## **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do you support the development of an MPA network in Scotland's Seas	
	Yes ⊠ No □
certain reservations and conditions.  MPAs should always be backed with  MPAs should only be designated or and have been accepted by those organisation does not believe it is ac first understanding the consequences There is a real concern having "i	nce management proposals are known e most affected by designation. Our eceptable to designate an MPA without s for those likely to be affected. moving species" such as skate and n, as these tend to cover large areas
Individual possible Nature Con	oonvotion MDA o
2. Do you have any comments on t	he case for designation, management essment for the <i>Clyde Sea Sill</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

3. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the <i>East Caithness Cliffs</i> possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments  Designation:	sment for the East of Gannet and
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature C	sment for the <i>East of Gannet and</i> Conservation MPA?
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments on the options and socioeconomic asses a	sment for the <i>East of Gannet and</i> Conservation MPA?
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments  Comments	sment for the East of Gannet and Conservation MPA?  Yes  No
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments  Comments  Management Options:	sment for the East of Gannet and Conservation MPA?  Yes  No
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments  Comments  Management Options:  Comments	Sement for the East of Gannet and Conservation MPA?  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No
4. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Montrose Fields</i> possible Nature Comments  Comments  Management Options:  Comments  Socioeconomic Assessment:	Sement for the East of Gannet and Conservation MPA?  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No

5. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the Faroe-Shetland sponge be possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes  No
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes  No
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes  No
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
6. Do you have any comments on the cas options and socioeconomic assessment possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes  No
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes  No
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes  No
Comments	
All of the above:	
	Yes  No

7. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the <i>Hatton-Rockall Basin</i> possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes No No
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes No No
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
8. Do you have any comments on the ca options and socioeconomic assessm Nature Conservation MPA?	ent for the <i>Loch Creran</i> possible
Do you have any comments on the ca options and socioeconomic assessm	
8. Do you have any comments on the ca options and socioeconomic assessm Nature Conservation MPA?	ent for the <i>Loch Creran</i> possible
8. Do you have any comments on the ca options and socioeconomic assessm Nature Conservation MPA? Designation:	ent for the <i>Loch Creran</i> possible
8. Do you have any comments on the caroptions and socioeconomic assessmentature Conservation MPA?  Designation:  Comments	Yes No No
8. Do you have any comments on the caroptions and socioeconomic assessmentature Conservation MPA?  Designation:  Comments  Management Options:	Yes No No
8. Do you have any comments on the caroptions and socioeconomic assessmentature Conservation MPA?  Designation:  Comments  Management Options:  Comments	Yes No Yes No Yes No
8. Do you have any comments on the caroptions and socioeconomic assessmentature Conservation MPA?  Designation:  Comments  Management Options:  Comments  Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes No Yes No Yes No

9. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the <i>Loch Sunart</i> possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes ⊠ No □
CommentsOur members support the pr proviso that existing fishing methods an	·
Management Options:	Yes ⊠ No □
Comments Our fishing members have so options. It would be important to ensure involved in all aspects of managing this	e that local communities were
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes ⊠ No □
Comments It is important that all aspect assessment are considered before desi	
All of the above:	Yes ⊠ No □
CommentsLoch Sunart MPA has the conjunction with the Mull Fisherman's at the entrance to the Loch, be considerable.	Association(MFA) suggests an area
10. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assess of Jura possible Nature Conservation	sment for the <i>Loch Sunart to th</i> e Soul
Designation:	Yes ☐ No ⊠
CommentsThere is real concern as to the scientific detail regarding the skate, their designate this area. It is an important, swithin sections of the proposal, used by suggested that smaller designations wit appropriate once more scientific data is	ir breeding grounds and the need to safe, commercial fishing area and all the main finfish farms. It is thin this area might be more
Management Options:	Yes ⊠ No □
Comments If this area is designated the	en management options should

