

Data zone S01004643


Surrounded by



S0104675 (pop 868), S0104630 (903), S0104630 (903), S0104630 (903)

Suggestion: increase the boundary of S01004643 to the north east and also include properties 2-8 of Burn Cres. Also include about 90 properties around Labege Cres, Atlin Dr, Klondike Court.

Nearby datazone S0104649 (573) increase the size of this datazone to include the properties in East Road, Burn Crescent but not those numbered 2-8 Burn Cres

In short all houses in the SW corner of S01004675 should be absorbed into S01004643 or S01004649






Datzone S01004944 (pop. 480) (map below)


Suggest combining S01004944 with S01004937 (pop 552) but to extend the datzone covering Croy to include all of the settlement. Increase boundary of S01004913 (pop 597) to include a further 150 props. Also include properties in Auchinstarry and around south of Kilsyth within datzone S01004930 (pop 578)

Other surrounding datzone S0104945 (pop 743)




Datzone S01004533 (pop 451) neighbouring datzones S0104531 (1065) and S0104532 (pop 867)

Suggestion to combine Datzones S0104533 and S0104532 and then split into two datzones with 600+ each. There is an argument for the NE area of Netherton to be included within datzones in that neighbourhood and the remainder to be joined with S0104533




Datazone S01004685 (pop 494); Neighbouring datazone S01004679 (pop 452), S01004682 (pop 513); S01004677 (pop 913).

See previous discussion about datazone S01004679 There is little room for expansion. Suggestion to combine the 2 datazone S01004679 and S01004685



Datazone S01004562 (pop 459) and S01004557 pop 498)

Neighbouring datazones: S01004570 (pop 609); S01004557 (498); S01004558 (955); S01004572 (745); S01004539 (pop 760); S01004568 (pop 686)




Options: Datazones S01004557 and S01004562 should be combined with the larger datazone S01004558 (955), then the larger area split into 3 datazones drawn on more even boundaries. Suggest the boundary is along the main A722 reducing S01004558 and moving the boundary of S01004562 westwards. The boundary of S01004557 could then be moved north.


S01004887 (popn. 449)

Borders S01004850 (pop. 1385) ; S01004846 (pop. 1276), S01004874 (pop 1000).

Options would be to include Luggiebank with 37 properties as part of this datazone and any other households that are within this area to the south of Cumbernauld. However all the households north of the railway line should be included in the Cumbernauld datazones.



Datazone S01004850 includes part of Greengairs, suggest that this area is included in datazone S01004846 (pop. 1276) this allows Greengairs to be completely within one datazone. Although this will make S01004846 large, suggest that S01004846 is divided into two with the area of Rawyards and Clarkston south west of the B8058 made into a separate datazone.




Other issues that neighbour these datazones include S01004837 which includes part of Caldercruix, Longriggend and rural area



There is also a need to look at the two areas circled blue to the left.

The streets around Maple Road and maple Court would be better included within datazones that cover Abronhill already. The area around Broom road in the western part of this map would be better included within S01004921

S01004821 includes the western part of Caldercruix and the NE part of Plains. It would be better if these two settlements could be more contained. This datazone is referred to later in this document.




Datazone S01004809 (pop. 445) map below

Neighbouring datazones; S01004835 (819); S01004811 (718); S01004792 (602); S01004808 (720); S01004793 (733)

Options:


1. Change adjoining boundary with S01004835 and include hamlet of Kippsbyre within S01004809.
2. Could join S01004792 with S01004809 to make larger datazone.
3. Other issues are the manner in which Glenmavis is split by datazones The South west corner of Glenmavis could be absorbed by the datazones that currently cover the village, namely S01004831 and S01004839.



S01004712 (pop 485) Map below

Neighbouring datazones are: S01004710; (899); S01004708; (644); S01004714; (760); S01004723 (569)


Around 260 households are in Calderbank but sit within the larger datazone S01004710. Suggest deleting this datazone, the part of this datazone directly to the north of S01004712 could be absorbed into S01004712, and S01004710 could be deleted. The part of S01004710 which includes the west of Calderbank could be absorbed into S01004723 which has a population of 569 or split 4723 and 4710 with a population of around 550 each. S0100 should however lose the households in the north east corner next to Brownsburn, around Friars Way and Monks Road



Add this area to S01004723

Put this area into S01004712

Delete this datazone




These properties should be taken out of S01004723

S01004882 (pop 492) map below

Neighbouring datazones S01004886 (876); S01004885 (519); S01004880 (707). S01004874 (1000)


Options include:

1. Combining S01004880 and S01004882, then splitting into 2 with new boundary.
2. S01004874 has already been mentioned in relation to a previous datazone. All the properties in this datazone north of the railway added to S01004882.



S01004594 (pop 496) map below

Neighbouring datazones S01004591 (548); S01004599 (899); S01004585 (536); S01004593 (935); S01004551 (1660); S01004592 (628)




Options include:

1. Split S01004599, a very large rural datazone with parts of Newmains and Allanbank within it, which does not allow for accurate settlement population estimates.
2. Take the properties from Bonds Drive and add to S01004592.
3. Take around 110 properties from Underwood Drive; Devine Grove, MacMahon Dr, bell View and bring them into S01004594, a population of around 250.

