FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0435			Date of visit: 19/10/2020			
Time spent on site:	h	Main Insp	ector:			
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms	s Ltd			
Case Types: 1 MOV 2	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:				

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023967 (MD20/084) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0435		Site No:	SS0759)			
Date of Visit:		19/10/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by si	ite representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A]
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis		ions)					
Total No facilities		30 lines	Facilities sto	ocked	30 lines	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 tonnes	~326 tonnes						
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile						
Next Fallow Date (Si	*	none		Next Input Da		natural sp		11/0
Recent (last 4 wks) of the second (last 4 wks) o	disease probl	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
Movement Records								
Movement records Movement records		r inepaction?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		i ilispection:					15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		actly entered?					13/10/2020	Y
4. Are movement red		•		2				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
		Judions (outil	iiii OD, avaii	abic:				
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •		•	•			
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenand	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities	s disposed c	of?			Other (detail))		
		s fall to the sea						
3. Mortality records of		correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality (none					
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	urina period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	,,							
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities bed	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 15/10/20-19/10/20

Case No:	2020-0435			Date of visit:	19/10/2020]			
Site No:	SS0759	l	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification						
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
MOV	26/10/2020								





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 19/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200435

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 26/10/2020
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0436			Date of visit: 07/10/2020			
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspec	tor:			
Site No: FS0001 Business No: FB0012	Site Name: Business Name:	Evanachan Salt Water Otter Ferry Seafish Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.4	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

No water quality or predator issues on site. Production of juvenile halibut is undertaken for transfer and on-growing on Gigha. Pigmentation issues are ongoing but this does not affect the fish.

Wrasse and lumpfish are on-grown for use as biological sea lice control on salmon production sites. Have periodic issues with atypical furunculosis in wrasse and have AGD present in lumpfish. Environmental enrichment is used in the tanks along with reducing the light levels. Demand remains high for cleanerfish production. Improvements are being undertaken to production facilities.

Site is never fallow but good biosecurity between production units and cleaning and disinfection between production batches.

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0436		Site No:	FS000′				
Date of Visit:		07/10/202	20		Inspector(s	s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site represen	tative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to	•	,					N	
Site Details (includ	a cleaner fis	h for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities	e cleaner ns	104	Facilities st	tocked	56	No facilitie	es inspected	All
Species	HAL	WRA	WRA	LUM	LUM	LUM	Поресте	,
Age group	Broodstock	Growers	Growers	Broodstock	Growers	Growers		
No Fish	249	66,642	301,617	325	6,904	146,098		
Mean Fish Wt	8 Kg	25g	11.7g	1.64 Kg	60g	6g		
Next Fallow Date (S		No Plans	11.79	Next Input Da			om Hatchery	,
Recent (last 4 wks)	•					es (since last	•	N
If yes, detail:			WRA in Augus	st and AGD pre		00 (011100 1401	11011)	
 Are records comp Are movement red Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords availab lete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	le for dead the ctly entered luctions (out to by (or on be	fish and wasted? twith GB) ava	ilable? ousiness (not us				N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Incinerated	l - on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly er	ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		2% in LUM	, 0.2% in HAL a	and 0.8% in V	NRA		
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a	typical mort	talities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facilit	ty/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period	checked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities b	peen reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Sir no moderno dional appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ÿ
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	<u> </u>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	<u> </u>
Thealth Status, Certification in required):	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	<u> </u>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	<u> </u>
ii iio, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yes, detaii (ii not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/01/19 - 07/10/20	
1/600103 CHECKEU DELWEETI. 30/01/13 - 07/10/20	

	Issued by: FHI			Date	oi issue	: 12/05/2020
2020-0436		Site No:	FS0001		Insp:	
07/10/2020		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
		0	0	10	26	0
						0
						10
						10
		5 0	1-5	6-10		
disinfection or b	orehole)	0				
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		1	4	8		
		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		1	2		1
		0				0
Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				
Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		
Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Yes		Το	1			0
No		1				
Yes		0				0
No		3				
Yes		0				0
No		2				
				Total Rank		29 HIGH
	Frequency of me Frequency of me Compartment in Number of support of the Section o	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Site contacts Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters No on farm processing Processing with from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm Site's own waste only processed. Common processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms No feeding of unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment Yes No Yes	Site No.	Site No: PS0001	Site No. Fosoot	Site No. FS0001

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0436	Site No:	FS0001
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	rear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v	well as access to suitable biological and/or mec	hanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N/A
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> alongatus is considered to have welfare implicat	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	? N/A
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised N/A
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
		_
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr	oduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Covered tanks and others indoors	The production experienced on the . (Betain below	,,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have ascane incidents or events been even	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e last i i i iispection:
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
•	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	L 17)
·	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	
o. Have these seem reported to the con or and	a local harieries trasts for thinkin (where they exis	4.4.57, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If all note were deployed was this action as	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	aission diven by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perin	ission given by accurati
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s)

EULOEO Voroigo 12	loound by FUI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI Site No: FS0001	Date of Issue. 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0436	Site No: FS0001	
Date of Visit: 07/10/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection located with the farm u	ithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require complet		<u> </u>
•	Management Agreements and Statement	
z. Has a current farm management agree 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	ed? V
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	<u>.</u> Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	•	Y
•	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent? Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	eview?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ment	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
farm?		
•	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the area um stocking density of any pen on any farn	
individual farm?	and stocking denote of any point on any land	Thirting drop of the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farr	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14 Doos the EMAG/S identify the availab	sility and the use of medicines on forms on	verad by the agreement
of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	reled by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea Y
lice on farms in the area or individual farm		
Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Tr. 2000 that twing, a labitury the arrange	smerie for synomeneds treatments on fam.	
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	
	ements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto si oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 07/10/2020	statement? Y

