CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan

Question 1: Should we change the name of the Support Plan?	carer's assessment to the Carer's	
☐ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Question 2: Should we remove the substantial and regular test so that all carers will be eligible for the Carer's Support Plan?		
☐ Yes	□ No	

Comments:	
Comments.	
Question 3: Should w	re remove that part of the existing carer assessment process
•	person is a person for whom the local authority must or may
provide community ca	re services/children's services?
□Vaa	□No
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Comments.	
Ouestion 4: Should v	we introduce two routes through to the Carer's Support Plan –
	and by the local authority making an offer?
at the carer of request	and by the local dathonly making an ener.
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
	e remove from statute the wording about the carer's ability to
provide care?	
□Vaa	□No
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Comments.	
Ouestion 6: Should we	e introduce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the
	ly to take to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds
this time, to be advise	·
•	
☐ Yes	□ No
_	
Comments:	
Overtion 7: Herreine	
and carers?	ificant an issue is portability of assessment for service users
and Carers:	
Comments:	
Johnnello.	
Question 8: Should th	ne Scottish Government and COSLA with relevant interests
	forward improvements to the portability of assessment?
J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	

Information and Advice

Support Plan and support for	e with information and advice relating to the Carer's carers and young carers?	
✓ Yes	□ No	
service for providing peo Carer's Support Plan and	duty for local authorities to establish and maintain a ple with information and advice relating to the disupport for carers and young carers in call and national organisations such as Care	
(Scotland) Act 2002 about th Ministers, subject to reassura	eal section 12 of the Community Care and Health e submission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish ances, which are subject in turn to Spending Review ation of funding to Health Boards for support to carers	
☐ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Support to Carers (other than information and advice) Question 11: Should we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked to an eligibility framework?		
to an eligibility framework?	oduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked	
to an eligibility framework?	oduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked	
_		
☐ Yes Comments:	□ No hould we retain the existing discretionary power to	
☐ Yes Comments: Question 12: Alternatively, s	□ No hould we retain the existing discretionary power to	
☐ Yes Comments: Question 12: Alternatively, s support carers and young ca	□ No hould we retain the existing discretionary power to rers?	
☐ Yes Comments: Question 12: Alternatively, s support carers and young ca ☐ Yes Comments:	□ No hould we retain the existing discretionary power to rers?	
☐ Yes Comments: Question 12: Alternatively, s support carers and young ca ☐ Yes Comments:	□ No hould we retain the existing discretionary power to rers? □ No	

Stages and Transitions

Question 14: Should we issue statutory guidance will include guidance for those undertaking the Ca stages of caring? This would apply to adult carers guidance will be developed to support manageme stages of caring).	arer's Support Plan on managing s only. (For young carers, practice
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Question 15: Should new carers' legislation provi Carer's Support Plan if they seem likely to become support recorded in the Carer's Support Plan wou carer becomes a (young) adult carer.	e an adult carer? Any agreed
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Carer Involvement	
Question 16: Should there be carer involvement is delivery of services for the people they care for an outwith the scope of integration?	
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Question 17: Should we make provision for the interest in the planning, shaping and delivery of services a scope of integration?	
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Question 18: Should we establish a principle about involvement in care planning for service users (su themselves in areas not covered in existing legislation).	bject to consent) and support for
☐ Yes	□ No

Comments:	
	our views on making provision for young carer involvement and delivery of services for cared-for people and support for
Comments:	
Planning and Delivery	
authority and each relevan	introduce statutory provision to the effect that a local nt Health Board must collaborate and involve relevant in the development of local carers strategies which must be dated every three years?
☐ Yes	□No
Comments:	
authorities with Health Bo	introduce statutory provision to the effect that local ards must take steps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably nt range of services is available for meeting the needs for ng carers in the area?
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Identification	
	re be no legislative provision for GPs or local authorities to er in order to support the identification of carers?
☐ Yes	□No
Comments:	
	Scottish Government ensure that good practice is widely oards about the proactive use of Registers of Carers within
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	

	contractual elements of the GP contract?
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Carer and Cared-for Pe	rson(s) in Different Local Authority Areas
undertaking the Carer's S	e views of respondents on the lead local authority for Support Plan and agreeing support to the carer where the ocal authority area to the cared-for person(s)?
Comments:	
	ne views of respondents on which local authority should to the carer in these circumstances?
Comments:	
Question 27: Should the local authorities?	Scottish Government with COSLA produce guidance for
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	