Other issues in this area, are the size of S01004551 with a population of 1,660.

1. Split S01004592 to allow Bonkle to be a separate datazone on its own.
2. Suggestions on the map below will remove population from S01004551



Create a new datazone that covers all of Bonkle

Add this area to S01004592


S01004567 (pop 498) map below neighbouring datazones, S01004577 (630), S01004554 (712), S01004556 (690), S01004566 (687)



Few options other than gathering some of the properties to the south east and possibly Door Street terrace.

S01004883 (2274) map below

Suggest that this datazone is split into 3 or 4 datazones. The map below shows some movement of current datazone boundaries to be more consistent with neighbourhoods. The sites in lilac shading show future programmed house completions. Suggest that the area in the north of the datazone becomes a discreet datazone in light of future house completions. Data zone S01004877




These 2 datazones expanded to include these households. S01004884 to take the households in Airth Ave, Devon Way

This area absorbed into S01004877

Lilac areas denote active programmed house completions sites

Data zone S01004549 (2247 popn) map below

Suggestion is that this datazone be split into three new areas.



The area to the west of this road could become a new datazone


This area bordered by the road on the west side and with possible residential building in the northern part could be a new datazone

This area could be a separate datazone

Data zone S01004626 (2067 popn) map below

Suggest splitting the above datazone into 3 new datazones with around 650 people. The area north of the B7029 has around 250 households. The area south of this road could be split into 2 datazones of similar size.


These households could be added to S01004630




This area could be a new datazone.

Split this area into 2 separate datazones

S01004821 –(popn 1911)Problems with this datazone include part of the settlement of Plains and Caldercruix are included in the datazone. Suggestions would include creating a new datazone that covers all the households to the NE of Plains. This is around 450 households. If this means that the remaining part of the datazone is still above 1000, then some rural area could be included.




Problems could develop with house building that is programmed for the W part of Caldercruix. Shown on the map below




S01004613 (popn 1905), neighbouring datazones S0104615 (popn 1125), S01004644 (725)


This datazone may see significant housebuilding in the next 10 years. Suggestions include taking the area of properties to the west of the datazone on the outskirts of Cleekhimmin and creating a new datazone. (see smaller map) The area of properties to the east of Cleland could be incorporated into datazone S01004621, and then the larger area split into 2 datazones.





This area could be divided into 2 datazones , with future housebuilding planned for the south western part of the area, this may keep population size to reasonable level in future.




S01004815 (1904) Surrounding datazones S01004840 (920); S01004808 (720); S01004811 (718); S01004774 (917); S01004758 (844); S01004757 (835); S01004770 (555). This datazone can be split into three or two with some areas absorbed into neighbouring datazones that have smaller populations.



Take the properties from area marked and move into S01004770




Remove the section of housing from S01004758 and add into newly created datazone above the line. This will keep community boundaries more consistent.




Datazone S01004808 can absorb the properties to the north, which is mainly industrial estate into its population of 720. This will be more consistent in terms of community.

S01004838 (pop 1889)




Remove these approx. 386 properties in the



Make this boundary flush with the road and therefore the few properties on the west of the road added to S01004833




S01004854 (popn 1135) This datazone could be split into 2 along the road B819, all properties to the west of this road could be a new datazone.





S01004544 (1885) neighbouring datazones S01004545 (775); S01004537 (806); S01004531 (1065); S01004542 (813); S01004581 (1255); S01004552 (758); S01004555 (628)

Suggest that the c.200 households circled are moved into datazone S01004555 (628)




The c.120 properties circled below could also be put into S01004537, and in the second map these properties could be added to S01004545




S01004581 (1255) take the properties marked below and put them into S01004573 (583)


S01004581 (pop 1255) neighbouring datazones S01004555 (583);




The 2 areas circled above could be added to the neighbouring datazones S01004573 (583) and S01004582 (536).

S01004647 (pop 1739) surrounding datazones






Suggestions are that the c.43 properties in this area are added to S01004625.




All of the properties west of Shotts currently in Shotts and in S01004647 should be added to S01004657; S01004669; S01004674. This is about 270 properties in total, removing upwards of 600 people from this larger datazone and being more consistent with settlement boundaries.

S01004715 (pop 1719) the best solution to this datazone is for a new datazone to be created for the part of Salsburgh that is current in this datazone. There are about 2350 properties in the west of Salsburgh that could form a new datazone



S01004856 (popn 1601) The suggested change to this datazone is a simple split along a road boundary, creating a new datazone. The one concern is that the NE section of Glenboig is not within a datazone that allows the settlement to be covered completely by distinct datazones. If there are enough people to make the Glenboig area into a separate datazone this would be preferable to the split suggested above.



S01004608 (popn 1622) Suggest that this datazone is simply cut into two with creation of new datazone.

