes	□ No
drive up practice standards 'managing the stages of ca	nce is welcomed as this will increase consistency and s. We would note caution with the terminology aring' as caring is not a linear process.  g and acknowledging the stages of caring though will bung carers through to adulthood.
Carer's Support Plan if they s support recorded in the Care carer becomes a (young) add	<u>_</u>
∑ Yes	□ No
	on the individual needs of young carers as with adult porated into the legislation to uphold young carers'

rights.	
a Care	ipport the proposal that young carers before turning 18 will have the right to er's Support Plan/assessment irrespective of whether or not they are ing children's services.
Carer Ir	nvolvement
delivery	n 16: Should there be carer involvement in the planning, shaping and of services for the people they care for and support for carers in areas the scope of integration?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comm	nents:
	Yes, of course. The challenge remains though that meaningful carer involvement should also be flexible. It should not rest solely with those carers who (with respect) are more able to attend conferences/events to provide feedback. Carers who by definition cannot leave their caring responsibilities do need to be more fully engaged and consulted with and officers continue to think creatively in how wider carer input for those who may wish to be 'involved in some respect can be promoted'.
n the pl	n 17: Should we make provision for the involvement of carers' organisations anning, shaping and delivery of services and support falling outwith the f integration?
⊠ Yes	□ No
	Comments:
	Carers' organisations do need to be involved. Areas raised from parent carers within South Lanarkshire include e.g. in relation to improving and building upon links they have with Education in relation to planning, shaping and the delivery of services.
nvolven	n 18: Should we establish a principle about carer and young carer nent in care planning for service users (subject to consent) and support for ves in areas not covered in existing legislation?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comm	nents:
Estab	lishing a new principle would ensure greater consistency nationally to involve

	carers and young carers in the planning prinvolved in the planning of services out with additional needs).	
ir	Question 19: What are your views on makin the planning, shaping and delivery of serwoung carers?	
	Comments:	
	Young carer involvement would drive up has good systems and processes in place support, involvement in planning, shaping	
P	Planning and Delivery	
Question 20: Should we introduce statutory provision to the effect that a local authority and each relevant Health Board must collaborate and involve relevant organisations and carers in the development of local carers' strategies which must be kept under review and updated every three years?		
	⊠ Yes	□ No
	Comments:	
	This happens in our area which is reflected with carers and partner agencies. We consimprovement e.g. in the streamlining of Conference of strategic roles. Getting 'back to basics and need is a cornerstone of our partners making best use of local resources.	Intinue to consider our potential for CIS funding though and a closer merging and understanding what carers want
	2 04 .01 .11	
a p	Question 21: Should we introduce statutor authorities with Health Boards must take storacticable, that a sufficient range of servic support to carers and young carers in the a	eps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably es is available for meeting the needs for
a p s	authorities with Health Boards must take storacticable, that a sufficient range of servic	eps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably es is available for meeting the needs for
a p s	authorities with Health Boards must take storacticable, that a sufficient range of service support to carers and young carers in the a	eps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably es is available for meeting the needs for rea?

feedback from o	carers to inform future commissioning arrangements .
Identification	
	ere be no legislative provision for GPs or local authorities to ter in order to support the identification of carers?
⊠ Yes	□ No
Comments:  We feel this does not relittle change in practice	need to be legislated for given that this is unlikely to lead to e – see below.
	Scottish Government ensure that good practice is widely Boards about the proactive use of Registers of Carers within
⊠ Yes	□ No
will drive up standards excellent support rece	t sharing good practice examples amongst Health Boards and we would encourage this. Some carers speak of the ived from their GP practices in South Lanarkshire but we are r replicated across Scotland.
	e Scottish Government ask Health Boards to monitor e contractual elements of the GP contract?
⊠ Yes	□ No
	e first point of contact for carers and should be as her organisations that carers come into contact with for

## Carer and Cared-for Person(s) in Different Local Authority Areas

Question 25: What are the views of respondents on the lead local authority for undertaking the Carer's Support Plan and agreeing support to the carer where the carer lives in a different local authority area to the cared-for person(s)?

We believe that the local authority in which the carer supports the cared-for person should be responsible for undertaking the CSP/assessment and work in partnership with carers in identifying sources of support.
Question 26: What are the views of respondents on which local authority should over the costs of support to the carer in these circumstances?
Comments:
As above
Question 27: Should the Scottish Government with COSLA produce guidance for ocal authorities?
☑ Yes ☐ No
Comments:
This would be welcomed given that geographical mobility/caring is likely to become more of an issue.

Comments: