#### CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

### **SECTION 1 - THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF AQUACULTURE**

### Farm Management Agreements (FMAs)

 Do you agree that we should, subject to appropriate safeguards, make it a legal requirement for marine finfish operators to participate in an appropriate Farm Management Agreement (FMA), with sanctions for failure to do so, or to adhere to the terms of the agreement? (Page 9)

YES

# Appropriate Scale Management Areas (MAs)

2. Do you agree that operators should have primary responsibility for determining the boundaries (and other management arrangements) for Management Areas, but with Scottish Ministers having a fallback power to specify alternative areas? (Page 9)

NO

### **Management Measures and Dispute Resolution**

3. Do you agree that an independent arbitration process should be put in place (with statutory underpinning) to resolve disputes related to Farm Management Agreements? (Page 10)

**YES** 

4. How do you think such a system might best be developed? (Page 10)

By including a wide range of expertise on an independent arbitration panel so that all aspects of farm management, including environmental impacts, can be considered.

### **Unused Consents**

5. Do you agree we ought to review the question of unused consents? (Page 11)

6. What do you consider are suitable options to promote use or relinquishment of unused consents? (Page 11)

We believe some people may be obtaining consents as a speculation in the hope that a major producer will be interested in the site and willing to pay a premium for the consent. Authorities should have the power to put say a 12 month or relinquish clause on consents unless a consent has been obtained openly and deliberately to protect an area from development under which circumstances the holder should relinquish the consent if they subsequently decide to use it.

7. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should be given powers, ultimately, to revoke, or to require or request others to revoke, consents? (Page 12)

**YES** 

<ol><li>Should any such power relate to all or to particular consents (a the latter, which)? (Page 12)</li></ol>					
		All			

## **Collection and Publication of Sea-lice Data**

9. What in your view is the most appropriate approach to be taken to the collection and publication of sea-lice data? (Page 13)

It must be audited by an external body and not self-policed by th	ıe
industry.	

### Surveillance, Biosecurity, Mortality and Disease Data

10. Do you agree that aquaculture businesses ought to be required to provide additional information on fish mortality, movements, disease, treatment and production as set out above? (Page 16)

11. What are your views on the timing and frequency of submission of such data? (Page 16)

Such data should be submitted at least six monthly with, of course, any unusual incidents of disease, escapes or higher than usual mortality, reported within 24 hours of being detected.

# **Biomass Control**

12. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should have powers to require SEPA to reduce a biomass consent where it appears to them necessary and appropriate – for example to address concerns about fish health and welfare? (Page 16)

YES and environmental damage should also be considered

### Wellboats

13. Do you agree we should make enabling legislation giving Scottish Ministers powers to place additional control requirements on wellboats? (Page 17)

YES

### **Processing Facilities**

14. Do you think Scottish Ministers should be given additional powers to place controls on processing plants? (Page 17)

YES

### **Seaweed Cultivation**

15. Do you agree that the regulatory framework should be the same for all seaweed farms? (Page 18)

NO

16. Do you agree that the most appropriate approach to regulation of this sector would be through marine licensing? (Page 17)

17. If not, what alternative arrangements would you suggest? (Page 18)						

### **Commercially Damaging Species**

18. Do you agree that we should provide for additional powers for Scottish Ministers in relation to commercially damaging native species? (Page 19)

**YES** 

#### **SECTION 2 - PROTECTION OF SHELLFISH GROWING WATERS**

19. Do you agree with the introduction of provisions to protect shellfish growing waters and support the sustainable growth of the shellfish industry? (Page 21)

YES

#### SECTION 3 - FISH FARMING AND WILD SALMONID INTERACTIONS

### Sea-lice

20. Do you agree that there is a case for giving Scottish Ministers powers to determine a lower threshold above which remedial action needs to be taken, in appropriate circumstances and potentially as part of a wider suite of protection measures? (Page 23)

**YES** 

### **Containment and Escapes**

21. Do you agree we should provide powers for Scottish Ministers to require all finfish farms operating in Scotland to use equipment that conforms to a Scottish Technical Standard? (The technical content of the standard would be defined separately.) (Page 25)

YES

### **Tracing Escapes**

22. Do you agree that there should be additional powers for Scottish Ministers to take or require samples of fish from fish farms, for tracing purposes? (Page 26)

#### SECTION 4 - SALMON AND FRESHWATER FISHERIES MANAGEMENT

# **Modernising the Operation of District Salmon Fishery Boards**

23. Do you agree that we should introduce a specific duty on Boards to act fairly and transparently? (Page 29)

YES

24. Do you agree that there should be a Code of Good Practice for wild salmon and freshwater fisheries? (Page 29)

YES but not codes of practise – legislation.

