RESPONSE FORM

DRAFT SEAWEED POLICY STATEMENT 2013

1. Do you agree with policies 1-6?

State any you agree or disagree with and your reasons. Agree Policy 3,4 & 5

2. Should policy 2 require local provenance, i.e., stock must originate from the water body the seaweed is to be grown in? YES/ NO

State your reasons:

3. Do you agree with policy 7? YES

State your reasons: Agree in principal with the Scottish Governments support of medium scale development but they must ensure that developers apply for a Marine Licence so that any proposed site areas are assessed for the safety of navigation.

4. Do you agree with policies 8 and 9?

State any you agree or disagree with and your reasons:

5. Do you think that the size scales (shellfish (small), medium, and extensive), are appropriate?

Give your reasons:

- Small (Shellfish) 0-40×200m longlines: appropriate scale for certain inshore Scottish waters.
- Medium 41-80×200m longlines: appropriate scale for certain inshore Scottish waters.
- Extensive 80+ ×200m longlines: inappropriate for inshore Scottish waters. It would be
 extremely hazardous to place a site of this size into inshore Scottish waters without
 major mitigation measures for the safety of navigation.

6. Which consenting option would be most appropriate for seaweed cultivation?

Option 3

Give your reasons: Using both the planning and marine licensing regimes would seem to be the most appropriate method for consenting and licensing. By using both regimes developments will have been assessed for their environmental issues and safety of navigation as currently occurs for the aquaculture industry and has set a precedent.

ir not, what (if any) afterna	tive arrangements would you suggest?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ould be amended to provide the flexibility pecifically named species? YES/NO
State what named specie	es should be included, and provide your reasons.
9. Do you have any comm	ents to make on the BRIA content?
	scale of developments stated in this consultation i.e. Small (shellfish) dium 41-80×200m longlines and extensive 80+ ×200m longlines
Northern Lighthouse Board will have similar site equipr The sea area needed to ac	I would suggest reviewing these figures as most shellfish sites which ment layout are much smaller generally less than 10×200m longlines. Ecommodate Medium/Extensive developments in Scottish waters will ered for the safety of the mariner.
Northern Lighthouse Board will have similar site equipr The sea area needed to ac	I would suggest reviewing these figures as most shellfish sites which ment layout are much smaller generally less than 10×200m longlines. commodate Medium/Extensive developments in Scottish waters will ered for the safety of the mariner.