CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan

Question 1: Should we change the name of the carer's assessment to the Carer's Support Plan?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Comments:
	While we understand the rationale for the change, the implies a significant change in emphasis from assessment to provision of support. The implications of this in terms of service delivery and cost need to be fully thought through, particularly given the extension in eligibility described elsewhere in the consultation document. Carer's self assessment is currently working well in many areas and it is not clear how this would be continued with a change to Carer's Support Plan which implies a subsequent intervention or support.
	The rationale for the change is to improve uptake and reach. Language used is important in ensuring a positive approach which encourages early uptake in a proactive approach. Central to this is clarity and consistency on what is to be achieved by the process involved and the outcomes expected. Any proposed legislation needs to be clear that any resulting support plan which will include assessment of need, will set out a range of actions which the carer, statutory and voluntary services will all play a role in delivering to meet identified outcomes for the carer.
	Question 2: Should we remove the substantial and regular test so that all carers will be eligible for the Carer's Support Plan?
2	☑ Yes □ No
	Comments:
	The impact of caring varies by individual with the resulting effect on capacity to continue in the caring role a more tangible test for carers to understand. Building in an anticipatory approach within this would hopefully prevent a crisis response model where carers are encouraged to forward plan for continuing in their caring role. The focus of assessment should be to identify the level of need. However, the ability to respond to that need will continue to be limited by resource available and requirement to support those at greatest need or risk.
	However, resources would need to reflect the consequences of any significant changes to this test to ensure sufficient capacity was created to deal with any subsequent increase in demand. With health boards and local authorities

continuing to identify and support carers through information, advice and support,

	this needs to be balanced against the resources available to carry out assessments within local authorities.			
Question 3: Should we remove that part of the existing carer assessment process whereby the cared-for person is a person for whom the local authority must or may provide community care services/children's services?				
	☐ Yes ☐ No			
	Comments: Consistency in approach to the caring situation where health and social care needs of both the carer and cared for are taken in to account			
Question 4: Should we introduce two routes through to the Carer's Support Plan – at the carer's request and by the local authority making an offer?				
	☑ Yes □ No			
	Comments: While Local Authorities should be enabled to make an offer, the requirement for an offer of a Carers Support Plan to be made to all carers is potentially a significant increase, and it is not clear how this duty will be monitored or enforced, and what definition of 'carer' is being used. There is a risk of significantly raising expectations which may not be able to be met.			
Question 5: Should we remove from statute the wording about the carer's ability to provide care?				
	☑ Yes			
	Comments:			
	By removing this term it becomes less judgemental. Carers can then be seen in terms of impact of caring and capacity to care, rather than ability.			
	Capacity should consider the impact of the caring role on the health and wellbeing of the carer. Linking this to an outcomes based focus would incorporate holistically health and social care components of support for carers are evidenced.			

Question 6: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the length of time it is likely to take to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds this time, to be advised of the reasons?

☐ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
It would be good practice to ensure that all process should be advised at the outset of any associated with this process. Capacity with require consideration. We would support the of time within the legislation.	waiting times, including any targets hin services and levels of demand	
Question 7: How significant an issue is portability of assessment for service users and carers?		
Comments:		
As assessment is what has been identified at the considered but re-assessed with regards to the	9	
Providing in a format that can be accessed by situation, professionals and carers, would assist delivery of the plan and the outcomes for carer identified. Consideration should be given to he mechanisms for sharing key information, such	st with clarity and consistency in s which have already been ow this can be linked to existing	
Question 8: Should the Scottish Government and COSLA with relevant interests work together to take forward improvements to the portability of assessment?		
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Guidance and best practice examples on porta	bility would also be welcomed.	
Information and Advice		
Question 9: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to establish and maintain a service for providing people with information and advice relating to the Carer's Support Plan and support for carers and young carers?		
☐ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Access to information and advice is central to e continue in their caring role. Where this inform	•	

avenues via health, local authority, third sector as well as in the wider community. Availability in multiple formats at multiple outlets is key for ensuring all groups can access. Consistency in content and quality of information provided is required. Carers Information Strategy has allowed for development of initiatives in from health, local authority and partnerships. Within NHSGGC Acute, evaluation of users of the Family Support and Information Service and Patient Information Centres demonstrates how effective these services are in identifying carers and facilitating them to support within local authorities. It is not clear why this is being suggested as a local authority duty rather than a shared responsibility for HSCPs. Question 10: Should we repeal section 12 of the Community Care and Health (Scotland) Act 2002 about the submission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish Ministers, subject to reassurances, which are subject in turn to Spending Review decisions, about the continuation of funding to Health Boards for support to carers and young carers? □No X Yes Comments: There is a strong argument for a long term population planning to support carers, working across all agencies. This is already a partnership role and will increasingly be so with the new HSCPs, so the specific requirements on Health Boards seems no longer appropriate. However, commitment on funding is required to enable long term planning in local authority and health partnerships. **Support to Carers (other than information and advice)** Question 11: Should we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked to an eligibility framework? ☐ Yes □No Comments: The introduction of a duty linked to eligibility criteria would also appear to contradict Question 2 of this consultation. Offering universal access to support and then applying eligibility criteria in relation to support services will both increase expectation and frustrate unpaid carers.

Question 12: Alternatively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to support carers and young carers?