25. If yes, should such Code of Good Practice be statutory or non-statutory? (Page 29)

YES – statutory legislation.

# **Statutory Carcass Tagging**

26. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should have powers to introduce a statutory system of carcass tagging for wild Atlantic salmon and sea trout? (Page 31)

YES

# Fish Sampling

27. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should have powers to take or require fish and/or samples for genetic or other analysis? (Page 32)

YES

### **Management and Salmon Conservation Measures**

28. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should have powers to initiate changes to Salmon District Annual Close Time Orders? (Page 32)

YES

29. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should be able to promote combined salmon conservation measures at their own hand? (Page 32)

30. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should be able to attach conditions, such as monitoring and reporting requirements, to statutory conservation measures? (Page 32)

YES

### **Dispute Resolution**

31. Do you agree that we should introduce statutory provisions related to mediation and dispute resolution, to help resolve disputes around salmon conservation, management and any related compensation measures? (Page 33)

YES

### Improved Information on Fish and Fisheries

32. Do you agree that there should be a legal requirement to provide comprehensive effort data for rod fisheries? (Page 34)

YES

33. What additional information on the fish or fisheries should proprietors and/or Boards be required to collect and provide; and should this be provided routinely and/or in specific circumstances? (Page 34)

What, if anything, they have done to improve conditions on rivers and redds to assist wild salmon passage and reproduction.

34. Should Scottish Ministers have powers to require Boards and/or proprietors or their tenants to investigate and report on salmon and sea trout and the fisheries in their district? (Page 34)

YES

### **Licensing of Fish Introductions to Freshwater**

35. Do you agree that Scottish Ministers should have powers to recall, restrict or exclude the jurisdiction of Boards in relation to fish introductions, in certain circumstances? (Page 35)

YES

36. If so, why and in what circumstances? (Page 35)

All circumstances where eggs, fry or fish from another river have been introduced into a system.

#### **SECTION 5 - MODERNISING ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS**

### Strict Liability for Certain Aquaculture Offences

37. Do you agree that strict liability criteria should apply – where they capable of being applied – for offences related to Marine Licensing requirements insofar as the apply to aquaculture operations and, potentially, in other situations? (Page 37)

YFS

### Widening the Scope of Fixed Penalty Notices

38. Do you agree that we should extend the use of fixed financial penalties as alternatives to prosecution in relation to marine, aquaculture and other regulatory issues for which Marine Scotland has responsibility? (Page 38)

NO: Prosecutions are required

39. Do you agree that we should increase the maximum sum that can be levied through a fixed penalty notice to £10,000? (Page 39)

NO: Prosecutions are required

40. Are there particular regulatory areas that merit a higher or lower maximum sum? (Page 39)

YES – by Court fine or prison sentence

### **Enforcement of EU Obligations Beyond British Fisheries Limits**

41. Do you agree that we should amend section 30(1) of the Fisheries Act 1981 as proposed? (Page 40)

YES

## Powers to Detain Vessels in Port

42. Do you agree that sea fisheries enforcement officers should be given specific power to allow vessels to be detained in port for the purposes of court proceedings? (Page 41)

### <u>Disposal of Property/Forfeiture of Prohibited Items</u>

43. Do you agree that sea fisheries enforcement officers should be able to dispose of property seized as evidence when it is no longer required, or forfeit items which would be illegal to use? (Page 41)

YES Including vessels.

### Power to Inspect Objects

44. Do you agree that sea fisheries enforcement officers should have the power to inspect objects in the sea and elsewhere that are not obviously associated with a vessel, vehicle or relevant premises? (Page 42)

YES

### Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967

45. Do you have any views on the proposals to amend the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967 to help make its application clearer? (Page 42)

NO

#### **SECTION 6 - PAYING FOR PROGRESS**

46. Do you agree that there should be enabling provisions for Scottish Ministers to provide, through secondary legislation, for both direct and more generic charges for services/benefits arising from public sector services and activities? (Page 43)

YES

47. If you do not agree that there should be charging provisions, how do you envisage ongoing and new work to assist in management and development of the aquaculture and fisheries sectors should be resourced? (Page 43)

It could be resourced through proceeds of crime legislation (such as monies confiscated in the recent black fish trials) and by selling or scrapping confiscated vessels.

48. If no new way of resourcing such activity can be found, what activities do you suggest might be stopped to free up necessary funds? (Page 43)

If the new activities are needed then the taxpayer will have to foot the bill although some costs could be covered by charging realistic fees for licenses and consents.