

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Are you content with the proposed 2011 Data Zones?

Yes No

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of draft Data Zones please provide the Data Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Census Output Areas with their current draft Data Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Data Zone assignment should be included.

I accept that demolition and construction of buildings will lead to the removal or creation of datazones, but this should be done on a minimalist basis, i.e. existing datazones should continue unchanged until population change forces some adjustment, when it would either be merged with a neighbouring datazone or split up if too large. If the original datazones had any validity as homogeneous entities then they should surely be preserved as such. I can see that the re-definition of output areas for the 2011 Census has created problems for you, but they could have been defined in a way that fitted in the existing datazone boundaries. Civil parishes have been defined in a way that preserves their geographical continuity across censuses, and I think that the same attitude should apply to datazones.

Do you agree that 2011 Data Zones should use the median methodology for the calculation of centroids?

Please see page 17 for further information.

Yes No

Comments

Are you content with the proposed best fit 2011 Intermediate Zones? If changes occur to the proposed 2011 Data Zones post consultation, these changes will be reflected in the Intermediate Zones.

Yes No

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of best fit Intermediate Zones; please provide the Intermediate Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Draft 2011 Data Zones with their current draft 2001 Intermediate Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Intermediate Zone assignment should be included.

(Similar comments as for datazones, above)