Case No:	2020-0436			Date of visit:	07/10/2020			
Site No:	FS0001]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							_	
			-				_	
							-	
							_	
							_	
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	12/11/2020	'						





Otter Ferry Seafish Ltd Otter Ferry Tighnabruaich Argyll PA21 2DH

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0012 SITE NO FS0001 INSPECTOR **DATE OF VISIT** 07/10/2020 **SITE NAME** Evanachan Salt Water

CASE No 20200436

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 12/11/2020

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	I	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0437			Date of visit: 07/10/2020
Time spent on site:	5 hrs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0843 Business No: FB0012	Site Name: Business Name:	Evanachan Marine Hatchery Otter Ferry Seafish Ltd	
Case Types: 1 MIX 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving f Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

No issues reported or observed on site. No predation or water quality problems as all tanks are covered. AGD is present but is managed using freshwater baths. Morts are removed when observed and disposed of by incineration on site. May develop an R&D area within the site to increase income and scientific knowledge on cleanerfish aquaculture. Some gill issues in WRA at early stages as they have a tendency to crowd and some physical damage can occur. Ensuring soft lighting and adequate hide material within holding tanks helps to alleviate the behaviour.

Medicated feed is mixed in a separate facility and stored separately from other feeds. Adequate records and samples are maintained and staff have sufficient experience and training to undertake the mixing. No feed is mixed for other facilities. SOP, and HACCP developed and submitted 12/10/20. Cleaning and calibration records also developed.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0437		Site No:	FS08	43			
Date of Visit:		07/10/202	20		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			site represent	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (inclu	ıda claanar fic	h for all so	ctions)					
Total No facilities	ide ciearier irs	61	Facilities sto	ncked	39	No facilitie	s inspected	All
Species	WRA	WRA	WRA	HAL	HAL	LUM	LUM	7 (11
O p00.00	Broodstock	Growers	Juveniles	Growers	Juveniles	Broodstoc	-	
Age group	Dioodstock	Olowels	Juvernies	Glowers	Juvernies	k	Olowels	
No Fish	2,760	5,842	5,445,993	9,181	37,002	134	148,000	
Mean Fish Wt	1.34 Kg	8.7g	0.2g	100g	4.2g	122g	0.5g	
Next Fallow Date (No plans	0.29	Next Input		October 2		
Recent (last 4 wks	•				N Any escapes			l N
If yes, detail:			sing FW to trea	at when requi		(000	,	
Movement Recor								
Movement record		r inspection	?					
Date of last insp	ection:							
Are records con	plete and corr	ectly entere	d?					
Are movement r	ecords availab	le for dead	fish and waste	?				
5. Are records com	plete and corr	ectly entere	d?					
6. Are health certif	icates for introd	ductions (ou	twith GB) avail	able?				
								-
Transport Record	ls							
1. Are any movem	ents carried ou	t by (or on b	pehalf) of the b	usiness (not i	using a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place f	or maintena	ance of transpo	ortation record	ds?			
Mortality Records								
 Mortality records 		•						
How are mortali	tie <u>s disposed o</u>	of?						
If other detail:								
Mortality records	•	correctly e	ntered?					
Recent mortality								
Evidence of rece	ent increased/a	typical mor	talities?					
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facility	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period	checked?					
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities b	peen reported t	to vet or FHI?				
If yes, detail action	:							
8 Have 'mortality	events' heen re	ported to FI	112 If no enter	details on me	ortality events s	heet		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Treatments and Medicines Records	N.I.
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	V
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	IN
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/01/19 - 07/10/20	

Case No:	2020-0437			Date of visit:	07/10/2020			
Site No:	FS0843	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
Í	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
D 10								
Report Summary	D (- nd -					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MIX	30/10/2020							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0440			Date of visit: 13/10/2020
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	1	N If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform N	mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	nil reason below:	

Inspection of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods Ireland Ltd (Carlingford Lough).
Certificate number MD20/070 (INTRA.2020.0022671) issued.
Mussels looked clean and no other mollusc species observed. Movement records checked on 15/10/20.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0440		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		13/10/2020)		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked	30	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	Med		T			T	
Age group	2019	2020						
	~389	10 tonnes						
No Fish	tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	Juvenile	Spat						
Next Fallow Date (Si		N/A		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	wild spat		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Basard								
Movement Records		r increation?						N/A
1. Movement records		r Inspection:					07/10/2020	IV/A
 Date of last inspects. Are records comp 		actly entered	2				07/10/2020	N/A
4. Are movement red		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
O. Ale fically contine	ales for introd	Juctions (out	VIII GD) avalle	ADIG:				
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality Records Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)			
		s fall to seabe	ad		Otrior (dotail)			
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	0000	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recen	•	atypical morta		inortanty				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:				
, , , , , , , ,								
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 12/10/20 - 13/10/20	

Case No:	2020-0440			Date of visit:	13/10/2020			
Site No:	SS0759	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	<u> </u>							
	 							
	 							
Report Summary	_		nd					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	19/10/2020							
	 							





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 12/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200443

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 19/10/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	1	ssued by: FHI		Date o	f issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0441			[Date of visit:	07/10/2020
Time spent on site:	nour	M	ain Inspector:		
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Muss	el Farms Ltd		
Case Types: 1 MOV 2	3	4 5	5	6	
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:] '	FHI 045 comp	leted
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving f Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see add N If yes, see add N If yes, see add N	ditional informa	ation/clinical s	core sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit detail	reason below:			

Inspection of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods Ireland Ltd (Carlingford Lough). Certificate number MD20/074 (INTRA.2020.0023387) issued.