☐ Yes	□ No		
Comments:			
Question 13: Should we introduce a duty to provide short breaks?			
☐ Yes	⊠ No		
Comments:			
which provides provided to care respite or short providing appropriate and wellbe break from their of	y short breaks have been specifically identified as an intervention egislation, amongst the wide range of support which can be so. We would have some concerns that a narrow definition of breaks can discourage flexible, person centred approaches to riate support. Identifying others ways to support improved carering is important. Understanding what they require to give them a caring role is key in providing a short break service and should be the overall support provided.		
Stages and Transitions Question 14: Should we issue statutory guidance on the Carer's Support Plan which			
will include guidance for those undertaking the Carer's Support Plan on managing stages of caring? This would apply to adult carers only. (For young carers, practice guidance will be developed to support management of a Child's Plan through the stages of caring).			
☐ Yes	□No		
Comments:			
Plan/Assessment the Support Plans transitions as a m	ne stage of caring is a key function within development of Support is and as such should be incorporated into the regular review of s/Assessments. Anticipatory approach would also consider these natter of course. sionals with examples of good practice may be more beneficial.		
Question 15: Should new carers' legislation provide for young carers to have a Carer's Support Plan if they seem likely to become an adult carer? Any agreed support recorded in the Carer's Support Plan would be put in place after the your carer becomes a (young) adult carer.			
☐ Yes	□No		
Comments:			

Young carers should have GIRFEC approach, which s	e their needs assessed and responded to under the hould consider transition.
Carer Involvement	
	e carer involvement in the planning, shaping and ople they care for and support for carers in areas on?
⊠ Yes	□No
Comments:	
this. Consideration should	ditional legislation is proposed and how it would enable d be given to what is being proposed in addition to pislation, for example the Participation Standard and
Question 17: Should we make provision for the involvement of carers' organisations in the planning, shaping and delivery of services and support falling outwith the scope of integration?	
Yes	□No
Comments:	
already covered by requirer in planning, shaping and de	islative provision is required for this, beyond what is ments for NHS Boards to involve relevant organisations elivering services (Participation Standards, Community ajor Service Change guidance, Community
	blish a principle about carer and young carer for service users (subject to consent) and support for red in existing legislation?
⊠ Yes	□No
Comments:	
	oly equally to children, but it would be helpful to be clear covered in existing legislation.

This would be a positive approach. Ensuring mat multiple levels will provide views are obtained. Planning and Delivery Question 20: Should we introduce statutory proventhority and each relevant Health Board must conganisations and carers in the development of longe kept under review and updated every three years. Yes Comments: Within the NHSGGC area each of our significant significant status of the second status of the second significant sig	rision to the effect that a local ollaborate and involve relevant ocal carer's strategies which must
Question 20: Should we introduce statutory provauthority and each relevant Health Board must corganisations and carers in the development of lope kept under review and updated every three year Yes Comments:	ollaborate and involve relevant ocal carer's strategies which must ears?
authority and each relevant Health Board must coorganisations and carers in the development of looe kept under review and updated every three years. Yes Comments:	ollaborate and involve relevant ocal carer's strategies which must ears?
Comments:	⊠ No
Within the NHSGGC area each of our si	
not clear and would not be consistent with where more detailed plans are not required threaccountability arrangements. The principl HSCPs, Boards and Local Authorities are accommonitored on specific processes.	ough legislation or performance n le should rather be maintained th
Question 21: Should we introduce statutory provauthorities with Health Boards must take steps to practicable, that a sufficient range of services is a support to carers and young carers in the area?	ensure, in so far as is reasonably
Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Not clear what legislation would add to current	requirements and responsibilities
dentification	
Question 22: Should there be no legislative provention a Carers Register in order to support the	
	□ No

Comments:	
Agree that the benefits of legisla of line with other equally importa	ative provision for this are unclear and would be out ant roles which GPs perform.
	Government ensure that good practice is widely bout the proactive use of Registers of Carers within
⊠ Yes	□No
Comments:	
function and are used in a pro	maintained, then it is important that they serve a pactive manner to improve the support for carers. ers' support should be highlighted.
they are supported to continue population based anticipatory are conversation required for iden	identification, of carers is crucial in ensuring that in their caring role. Processes which support a oproach to identification of carer status facilitate the tification to be acknowledged. The action then at revisiting of the carer's status is vital to ensuring
	will ensure actions are attached to processes ly to occur e.g. screening for physical and mental ed.
Question 24: Should the Scottish Government ask Health Boards to monitor compliance with the core contractual elements of the GP contract?	
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
approach to carers should be in li	sible for contract monitoring of GP practices. The ine with existing monitoring arrangements for all core et – applying a different approach to the carers' element le.

Carer and Cared-for Person(s) in Different Local Authority Areas

Question 25: What are the views of respondents on the lead local authority for undertaking the Carer's Support Plan and agreeing support to the carer where the carer lives in a different local authority area to the cared-for person(s)?

	Comments:	
	Guidance and best practice examples on would be welcomed on this issue.	
Question 26: What are the views of respondents on which local authority should cover the costs of support to the carer in these circumstances?		
	Comments:	
	As above	
Question 27: Should the Scottish Government with COSLA produce guidance for local authorities?		
2	☑ Yes □ No	
	Comments:	

Additional Comments

We would wish to make some additional comments about the draft EQIA. At present, the EQIA attempts to assess the differences in numbers of carers by group and it is helpful that the disproportionate impact on women and more deprived populations are highlighted. However, the experience of carers is equally if not more important than the numbers. For example, prejudice or assumptions being made about family and caring arrangements for BME groups, lack of acknowledgement and response to the caring role of same sex partners. The way in which carers are identified and supported needs to take account of these issues.

The EQIA also highlights the aim of the legislation which is to

- improve carers' health and wellbeing;
- sustain the caring role;
- enable carers to have life alongside caring;
- assist carers to remain in or return to work;
- enable access to community support networks; and
- prevent or delay hospital or residential carer admissions for cared-for persons.

It would be helpful to see the rest of the legislation described in relation to these aims, some of which may be particular relevant to particular equalities groups or may have particular barriers.