include using all the commercial fishing and aquaculture interests to ensure that their activities are not adversely affected.	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes ⊠ No □
CommentsSocio-economic assessment any designation. This is an important sa particular	
All of the above:	Yes ⊠ No □
Comments Such a large area has the industries. More detail should be given to of the seabed	•
11. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assess Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
12. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assess <i>Alsh</i> possible Nature Conservation	sment for the <i>Lochs Duich, Long and</i>
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
13. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses Nature Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Monach Isles</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
14. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses Nature Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Mousa to Boddam</i> possi
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
15. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Channel</i> possible Nature Conserv	ssment for the <i>North-east Faroe Shetlan</i>
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
16. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses possible Nature Conservation MP.	ssment for the <i>North-west Orkney</i>
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
17. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses Summer Isles possible Nature Cor	ssment for the North-west sea lochs and
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes  No
Comments	
18. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Noss Head</i> possible Nature
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes  No
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Papa Westray</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes  No
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Rosemary Bank Seamoun</i> PA?
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
21. Do you have any comments on th options and socioeconomic asses Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Small Isl</i> es possible Natu
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
22. Do you have any comments on th options and socioeconomic asses Nature Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>South Arran</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes  No
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management ssment for <i>The Barra Fan and Hebrides</i> re Conservation MPA?
Designation:	Yes  No
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management ssment for the <i>Turbot Bank</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
25. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Goil</i> possible Nature Conservation	ssment for the <i>Upper Loch Fyne and Loc</i>
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
26. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses (formerly Windsock) possible Natu	ssment for the West Shetland Shelf
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲

Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes  No
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
27. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assess possible Nature Conservation MPA	sment for the <i>Wyre and Rousay Sound</i>
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes  No
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

## **Choices to represent features in the MPA Network**

	Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, ocean quahog and sanks and mounds in the Southern North Sea, do you have a preference comments on the following combinations to represent these features, bearing in mind Turbot Bank will need to be designated to represent sandeel in this region:	
	Firth of Forth Banks Complex Turbot bank and Norwegian Boundary Sedimentary Plain Or Firth of Forth Banks Complex, Turbot bank and Norwegian Boundary Sedimentary Plain	
Co	omments	
29.	Do you have comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in question above, regarding alternatives for representing offshore subtices and gravels, ocean quahog and shelf banks and mounds in the Southern North Sea?	the
	Southern North Sea:	
	Yes No	
Co		
	Yes No No	
	Pes No	

31. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, managorous options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representations and feature in the Fladens?	u have
Yes 🗌 N	lo 🗌
Comments	
32. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternative representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, offshore dee and burrowed mud in OSPAR Regions III and V, do you have a por comments on the following combinations to represent these	p sea mud, preference
South-West Sula Sgeir and Hebridean slope Or Geikie slide and Hebridean slope	
Comments	
33. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, managorions and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representation of the subtidal sands and gravels, offshore deep sea mud, and mud in OSPAR Regions III and V?	u have esenting
Yes 🗌 N	1o 🗌
Comments	

## **Sustainability Appraisal**

34.Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal of the MPA network as a whole?	4
Yes ☐ No ⊠	
Comments	
Final Thoughts	
35.On the basis of your preferences on which pMPAs should be designat do you view this to form a complete or ecologically coherent network, subject to the completion and recommendations of SNH's further world the 4 remaining search locations?	•
Yes ☐ No ⊠	
Comments Unknown at this stage	
36. Do you have any other comments on the case for designation, manage options, environmental or socioeconomic assessments of the pMPAs, the network as a whole?	
Yes ⊠ No □	
CommentsOur main concerns remain as they have been from the outset. The consequences of any designation for the Isle of Mull fishermen and fish farmers are unknown. There is some comfort in knowing that all activities should continue in an MPA unless it can be shown that these activities will cause damage to what is being protected.(as agreed in documentation) However at this stage this appears not to be known? It is unfair to ask any commercial organisation or business to agree and sign up to MPAs without first knowing definitively, how this will affect their activities.	