Mussels looked clean and no other mollusc species observed. Movement records checked on 15/10/20.

FHI 059, Version 13	\$		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0441		Site No:	SS0759	3			
Date of Visit:		07/10/2020			Inspector(s)):		1
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det		/ checked by s	ite represent	ative?			N]
2. Changes made to	o details?						N/A]
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked	30	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019	2020						
	~368	~10 tonnes						
No Fish	tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	Juvenile	Spat						
Next Fallow Date (S	·	N/A		Next Input Da		wild spat		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record								
Movement Record Movement record		or inepaction?						N/A
2. Date of last inspe		or inspection:					07/10/2020	14//
3. Are records comp		reatly entered	2				07/10/2020	N/A
4. Are movement re	•	•		2				N/A
5. Are records comp				•				N/A
6. Are health certific	•	•		lable?				N/A
O. Are neall recline	ales ioi iiilio	ductions (outw	/IIII GD) avaii	abie :				14,7
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any moveme		ut by (or on be	half) of the b	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys		• •		,	· · ·			
,								
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed o	of?			Other (detai	il)		
If other detail:	Empty shell	ls fall to seabe	d					
3. Mortality records	•	d correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality			No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recei								N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	r facility/no sto	ck per facility	y/reason:				
- 1 -1								• NI/A
6. Any other peaks	in mortality at	uring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	I N	t Picture Land						• NI/A
7. Have increased (mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		Land State City	2.16	1.1.11	· Pr	1		NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	₃neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 13/10/20 - 14/10/20

Case No:	2020-0441			Date of visit:	07/10/2020]		
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification					
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
Papart Summary								
Report Summary	Data	lnon	and L					
Case Type	Date 19/10/2020	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	19/10/2020							





Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd The Point Ardtaraig Estate Loch Striven Argyll PA23 8RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 12/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200443

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 19/10/2020

Signed:

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2020-0442			Date of visit: 15/10/2020				
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	r:				
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Inspection of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods Ireland Ltd (Carlingford Lough). Certificate number MD20/075 (INTRA.2020.0023388) issued. Mussels looked clean and no other mollusc species observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0442		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		15/10/2020	0		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	J
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked	30	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED					T	
Age group	2019	2020						
	~347	~10 tonnes						
No Fish	tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	Juvenile	Spat						
Next Fallow Date (Si		N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	wild spat		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•	ems?			Any escapes		visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
		r increation?						Y
1. Movement records		r inspection?					07/40/2020	1
2. Date of last inspec		dtanad					07/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		•						Y N/A
4. Are movement red				1				
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	Juctions (outv	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenar	nce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
		s fall to seabe	ed		Ourion (Gottem)			
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	ochocky chi	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recen		atypical morta		Thortanty				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no				//reason:				
11 yes, radiity 1105/110	mortality por	Taomity/110 ot	Jok por radiity	/Teason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		J.						
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Describe of Compatitions
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 14/10/20 - 15/10/20
Records checked between: 14/10/20 - 15/10/20

Cara Na	0000 0440			5	45/40/04	200		
Case No:	2020-0442	1		Date of v	isit: 15/10/20	020		
Site No:	SS0759]		Inspec	tor:			
Doculto Cummon	IFrag				Date of Notin	fication		
Results Summary	Freq.	Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd In
	_					77.11.19	шер	2 11
	+							
		1						
	+	+						
		 						
		1						
	+	+						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	19/10/2020)						
	+							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 12/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200443

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 19/10/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0443			Date of visit: 12/10/2020
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Lt	d
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	nil reason below:	

Inspection of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods Ireland Ltd (Carlingford Lough). Certificate number MD20/081 (INTRA.2020.0023627) issued.

Mussels looked clean and no other mollusc species observed. Movement records checked on 15/10/20.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0443		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		12/10/2020)		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	J
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked	30	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED		T			T	
Age group	2019	2020						
	~410	~10 tonnes						
No Fish	tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	Juvenile	Spat						
Next Fallow Date (S	•	N/A		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	wild spat		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	e							
Movement record		r inspection?						N/A
2. Date of last inspe		Пороспол.					07/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?				0.7.0,2025	N/A
4. Are movement re		•		?				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• `	•	•	,			
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpo	rtation records	?			
Martality Pacards								
Mortality Records1. Mortality records	available for i	nepection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)			14,7 .
If other detail:		s fall to seabe	ad		Cirici (Goldin)			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Je., 31,	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recer	•	atypical morta		a mortani,				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

_ , , ,	
Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
o. 7 no modionico dicioa appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal bee	n considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinar	ry professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the pre	sence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been cov	ered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemio	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or de	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical of	containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Descrite of Committees	
Results of Surveillance	N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business'2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ir yes, detail (ii flot detailed dilder recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 7/10/20 - 12/10/20	

Case No:	2020-0443			Date of visit:	12/10/2020]		
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
	<u> </u>							
	 							
	 							
							-	
	 							
	 							
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	19/10/2020		2 11139					
-								
	 							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 12/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200443

