CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan			
	estion 1: Should we change the name of the carer's assessment to the Carer's oport Plan?		
√ Y	es		
Sha Cawin of MA Pst C	omments: Getting it Right for Young Carers – the Young Carers Strategy for cotland 2010-15 notes that completion rates for assessments of Young Carers as been very low despite the right to receive an assessment being granted under ommunity Care and Health (Scotland) Act 2002. The Strategy as noted suggests new approach under GIRFEC where the needs of all children requiring support ill be assessed against the Wellbeing Indicators and a Child's Plan to meet tended outcomes produced by and with the team supporting the child. Therefore, line with this change in approach to providing support away from an assessment need towards an outcome based approach, Young Carers' outcomes should be need to by a Child's Planning process rather than a separate Young Carers' assessment. Argyll and Bute Council and Childcare Partners are updating Child's lanning models to include a question aimed at identifying Young Carers and any upport needs identified as a result of Caring responsibilities will be met within the hild's Plan.		
√ Y	eligible for the Carer's Support Plan? Output Design No		
re	omments: An outcomes based approach to assessment and planning will emove any perceived need to restrict access to potential support to any subategory of Young Carers.		
whe	estion 3: Should we remove that part of the existing carer assessment process ereby the cared-for person is a person for whom the local authority must or may vide community care services/children's services?		
	omments: Young Carers could have support needs where the person cared-for as medical needs only or has refused support.		

Question 4: Should we introduce two routes through to the Carer's Support Plan – at the carer's request and by the local authority making an offer?

✓Yes	□ No			
Comments: Many Young Carers will not be and many young people with additional suresponsibilities will not readily identify the authorities should have the ability to offer	upport needs relating to caring mselves as Young Carers, therefore local			
Question 5: Should we remove from statute the wording about the carer's ability to provide care?				
✓Yes	□ No			
Comments: Young Carers' will identify ou and which may include continuing to prov similar age/stage young people outside or	ide a level of care while having the life of			
Question 6: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the length of time it is likely to take to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds this time, to be advised of the reasons?				
and time, to be advised of the reacone.				
☐ Yes	✓No			
	tains a commitment to complete any			
☐ Yes Comments: Argyll and Bute Council main	tains a commitment to complete any s of receipt of the request for assistance.			
☐ Yes Comments: Argyll and Bute Council main Child's Plan under GIRFEC within 21 day Question 7: How significant an issue is por	tains a commitment to complete any sof receipt of the request for assistance. tability of assessment for service users s covered by existing guidance and			
☐ Yes Comments: Argyll and Bute Council main Child's Plan under GIRFEC within 21 day Question 7: How significant an issue is por and carers? Comments: For Young Carers this issue is	tains a commitment to complete any is of receipt of the request for assistance. tability of assessment for service users s covered by existing guidance and ild's plans, LAAC or CSPs.			
☐ Yes Comments: Argyll and Bute Council main Child's Plan under GIRFEC within 21 day Question 7: How significant an issue is por and carers? Comments: For Young Carers this issue is legislation in relation to the transfer of Ch	tains a commitment to complete any is of receipt of the request for assistance. tability of assessment for service users s covered by existing guidance and ild's plans, LAAC or CSPs.			

Information and Advice

Question 9: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to establish and maintain a service for providing people with information and advice relating to the Carer's Support Plan and support for carers and young carers?

☐ Yes	√No
guidance more effe	uthorities should be encouraged to use existing legislation and ctively. Young carers require the right information at the right ne-size-fits-all approach.
(Scotland) Act 2002 a Ministers, subject to re	we repeal section 12 of the Community Care and Health bout the submission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish eassurances, which are subject in turn to Spending Review ontinuation of funding to Health Boards for support to carers
✓Yes	□ No
	ned in the Consultation Paper it does not seem necessary to on in the light of imminent integration of Health and Social
``	•
Support to Carers (concepts of the Carers (concepts of Carers of C	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked
Question 11: Should to an eligibility framev Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked ork?
Question 11: Should to an eligibility frameway Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle based approach that Question 12: Alternative and extending the principle based approach that the principle based approach the principle based approach that the principle based approach the principl	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked vork? No standing the comments in the Consultation Paper it is difficult efits of such a move to young people with caring support needs should already be met through Child's. There is also a concern that introducing a separate duty of universality of response to children's needs on an outcome t underpins GIRFEC.
Question 11: Should to an eligibility frameway Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle based approach that Question 12: Alternative and extending the principle based approach that the principle based approach the principle based approach that the principle based approach the principl	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked vork? No standing the comments in the Consultation Paper it is difficult efits of such a move to young people with caring support needs should already be met through Child's. There is also a concern that introducing a separate duty of universality of response to children's needs on an outcome t underpins GIRFEC.
Question 11: Should to an eligibility frameway Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle based approach that Question 12: Alternatisupport carers and years.	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked york? No standing the comments in the Consultation Paper it is difficult efits of such a move to young people with caring support needs should already be met through Child's. There is also a concern that introducing a separate duty of universality of response to children's needs on an outcome tunderpins GIRFEC. ively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to ung carers?
Question 11: Should to an eligibility frameway Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle based approach that Question 12: Alternate support carers and your Yes Comments: See 11	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked york? No standing the comments in the Consultation Paper it is difficult efits of such a move to young people with caring support needs should already be met through Child's. There is also a concern that introducing a separate duty of universality of response to children's needs on an outcome tunderpins GIRFEC. ively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to ung carers?
Question 11: Should to an eligibility frameway Yes Comments: Notwith to envisage the ben responsibilities who Planning processes dilutes the principle based approach that Question 12: Alternate support carers and your Yes Comments: See 11	we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked york? No standing the comments in the Consultation Paper it is difficult efits of such a move to young people with caring 's support needs should already be met through Child's. There is also a concern that introducing a separate duty of universality of response to children's needs on an outcome t underpins GIRFEC. ively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to ung carers?

