FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2020-0511			Date of visit: 17/11/2020					
Time spent on site:	3 hours	Main Insp	pector:					
Site No: FS0537 Business No: FB0007	Site Name: Business Name:	Invicta Trout Invicta Trout Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 6.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type: F	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detai	il reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Due to Covid-19 paperwork was completed on the 12/11/2020 and the physical inspection of the site took place one the 17/11/2020.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	led by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0511		Site No:	FS0537				
Date of Visit:		17/11/2020)		Inspector(s):]
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details								
Total No facilities		40	Facilities sto	ocked	30	No facilitie	es inspected	40
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR					
Age group	2020	2019	2018					
No Fish	608,810	55,405	12,000					
Mean Fish Wt	~1g	400g	800g					
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Nov 2020		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	leme?		N	Any escapes	(cince last	vicit\2	N
If yes, detail:	alsease probl	ems:			Arry escapes	(Sirice last	VISIL):	IN
Movement Records	5							
Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		i inspection.					12/11/2019	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	2				12/11/2010	Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certification		•		able?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst			•	•	_			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail))		
If other detail:	Collected by	y Oakbank an	d incinerated					
3. Mortality records of								Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		132 per wee	ek across the si	ite, ~1% in hat	tchery		
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a	atypical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	uring period c	hecked?					l N
If yes, detail:	Tilloriani, aa	illig period s.	iconcu.					
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, add N	IRT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	sheet.	N/A

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	Y
If yes, detail: Chloramine T, Florfenicol, Formalin, Oxytetracycline	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Formalin, Oxytetracycline	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ÿ
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included:	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
Treatity states, sertification in required).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Records checked between: 12/11/2019 - 12/11/2020	

	Case no:	2020-05	511	Site No:		FS0537			Date of		17/	11/2020	17/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD N	0.	1
٠	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5		ı	
ı	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	450g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	FW											
k Details		Troutlodge											
Stocks	Facility No	26											

	,									
11/2020	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 12	Issue	ed by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0511		Site No:	FS0537		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/11/2020		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equ	iivalent MS	0	5	10	14	14
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equ	ivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
	compartment including third country Number of suppliers		0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off		0	3	6	10	10
IVIOVEITIETIUS OII	Number of destinations		0	3	6	10	10
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected (secure water supp disinfection or borehole)	ly through	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone w farms upstream or within 1 tidal excur	sion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone w farms upstream or within 1 tidal excur	sion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone w farms upstream or within 1 tidal excur		1	4	8		Ш
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into	adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing		0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)		1				ш
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent	status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compart equivalent status	ment of	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	1	8				
	Processing fish from Category ∨ farm		10				
	Site's own waste only processed.		0				0
products	Common processes with other farms		3				ш
	Collection point for waste from other f	arms	5				ш
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed		0	i			0
·	Feeding unpasteurised feed		5				Н
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase)	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment		0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		40
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2020-0511		Site N	No:	FS0537					
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)									
1. Has the site experier	nced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years	?							
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	anagement Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed syr	chronously on a sin	ngle y	ear class basis?					
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?										
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm manage		atement relevant to	the s	ite and CoGP Farm					
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)							
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)										
7. Are sea lice (L. salme records are inspected?	•	ow the suggested crite	ria for treatment in t	he C	oGP during the period	that				
8. Have average adult f 2 or above (from w/b 10	•			3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/	/6/19) or				
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If r	no, FHI see commer	nt.						
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfare	probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	5.3.50)				
10. Have therapeutic tro suggested criteria for tr										
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where a	pplicable)?								
12. Have therapeutic tre	•		nt impact upon the li	ice le	evels recorded?					
•		_								
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?										
15. Is there a site speci scenarios during the es			aypoints describing	set a	actions to deal with red	cognised				
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please de	etail r	reasons.					
Containment Inspection	on									
1. Has the site experier	nced equipment damag	ge due to predators in t	he current or previo	us pr	roduction cycles?	N				
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against t	he predation experienc	ed on site? (Detail b	pelow	v)	Υ				
Electric Fence	lines overhead									
If other, detail below:										
3. Have escape incider	nts or events been exp	erienced on or in the v	cinity of the site sin	ce th	e last FHI inspection?	N				
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10								
4. Have these been rep	orted to Scottish Minis	ters?								
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exi	st)? (CoGP - 4.4.3	7, 5.4	4.17)					
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts f	orthwith (where they	exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)				
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give deta	il							
9. If all pote were deale	wod was this setion as	arood with loost wild fiel	interests and was	norm	piecion divon by Castli	sh				
If gill nets were deploy Ministers? (Legal, CoG		greed with local wild fish	i interests and was	perm	iission given by Scotti	511				
9. What action was take	· ·	mise the risk of further	escapes? (Not cove	ered i	in code but could					
be considered under										
10. Is the site inspected	•	•	If no, please detail	reas	on(s)	Υ				
			.,		()					

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

Site No: FS0537

Case No: 2020-0511

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Site No: Inspector:	Case No:	2020-0511			Date of visit:	17/11/2020							
Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification	230 110.				Date of visit.	777172020							
Results Summary Freq. Data of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp Insp Insp Insp	Site No:	FS0537			Inspector:								
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp	Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification								
			Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
		_											
		+											
		+											
		1											
		_	-						-				
Report Summary	Report Summary												
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp	Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1								
	ECI, CNI, VMD	14/07/2021			1								
					1								
		4											
		+											
		+		_									
		+											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0007 DATE OF VISIT 17/11/2020
SITE NO FS0537 SITE NAME Invicta Trout
CASE NO 20200511 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 14/07/2021