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 19/10/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0446			Date of visit: 20/10/2020
Time spent on site:	1h	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms	s Ltd
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023534 (MD20/078) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0446		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		20/10/2020	<u> </u>		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		30 lines	Facilities sto	ocked	30 lines	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 tonnes	~305 tonnes	ŝ					
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile		1	(6): \			
Next Fallow Date (Si		none		Next Input Da	_ '	natural spa		N1/0
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	s							
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement red	cords availab	le for dead fis	sh and waste?	·				N/A
5. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered	?					N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outv	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	shalf) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst		• `	•	`	,			
Mortality Records	available for i	nanaction?						N/A
 Mortality records a How are mortalitie 					Other (detail)			14/7
		s fall to the se	schod		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Correctly one	none					
5. Evidence of recen	•	itypical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:				
,		razy.	, ,					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	ieet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 15/10/20-20/10/20	

Case No:	2020-0446			Date of visit:	20/10/2020			
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
Report Summary			ı	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	26/10/2020		Z IIISP					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 20/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200446

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 26/10/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0447			Date of visit: 21/10/2020
Time spent on site:	1h	Main Ins	spector:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Fari	ms Ltd
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additiona	I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detai	I reason below:	

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023535 (MD20/079) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13	i		Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0447		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		21/10/2020			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det	•	checked by si	te representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities			Facilities sto	cked	30 lines	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 tonnes	~284 tonnes						
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile						
Next Fallow Date (S	•	none		Next Input Da		natural sp		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record								
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp								Y
4. Are movement re								N/A
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	ductions (outwi	ith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any moveme		it by (or on ber	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys		• •		,	•			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:		s fall to the sea	ahed		Other (dotail)			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality			none					
5. Evidence of recei								N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
			1 10					NI/A
6. Any other peaks	n mortality du	iring period che	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	unavalainad)	martalities bor	an reported to	a vot or EUI2				N/A
7. Have increased (If yes, detail action:		mortanties bee	in reported to) Vet OF FIRE				14/7
8. Have 'mortality ev		ported to FHI?	If no enter	details on mort	tality events sl	naet		N/A
o. Have Illulally ev	venio neen ie	policu to i i ii :	ii iio, eiilei	details off filloff	anty everno or	ICCL.		1 1// 1

1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No. 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Treatments and Medicines Records	
If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, are results available for inspection?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? IN 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Lif yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	,	
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	Discounity December	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	·	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	nealth status, certification if required)?	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	if no, detail:	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	Describes of Osmor Warners	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?		KII
3. Any significant results?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IN
ir yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
	ir yes, detail (ir not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 15/10/2020-21/10/2020	Decords shocked between 45/40/2020 24/40/2020	

Case No:	2020-0447			Date of visit:	21/10/2020]			
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
ŕ		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
		-							
Report Summary	_		m el						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
MOV	26/10/2020								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 21/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200447

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 26/10/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0448			Date of visit: 22/10/2020			
Time spent on site:	h	Main Inspecto	r:			
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd	1			
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023536 (MD20/080) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0448		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		22/10/2020)		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		30 lines	Facilities sto	ocked	30 lines	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 tonnes	~263 tonnes	3					
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile						
Next Fallow Date (Si		none		Next Input Da	_ ` '	natural spa		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		i irispection.					15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		actly entered	2				13/10/2020	Y
4. Are movement red		•		>				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
01711011100111111001111111		idelionis (se	vidi. 32 , 2	ADIO .				
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenar	ice of transpo	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								NI/AI
1. Mortality records a					Other (detail)			N/A
2. How are mortalities If other detail:			0		Other (detail)			
	empty shells							N/A
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent						IN//
 Recent mortality (Evidence of recent 	•	typical morts	none					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		drageon:				14//
II yes, raciity 1103/110	mortality per	Tacility/110 St	JCK PET Tacility	/Teason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	111.5.11	mig parities	100.100					
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	ieet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 15/10/2020-22/10/2020	

Case No:	2020-0448			Date of visit:	22/10/2020				
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
	1								
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
MOV	26/10/2020		Z IIISP						





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 22/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200448

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 26/10/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 12	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018			
Case No: 2020-0451			Date of visit: 19/10/2020			
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspe	ctor:			
Site No: SS0228 Business No: SB0149	Site Name: Business Name:	Hunterston Terminal - Fairli Cumbrae Oysters Ltd.	ie			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 QUA 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:				

Depuration Facility Inspection -

~25,000 CGI from various locations ~150 Kg Manilla clams.

Any waste is recorded in shell disinfection and dry waste disposal logs. Disinfected with hypochlorite and transported by

Irvine. Tanks are cleaned regularly (at least every 2nd cycle, unless change of species, or if shells held for a long time) 1.5l of hypochlorite to larger tanks 0.75l in smaller tanks. This is ran through for 15 minutes and then discharged. Tanks are also scrubbed and filter is changed. Ultra violet light in every tank cleaned once a month to prevent build up of algae. Freshwater ran through system before new stock is added.

Bags that stock arrive in are treated for 15 minutes with hypochlorite and logged. Water is taken from Hunterston terminal by tanker. It is untreated before being used, but disinfected with hypochlorite before discharge.

Jeyes Fluid used to disinfect van and logged.

Footbath disinfection log complete, disinfectant changed every week (usually at the end of the week)

Site disinfection log, including communal facilities, completed daily.

Building perimeter inspected and cleaned once per week.

Site Inspection -

No issues on site with stock. Covid-19 measure have made this year challenging, especially with working practices. Overall the site was tidy. There was some growth on bags.

New trestles are being put in to replace old ones.

There is some predation from oyster catchers, so a smaller mesh size of bag is used to counter act this.

