

## CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

### Are you content with the proposed 2011 Data Zones?

Yes  No

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of draft Data Zones please provide the Data Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Census Output Areas with their current draft Data Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Data Zone assignment should be included.

We would like to request changes to seven Datazones in the Barrhead, Neilston and Uplawmoor areas:  
DZ110016, DZ110014, DZ110044, DZ110071, DZ110056, DZ110057 and DZ110064.

We wish to maintain separation, where possible, between the discrete settlements of Barrhead, Neilston and Uplawmoor. At the moment DTZ110014 includes parts of both Neilston and Uplawmoor within its extents. Similarly DZ110064 and DZ110071 contain sections of both Neilston and Barrhead.

Neilston currently contains one existing Datazone within the worst 10% neighbourhoods in Scotland (2012 SIMD) In the proposed scheme this area was grouped together with affluent neighbouring census output areas, which would have masked the deprivation in this area. We have therefore suggested a reworking of several of the Neilston Datazones, which would retain the homogeneity, and the socio-economic characteristics of this zone, whilst also maintaining numerical parity across the Neilston Datazones proposed here.

**DTZ110016 Uplawmoor** Existing population 643  
Census OA S00102577 (pop 102) should be added to this  
DTZ110016 Proposed population  $643+102=745$

#### **DZ110044, DZ110014**

**DTZ110014** Existing population 601  
Census OA S00102577 (pop 102) should be removed from this  
DTZ110014 Proposed population  $601-102=499$   
This amended **DZ110014** should be merged with **DZ110044** to create a single Datazone with new population **957**.

**Neilston requires changing two Proposed Datazones DZ110056, (Pop 1113) and DZ110057 (Pop 845) into three equal Datazones.**

**DZ110056** existing Pop 1113  
Census OA S00102717 (83) should be removed  
Census OA S00102719 (146) should be removed  
Census OA S00102720 (71) should be removed

**DZ110056** Proposed population =  $1113 - (83 + 146 + 71) = 813$

The following OAs should be brought together to form a New Datazone

S00102717 Pop 83 (Formerly DZ110056)

S00102720 Pop 71 (Formerly DZ110056)

S00102726 Pop 85 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102719 Pop 146 (Formerly DZ110056)

S00102727 Pop 91 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102750 Pop 66 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102737 Pop 63 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102724 Pop 82 (Formerly DZ110057)

New Datazone Total = **687**

The following OAs should be brought together to form a Datazone DZ110057

S00102725 Pop 83 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102718 Pop 250 (Formerly DZ110057)

S00102751 Pop 245 (Formerly DZ110064)

S00102736 Pop 86 (Formerly DZ110064)

S00102723 Pop 125 (Formerly DZ110057)

New Datazone Total Population = **789**

**Barrhead to Neilston Overlap:-**

**DZ110071** Existing Population 936

Census OA S00102740 pop (191) should be removed from this as it is in Barrhead

**DZ110071** Proposed Population  $936 - 191 = 745$

**DZ110064** Existing Population 1113

Census OA S00102740 pop (191) should be added to this as it is in Barrhead.

Census OA S00102751 (pop 245) should be removed from this as it is in Neilston

Census OA S00102736 (pop 86) should be removed from this as it is in Neilston

**DZ110064** Proposed Population  $(1113+191-245-86)=973$

Accompanying documents show the Census Output Areas for each suggested Datazone, (ERC\_Datazone Consultation Supporting Data.xlsx) a shape file with the Datazone Boundaries (ERC\_Datazone\_Changes.shp), and a .PDF showing the extents of the proposed Datazones with Census OA Boundaries (Proposed New Datazones, East Renfrewshire.pdf)

**Do you agree that 2011 Data Zones should use the median methodology for the calculation of centroids?**

Please see page 17 for further information.

Yes  No

No Comment

**Are you content with the proposed best fit 2011 Intermediate Zones?  
If changes occur to the proposed 2011 Data Zones post consultation, these  
changes will be reflected in the Intermediate Zones.**

Yes  No

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of best fit Intermediate Zones; please provide the Intermediate Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Draft 2011 Data Zones with their current draft 2001 Intermediate Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Intermediate Zone assignment should be included.

No Comment