

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Are you content with the proposed 2011 Data Zones?

Yes No But see below

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of draft Data Zones please provide the Data Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Census Output Areas with their current draft Data Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Data Zone assignment should be included.

DZ330234 and S01000220 – the current datazone has a 2011 Census Day population of 2648. Most of that is in a single census output area (S00090701), which includes a large student hall of residence. We recommend that the western part of the proposed datazone should be rezoned, with COAs S00089591, S00089610 and S00089622 being allocated to one of the adjacent datazones, either DZ330321 or DZ330225. From a 'community perspective' this is a better match as the three COAs share many characteristics with DZ330321 and DZ330225 and few with DZ330234.

DZ330222/DZ330223 and S01000209 – the current datazone has a 2011 Census Day population of 1391 and we would normally agree with a proposal to split it. But the area will be affected by a planned realignment of the trunk roads (A96 and A90) which form the existing datazone's northern and eastern boundaries. That will lead to demolitions in the northern part of the datazone to create a relief road which by-passes the roundabout at the junction of the two trunk roads. This could make DZ330223 non-viable as a datazone. There is no precise timetable for this work to be completed, but it may be wise to retain a single datazone for the area.

Do you agree that 2011 Data Zones should use the median methodology for the calculation of centroids?

Please see page 17 for further information.

Yes No

**Are you content with the proposed best fit 2011 Intermediate Zones?
If changes occur to the proposed 2011 Data Zones post consultation, these changes will be reflected in the Intermediate Zones.**

Yes No

If you wish to make suggestions for change to a small number of best fit Intermediate Zones; please provide the Intermediate Zone code together with an explanation of its design limitations and the statistical benefits that would result from altering its boundary. Any accompanying maps and future supporting details would also be useful; preferably, a list of Draft 2011 Data Zones with their current draft 2001 Intermediate Zone assignment, along with the proposed new Intermediate Zone assignment should be included.