Site thermometer used as inspectors thermometer not working

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0451		Site No:	SS0228				
Date of Visit:		19/10/202	20		Inspector(s):]
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by	site represent	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details								
Total No facilities		500	Facilities sto	ocked	400	No facilitie	s inspected	400
Species	CGI	CGI	CGI					
Age group	2016	2017	2018					
No Fish	350,000	700,000	1.1 mil					
Mean Fish Wt	40-80g	10-40g	<10g					
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	March 202	1	
					1			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection	?					Y
2. Date of last inspe							17/04/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	d?					Y
4. Are movement re				?				Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	ductions (ou	twith GB) avail	able?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	it by (or on b	ehalf) of the b	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	for maintena	ance of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail))		
If other detail:	Empty shells	s taken to sk	kip for landfill					
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly er	ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical mort	alities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facility	//reason:				
2 1 (1 1	talita di							N
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	n mortality du	iring perioa	checked?					N
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities b	neen reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	подрашес.	Thortain of I	cerroperte	0 VOC 01 1 1 1 1 1				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FI	H? If no, add N	IRT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12 Issued by: FHI Date of issued by:	ie: 08/10/2018
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	•
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ÿ
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

17/04/2018 - 19/10/2020

Total Risk

Results Summary Freq. Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp	Case No:	2020-0451			Date of visit:	19/10/2020			
Database Insp	Site No:	SS0228			Inspector:				
Database Insp	Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
Report Summary	•	1	Database	Insp				Insp	2 nd Insp
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp ECI 03/11/2020 4	Report Summary	_			1				
ECI 03/11/2020 (1997)		Date	Inen	2nd Inon					
QUA 03/11/2020				2 Insp					
	OUA	03/11/2020							
	QUA	03/11/2020							
		1							
		1							





Hunterston Terminal - Fairlie

Cumbrae Oysters Ltd. 38A Bowfield Road Howwood Renfrewshire PA9 1BS

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0149 SITE NO SS0228

INSPECTOR

S0228 SITE NAME CASE NO

The depuration facility operated by Cumbrae Oysters was inspected to ensure the conditions of authorisation to allow the deposition and depuration of *Crassostrea gigas* (Pacific oysters), *Mercenaria mercenaria* (hard clams), *Cerastoderma edule* (cockles) and *Tapes decussatus* (palourdes) from an area subject to movement restrictions (Othneil Oysters, Poole) are being met.

Documented disinfection, daily tank checks and training records were available for inspection. Standard operating procedures (SOP) for all onsite activities have also been developed and were available for inspection.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 03/11/2020

DATE OF VISIT 19/10/2020

20200451





Cumbrae Oysters Ltd. 38A Bowfield Road Howwood Renfrewshire PA9 1BS

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No SB0149 **DATE OF VISIT** 19/10/2020

SITE NO SS0228 SITE NAME Hunterston Terminal - Fairlie

INSPECTOR CASE No 20200451

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 03/11/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0452			Date of visit: 19/10/2020
Time spent on site:	hr	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0388 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Coutts Mill Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 UNI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

During ECI inspection of Quoys Hatchery, inspector noticed that the ova coming onto the site were from Coutts Mill. This site was made inactive November 2016. An unannounced inspection of Coutts Mill was then carried out. Seems to be a lack of communication within the company led to this. Relevant paperwork was submitted to FHI post inspection and site was reactivated 19/11/2020. Enforcement Notice is being prepared to issue to the operator.

At last inspection the company stated that they had no plans on using the site again so it was made inactive. But brood fish from Turness (FS0451) were brought onto the site October 2019 and subsequently stripped through November to December 2019 then culled. The site was then fallow from 7 December 2019 until 1 October 2020 when more brood fish have been brought onto site from Bay of Cleat (South) FS0595 and are due to be stripped November. Company are planning to repeat this process for 2021.

3 raceways currently holding 719 brood fish. No lethargic or moribund fish visible on site.

2019 Generation Morts.

6 (0.7%) morts for whole generation on site (849) from 3rd October to 7th December

Staff at site also operating at Quoys Hatchery but separate PPE being used at each site along with equipment.

Inspection of mortality records etc completed remotely on 30th October by


FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0452	Site No:	FS0388
Date of Visit:		19/10/2020	
Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site repre 2. Changes made to details?	sentative?		
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)	_		
Total No facilities		Pacilities s	tocked
Species	SAL		
Age group	Brood fish		
No Fish	719		
Mean Fish Wt	13 kg		
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Dec. 2020	Next Input Da
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	_		· N
If yes, detail:			
Movement Records			
Movement records available for inspection?			
2. Date of last inspection:			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?			
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and wa	aste?		
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	4010.		
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB)	available?		
Transport Records			
Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the carried out by (or on beh	he business (not using	a STB)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of trail		, u 015).	
Mortality Records			
Mortality records available for inspection?			
2. How are mortalities disposed of?			
If other detail:			
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?			
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		6 (0.8%) o	f 719 fish lost sin
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?		0 (0.070) 0	17 19 IISH IOSESIN
••	noility/roacon:		
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per fa	acility/reason.		
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?			
If yes, detail:			
Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been repor	ted to vet or FHI?		
If yes, detail action:			
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, e	nter details on mortal	ty events sheet.	


