CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM

Question 1 – should addre	· Are there other area ess?	s you think the	e Partnership Aç	greement
	Do you think these thort-term and long-te			ddress
uestion 3 – hould be ac	Do you think there a	re any other t	nematic objectiv	es which
uestion 4 – ey challeng	Do you think the Sco	ottish Themed	Funds will addr	ess Scotland's

	– How do you t your organisatio	ince and delivery arra	angements will
nat could	aid simplificatio	vernance or delivery rogrammes and ensu	
	NA/le of offers and	 4b:	facailala fau
		o you think would be	feasible for
	– What other de youth employme	o you think would be	feasible for
		o you think would be	feasible for
		o you think would be	feasible for
		o you think would be	feasible for

Question 9 – What other measures could be taken to reduce the audit and control pressures?								

Question 10 – Do you have any further comments on the proposals?

East Dunbartonshire Council would wish to comment on three issues not explicitly raised in the consultation questions.

First, East Dunbartonshire Council would suggest that with respect to employability and social inclusion, the CPP model is refined and enhanced to become the main mechanism for funds allocated under this theme. In some cases adjacent CPPs may wish to come together for this purpose as has happened in the current programme but the presumption should be against forced amalgamations of CPPs in the name of (superficial) simplicity. A needs based formula would be used to derive the budget available and each partnership would then prepare in effect a business plan based on local priorities which in turn would be backed by evidence. This would give flexibility across all Scotland regarding the stages in the pipeline on which to focus activity and ensure a minimum level of spend in each area within Scotland. (This would represent a significant improvement on the current situation in which ESF interventions in non designated areas are limited to the latter stages of the pipeline). The plan would have to demonstrate cross sectoral partnership working at both strategic and operational levels.

A broadly similar approach could be taken with regard to business support activities delivered through the Business Gateway network. This would allow for example support to be given to sectors that are not featured in national frameworks but which are important to local economies.

Second, East Dunbartonshire Council welcomes the notion that the proposed arrangements appear to exclude any significant element of **spatial targeting.** In particular, East Dunbartonshire Council and its partners would welcome the opportunity to access European Regional Development Funds in order to support Physical Infrastructure Investment. Currently, East Dunbartonshire Council is excluded from access to such regeneration funds as JESSICA and SPRUCE. A change to a level playing field for local authorities would now be appropriate, given the significant challenges which face all of Scotland's communities. Accessing ERDF should be based on evidenced need for physical regeneration activities irrespective of geographical location. Moreover, such action would support physical land use designations contained within the Council's Local Development Plan (for example, the requirement to identify land for Industrial Development).

Third, East Dunbartonshire is an area where accessibility to effective transport links to facilitate access to employment opportunities are lacking. Support for sustainable transport initiatives would be welcomed through a fourth Scottish Themed Fund, bearing in mind that this objective is about the transition to the low carbon economy in all sectors aligned to the promotion of "sustainable urban mobility". As well as being a key facilitator of economic activity, transport accounts for a significant amount of Greenhouse Gas emissions. Explicit reference to actions within this sector is appropriate.