Comments: Again the outcome based approach of GIRFEC would seem to require the principle of universality of access rather than introducing duties to provide specified services to particular groups. Where an individual Child's Plan identifies a Short Break as the most effective or appropriate means of achieving an identified outcome, SDS provides additional means of accessing this support in areas where

specified Short Break or low demand.	ecified Short Break services are impractical or inaccessible due to remoteness low demand.		
Stages and Transition	s		
will include guidance fo stages of caring? This	e issue statutory guidance on the Carer's Support Plan which r those undertaking the Carer's Support Plan on managing would apply to adult carers only. (For young carers, practice ped to support management of a Child's Plan through the		
☐ Yes	✓No		
Comments: For Youn	g Carers – see comment above.		
Carer's Support Plan if	ew carers' legislation provide for young carers to have a they seem likely to become an adult carer? Any agreed Carer's Support Plan would be put in place after the young g) adult carer.		
√ Yes	□ No		
	s outlined in the Consultation are useful support to young eriod of transition from Children's to Adult Service Provision.		
Carer Involvement			
	ere be carer involvement in the planning, shaping and the people they care for and support for carers in areas egration?		
✓ Yes	□ No		
outline the responsibite people's views into act Young Carers as dest perceived confidentia	existing legislation, guidance and the implementation of SDS lity of local authorities and partner agencies to take young ecount when assessing needs as carers, the experiences of cribed in the Consultation Paper, particularly where issues of lity have been used to prevent Young Carers' views from a that the proposal as outlined would be useful.		

Question 17: Should we make provision for the involvement of carers' organisations in the planning, shaping and delivery of services and support falling outwith the scope of integration?

Yes	✓No			
Comments: Existing legislation	n and guidance is sufficient			
Question 18: Should we establish a principle about carer and young carer involvement in care planning for service users (subject to consent) and support for themselves in areas not covered in existing legislation?				
Yes	✓No			
Comments: Existing legislation	n and guidance is sufficient.			
	ws on making provision for young carer involvement ivery of services for cared-for people and support for			
Carers' views are and will con	er's suggestions are useful. In Argyll and Bute Young tinue to be sought and inform the Integrated of the local and Argyll –wide levels.			
Planning and Delivery				
authority and each relevant Hea	Ice statutory provision to the effect that a local lth Board must collaborate and involve relevant development of local carers' strategies which must ed every three years?			
Yes	✓No			
	onsultation Paper, and as is the case in Argyll and d support services for Young Carers will be reviewed Children's Service Plan.			
Question 21: Should we introduce statutory provision to the effect that local authorities with Health Boards must take steps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably practicable, that a sufficient range of services is available for meeting the needs for support to carers and young carers in the area?				
☐ Yes	✓No			
underlying principle of univers to defined groups. Remotenes and partner agencies in areas	es based approach of GIRFEC requires an ality of access rather than a specification of services and population dispersion require local authorities such as Argyll to develop flexible approaches to SDS provides a means of individualising support			

Identification

Question 22: Should there be no legislative provision for GPs or local authorities to maintain a Carers Register in order to support the identification of carers?				
Yes	□No			
Comments: None				
	Scottish Government ensure that good practice is widely soards about the proactive use of Registers of Carers within			
Yes	□No			
Comments: None				
	Scottish Government ask Health Boards to monitor contractual elements of the GP contract?			
☐ Yes	□ No			
Comments: None				
Carer and Cared-for Pe	rson(s) in Different Local Authority Areas			
undertaking the Carer's S	e views of respondents on the lead local authority for Support Plan and agreeing support to the carer where the ocal authority area to the cared-for person(s)?			
Responsible authorities	rdinary Residence and the changes to the roles of contained within Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 sion to guide local authorities in the rare occasions when r a Young Carer.			
	ne views of respondents on which local authority should to the carer in these circumstances?			
Comments: See 25.				
Question 27: Should the local authorities?	Scottish Government with COSLA produce guidance for			
Yes	□ No			
Comments: See 25.				