HI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records I. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: f other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? f other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records I. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal,	recording and safe disposal beer	n considered?
B. Has the manner and period in which the APB will not been included?	tify Scottish Ministers or veterinar	y professional of any <i>increased</i>
I. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	presence or suspicion of the pre-	sence of a listed disease is de
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being s	stocked on the farm site been cov	ered (equal or higher health st
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures imple movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fis	•	ogical unit to minimise transmi
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures i B. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately im f no, detail:		containment of aquaculture ani

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between: 03/10/2019 - 3





FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0452		Site No:	FS0388		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/10/2020		No of m	No of movements/supp./dest. Score			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	۵	18	26	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
N							
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	3
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through		<u> </u>			
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III	<u>'</u>				
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V		,			
	tarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent state	us n from Category III farm	4				
		r from Category ∀ farm	8				
			10]			
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		7.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
	IVee			1			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		12
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2020-0452	Site	No: FS0388					
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only							
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem	s in the previous 4 years?						
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a si	ngle year class basis?					
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and							
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?							
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)						
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoG	GP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)					
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in	the CoGP during the period that					
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sa	Imonis) numbers per fish been at a level of	f 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or					
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comme	ent.					
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	n is considered to cause significant welfare	problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)					
10. Have therapeutic treatments been admir suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i>							
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?						
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	ns taken had a significant impact upon the	lice levels recorded?					
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	d out in cooperation between participating f	arms?					
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site	, where fewer populations or part population	ns are held without treatment for					
15. Is there a site specific written lice manag	•						
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please d	etail reasons.					
Containment Inspection							
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	age due to predators in the current or previous	ous production cycles?					
Are measures in place to mitigate against	-						
Rent O' kill	the predation experienced on site: (Detail	below)					
If other, detail below:							
in outer, detail below.							
Have escape incidents or events been ex	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site sir	nce the last FHI inspection?					
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk	•						
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min	· · · ·						
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB f		37, 5.4.17)					
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO ar							
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail						
If gill nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish intersets and wee	e normission given by Scottish					
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)							
9. What action was taken to prevent and mir		ered in code but could					
be considered under satisfactory measurements							
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detai	reason(s)					

Site No: FS0388

Case No: 2020-0452

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2020-0452			Date of visit:	19/10/2020			
Site No:	FS0388			lnon o etor:				
Site No.	F30300	ı		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
ŕ	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary			I	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
UNI, ECI, CNI	30/10/2020		2 11100					
Case Comp.	24/11/2020							
-	-							





Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd Crowness Road Hatston Kirkwall, Orkney KW15 1RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095
 DATE OF VISIT 19/10/2020

 SITE NO
 FS0388
 SITE NAME
 Coutts Mill

 INSPECTOR
 CASE NO
 20200452

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, without prior notification, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The status of the site was changed to inactive in 2016 and it was removed from the list of sites Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd were authorised to operate at. An application to amend the authorisation and to re-activate the site prior to the reintroduction of fish in 2019 was not submitted. An application to amend the authorisation issued under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 must be completed and submitted to the Fish Health Inspectorate immediately.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.







Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd Crowness Road Hatston Kirkwall, Orkney KW15 1RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095
 DATE OF VISIT
 19/10/2020

 SITE NO
 FS0388
 SITE NAME
 Coutts Mill

 INSPECTOR
 CASE NO
 20200452

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for immediate implementation. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 24/11/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0454			Date of visit: 22/10/2020
Time spent on site:	Ά	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0209 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Scallastle Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 PSI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-35
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

PSI carried out in response to a welfare complaint received by APHA and passed onto FHI. The site has been fallow as of 19/10/2020, and so an inspection of the site itself was not carried out. Inspection of records carried out remotely with APHA vet also in meeting.

Recent increased mortality:

w/b 31/08/20 - 569 (1.16%), w/b 07/09/20 - 437 (1.04%), w/b 21/09/20 - 529 (2.25%), w/b 28/09/20 - 194 (1.17%), w/b 05/10/20 - 215 (1.87%), w/b 12/10/20 - 55 (1.2%). Attributed to a combination of CMS, gill issues and seal damage. Low numbers of fish remaining on site also contributed to the higher % mortality. All instances had been reported to the FHI.

Throughout the production cycle weekly mortality was generally low (0.1% - 0.2%). There were weeks were mortality rose up to 0.5%, this occurred following physical treatments, in each case mortality fell to normal levels the subsequent week.

Average adult female lice numbers rose above 1 from week 35 2019 and fluctuated around this level until the end of 2019. A combination of physical and medicinal treatments (Slice, salmosan, H2O2) managed the lice numbers. Numbers did not exceed the reporting level of 2. No further medicinal treatments were carried out in 2020.

CMS first detected on site from histology samples taken on 12/02/2020, confirmed by pcr on 20/02/2020.

Algal blooms affected site during August and September 2020, mitigated against by providing oxygen during and after physical treatments for lice.

Seal activity increased around site during September and October 2020. Net tension was increased at all stocked cages and dive inspections increased to twice weekly. No equipment damage was found during dive inspections. Site also utilises A.D.D. system.

Cleaner fish stocked on site during production cycle - Lumpfish (farmed) and Wrasse (farmed and wild caught)

FHI 059, Versio	on 13		Issued	by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0454]	Site No:	FS0209	Ð		
Date of case:	22/10/2020]		Inspector(s):		1
Registration/A	Authorisation D	etails					
Business/site c	ontacts correct?	(if no update si	te summary shee	et)			Y
Site Details							
Total No faciliti		16	No facilities sto	cked		O .	
Species	Fallow						
Age group No Fish							
Mean Fish Wt							
Next Fallow Da	te (Site)	Currently fallow	V	Next Input Dat	e (Site)	March 2021	
	, ,				c (Oile)	Waren 2021	
Date of last ins	pection: (ECI or	PSI)	15/08/2018				
Mortality Infor	mation						
	ncreased or atyp	ical mortalities?	(last 4 weeks).				Y
If yes, detail:				additional comr	nents		
•	ed mortalities? (s	•	tion.)				Y
•	See additional				•		
3. How are mo	rtalities disposed	d of?			Incinerated - o	n site	
ii otilei detaii.							
Treatments ar	nd Medicines						_
1. Any treatment	nts? (since last i	nspection)				_	Y
If yes, detail:	Slice		Salmosan		H2O2		T.M.S.
Other:							
Health status	and Results of	Surveillance					
1. Any disease	on site? (since I	ast inspection)					Y
	CMS						
•		illance been car	ried out by, or or	behalf of, the l	business?		Y
Any significa							Y
If yes, detail	CMS detected	February 2020					
Sea lice							
	experienced sea	a lice problems i	n the previous 4	vears?			N
If yes, detail:	experienced co.	a nee presiente i	Trano proviodo 1	youro.			
•	els staved belov	v the suggested	criteria for treatr	nent in the CoC	SP since the last	inspection?	N
	See additional					ороошо	
			onis) numbers pe	er fish been at a	a level of 3 or ab	ove (prior to w/b	N
	ć	•	the last inspecti			.,	
4. If yes to 3, ha	ave these been	reported to the F	Fish Health Inspe	ectorate? If no,	see comment.		N/A
•		•	sents relevant to				Y
If yes, detail:	Slice		Salmosan		Alphamax		
•	eutic treatments	or the actions to	aken had a signif	icant impact up	on the lice level	s recorded?	Y
If no, detail:							
			ment agreement	or statement re	elevant to the sit	e and CoGP	Y
	nent Area (or eq Farm Managem		uivalent) fallowe	d synchronous	ly on a single ve	ar class hasis?	Y
0. 10 110 0001	. am managem		arraiorit, Tallowe	a syrioriiotiousi	, on a onigio yo	a. diado badio:	

Escapes/Containment						
Any reported escapes? (check prior to phone call)						
2. Any escapes? (since last inspection)						
If yes, detail:						
(include date)						
Biosecurity Records						
1. Any significant changes to your biosecurity procedures since previous visit?	N					
If yes, detail:						

Case Number: 202	20-0454		Cito No	E0655			
			Site No:	FS0209		Insp:	
Date of Visit 22	2/10/2020		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
	quency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0		40	00	
·	npartment in mber of supp	cluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
					ļ		0
	-	ovements off	0			10	10
	mber of dest	Inations Site contacts	0		6-10	10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other Fare	m is protect	ed (secure water supply through	- 0 	1-5 	6-10		
	infection or b		0				
		or in a coastal zone with category I					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	-	or in a coastal zone with category V					
farn	ms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
-	/ processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		1
-	on farm prod	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	cessing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
Pro	cessina fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	_				
equ	uivalent statu	is .	4				
Pro	cessing fish	from Category III farm	8				
Pro	cessing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- Site	e's own wast	e only processed.	0]			0
Products	mmon proce	sses with other farms	3	1			
Coll	lection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds No	feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0]			0
•		teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	ı 2 or 3	≥ 4		
	es operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
Site	es sharing st	aff and equipment	0		2		0
Disinfection of equipment Yes	3		<u> </u>	<u>!</u>			0
between sites, use of			0				0
footbaths etc			1]			
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance Yes with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice			3				
Platform access to cages Yes	3			1			
No			2				0
INO				ı			
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0454			Date of visit:	22/10/2020			
Site No:	FS0209	1	Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1		-					
	_							
	+							
	+							
	 							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	 							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
PSI	27/10/2020							
	 							
	+							
	Ī							





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Site No FS0209 Inspector DATE OF CASE 22/10/2020 SITE NAME Scallastle CASE NO 20200454

Routine surveillance frequency assessment under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 27/10/2020

Fish Health Inspector

	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
		Date of visit: 26/10/2020
hour	Main Inspecto	or:
Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Lt	d
2 3	4 5	6
Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
fish present? d?	N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
out intended visit deta	il reason below:	
	Site Name: Business Name: Thermometer No: Region: ST fish present? d?	Site Name: Business Name: Thermometer No: Region: ST Water type: N If yes, see additional inform N N N N N N N N N N N N N

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023968 (MD20/085) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0455		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		26/10/2020	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	J
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked		No facilitie	s inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 Tonnes	~242						
No Fish		Tonnes						
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile						
Next Fallow Date (S		No fallow pla	anned	Next Input Da			nmer 2021 - I	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last insper		i iliapediloit.					15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	2				10/10/2020	N/A
4. Are movement red		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
								-
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• `		,				
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mary Califor Decords								
Mortality Records	-vailable for i							N/A
 Mortality records a How are mortalities 					Other (detail)			IN/A
		s fall to seabe	d		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Correctly one	none observ	red .				
5. Evidence of recen	` ,	typical morta		eu				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:				
900, 100	1110.10)	ias	on por many	103.55.1.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 22/10/2020 - 26/10/2020	

Case No:	2020-0455			Date of visit:	26/10/2020]			
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notification				
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Poport Summary									
Report Summary	Doto	Inon	and I.e. a.e.						
Case Type	Date 05/11/2020	Insp	2 nd Insp						
MOV	03/11/2020								
	Ī								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0530
 DATE OF VISIT
 26/10/2020

 SITE NO
 SS0759
 SITE NAME
 Fearna

 INSPECTOR
 CASE NO
 20200455

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/11/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0456			Date of visit: 27/10/2020
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	Main Inspector	r:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	1	N If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform N	nation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023970 (MD20/086) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0456		Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		27/10/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A	J
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked		No facilitie	s inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 Tonnes	~220						
No Fish		Tonnes						
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile						
Next Fallow Date (S		No fallow pla	anned	Next Input Da			nmer 2021 - I	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last insper		i irispection.					15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	2				10/10/2020	N/A
4. Are movement red		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •		,	•			
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mary Califor Decords								
Mortality Records	-vailable for i	nanaction?						N/A
 Mortality records a How are mortalities 					Other (detail)			IN/A
		s fall to seabe	4		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Correctly Crit	none observ	red .				
5. Evidence of recen	` ,	atypical morta		eu				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		//reason:				
900, 100	11101101117	idey	ion por initial	103.55.1.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		<u> </u>						
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
Troutin status, sortinoation in roquirou).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
,	
Records checked between: 26/10/2020 - 27/10/2020	

Case No:	2020-0456			Date of visit:	27/10/2020]		
Site No:	SS0759	l		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
Papart Summary				1				
Report Summary	Data	lnon	and L					
Case Type	Date 05/11/2020	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	03/11/2020							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 27/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200456

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/11/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0457			Date of visit: 28/10/2020
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farn	ns Ltd
Case Types: 1 MOV 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023972 (MD20/087) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/20)20
Case No:	2020-0457		Site No:	SS0759]				
Date of Visit:		28/10/2020	0		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Autho									
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by	site representa	ative?			N		
2. Changes made to	details?						N/A]	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis								
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked		No facilitie	s inspected	0	
Species	MED	MED							
Age group	2020	2019							
	~20 Tonnes								
No Fish		Tonnes							
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No fallow pla	anned	Next Input Da			mmer 2021 - r		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N	I/A
If yes, detail:									
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records computed. Are movement records computed for the following states of the fol	s available for ction: blete and corre cords available blete and corre ates for introd	ectly entered le for dead fis ectly entered ductions (out at by (or on be	l? sh and waste? l? with GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	,		15/10/2020	N N	Y J/A J/A J/A
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records a		•						N	I/A
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)				
If other detail:		s fall to seabe							
3. Mortality records of		correctly ent	tered?					N	I/A
4. Recent mortality (,		none observ	/ed					
5. Evidence of recer	it increased/a	itypical morta	ılities?					N	I/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	//reason:					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period c	hacked?					T N	J/A
If yes, detail:	1 mortality du	ning pendu d	neckeu:						//\
7. Have increased ((novalained)	mortalities by	can reported to	o yet or FHI2				N	J/A
If yes, detail action:	illexplained)	Hortanties be	en reported to	J Vet Of 1 Till:					1//~
8. Have 'mortality ev	rente' been re	ported to EH	12 If no enter	dataile on mort	tality avente ek	acot		N	I/A
o. Have Inditality ev	CHIS DECHIE	ported to 1 11	1: II IIO, CIIICI	ucialis on mon	iality everito or	ICCL.			,,,

Tuestusente en d'Madieira e Dananda		
Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records	_	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe dis	sposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers of	or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicior	n of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish		
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm sit	e been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each	n epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipmen	•	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the		
aquaculture animals held on site?	o priyotoar comaminent c	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the	e husiness?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
ii yoo, actaii (ii fiot actailea affaci feecift alocaec problemo).		
Records checked between: 27/10/2020 - 28	3/10/2020	

Case No:	2020-0457			Date of visit:	28/10/2020					
Site No:	SS0759	l		Inspector:		ı				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	Date of Notification					
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
	<u> </u>									
	 									
	 									
	 									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
MOV	05/11/2020		z irisp							
	00/11/2020									
	1									
	 									





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 28/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200457

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/11/2020
Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0458			Date of visit: 29/10/2020
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	Main Inspector	r:
Site No: SS0759 Business No: SB0530	Site Name: Business Name:	Fearna Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 MOV	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	1	N If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform If yes, see additional inform N	nation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Certificate INTRA.GB.2020.0023973 (MD20/088) issued for 18 bag (21.6 tonnes) of MED to Lenger Seafoods Ireland. Bags inspected at the shorebase.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0458]	Site No:	SS0759				
Date of Visit:		29/10/2020	ס		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to) details?						N/A	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		30	Facilities sto	ocked		No facilitie	s inspected	0
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2019						
	~20 Tonnes	~176						
No Fish		Tonnes						
Mean Fish Wt	Spat	Juvenile					2204	
Next Fallow Date (S		No fallow pla	anned	Next Input Da			mmer 2021 -	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	9							
Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							15/10/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?				10 0	N/A
4. Are movement re		•		?				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records				. , , ,	STD\0			
1. Are any movemen		• `		•	· ·			
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place i	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records				
Mortality Records								
Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)			
If other detail:		s fall to seabe	:d		· ·			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		none observ	ved				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	iring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:				FILIA				NI/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			·					NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	ported to FHI	i? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	leet.		N/A

Total Control of the Police Boards							
Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							
If yes, detail:							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?							
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							
Biosecurity Records							
Biosecurity records available for inspection?							
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease							
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of							
aquaculture animals held on site?							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?							
If no, detail:	on one						
Results of Surveillance							
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on be	half of the husiness?	N					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Tall of the same of						
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).							
ir you, actair (ir not actailed ander recent alsease problems).							
Records checked between: 27/10.	/2020 - 28/10/2020						
Tredoras checica between.	LOLO LOI IOI LOLO						

Case No:	2020-0458			Date of visit:	29/10/2020			
Site No:	SS0759	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
·	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
	1	-						
							-	
Report Summary			1	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MOV	05/11/2020		2 11150					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0530
 Date of Visit
 29/10/2020

 Site No
 SS0759
 Site Name
 Fearna

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200458

Inspection for placing on the market in the EU

In accordance with the Trade in Animals and Related Products (Scotland) Regulations 2012 and European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC, the above site was visited and a consignment of juvenile common mussels for placing on the market in the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination. The official authority in the importing country has been notified.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/11/2020
Fish Health Inspector