FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0059			Date of visit: 18/02/2020
Time spent on site:	Hrs	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: FS0183 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	South Sound Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.9	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-8a
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Visibility poor at time of inspection due to wind and swell which were increasing throughout the inspection. Fish were deeper in the water, could be observed on camera system shoaling well. 2 dead observed across site. Two fish removed for VMD appeared in very good condition.

Lumpfish were stocked in Decemeber 2019, these did not appear to have had an impact on adult Lep numbers. Site had planned a physical treatment using the hydrolicer, however this was delayed due to weather and demand for the hydrolicer elsewhere. Numbers have been elevated since beginning of November 2019, recent hydrolicer treatment conducted 29 & 30/01/20, this has reduced sea lice counts but still above the reporting levels.

Fish showed good feeding response.

Mortalities are now disposed of to Pelagia rather than TWMA, this is the same for all SSF sites in Shetland, small volumes will go to Waste to Energy plant in Gremista.

No bath treatments conducted for sea lice this cycle. 4 SLICE treatments and physical treaments.

Lumpfish mortality ~2% per week

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0059		Site No:	FS0183				
Date of Visit:		18/02/2020			Inspector(s):			]
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details								
Total No facilities		11	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	11
Species	SAL	LUM						
Age group	2018 S0	2019						
No Fish	214,167	23,770						
Mean Fish Wt	4.352 kg	~60g						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	April 2020		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Septembe	r 2020	
					1.	,		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		I N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	s							
1. Movement record	s available for	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		·					01/06/2017	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement re				•				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered	?					Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys				•	_			Y
Mortality Records	·		·					
Mortality records :	available for in	enection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Pelagia (Bre		neal		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records								Y
o. mortality records	oompioto una	correctly crit		0.04%) for site,	27/01 - 1 204	(0.56%)for	site (treatme	nt) 03/02 -
				%)treatment an				
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		treatment	,		,	(,	
5. Evidence of recer	•	tvpical morta						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,					
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:				01483 to MRT	01486			
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	sheet.	Y

2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records  1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  If no, detail:	Y
Results of Surveillance	
<ol> <li>Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?</li> <li>If yes, are results available for inspection?</li> </ol>	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
,, (	
Records checked between: June 2017 to 18/02/20	

	11 055, VEISION 12							133	ded by. I				
	Case no:	2020-00	)59	Site No:		FS0183	,		Date of v		18/	02/2020	18/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI		l	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:3	0:00	15:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	13
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	4 kg	4 kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
sis													
Details		×	쏬										
N D	L	Knock	Knock										
Stock	Stock Origin		호										
S	Facility No	12	13										

02/2020	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
	2/2020 Additional Sample Information:													
0	]	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1								

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0059		Site No:	FS0183		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/02/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible		novements on from equivalent zone or					
species		ncluding third country	0		18	26	0
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	4				0
	-	from Category III farm	8	1			0
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products		esses with other farms	3				0
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator	lv			1			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0059		S	Site No:	FS0183		
Sea Lice Inspection (	• •	s in the previous 4 years	s?				Υ
•	•	equivalent) fallowed syn		a single ye	ear class basis?		Υ
3. Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema can these be deployed	access to a range of licamectin benzoate) as in a reasonable perio	enced in-feed and bath well as access to suitab d of time?	sea lice medica ble biological an	ations (inc nd/or mech	cluding deltamethrin, hanical control measu	ures, and	Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or		ement agreement or sta	atement relevan	nt to the si	ite and CoGP Farm		Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	spection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the 0	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?		low the suggested criter	ria for treatment	t in the Co	oGP during the period	I that	N
_		<i>monis</i> ) numbers per fisl od that records are insp		el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or	Y
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish I	Health Inspectorate? If n	no, FHI see com	nment.			Υ
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welf	are proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, §	5.3.50)	N
		istered or other actions in elongatus is considered					Υ
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where	applicable)?					Υ
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significar	nt impact upon t	the lice le	vels recorded?		Υ
•		d out in cooperation betw					Υ
		where fewer population		_		nt for	Y
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es		ement procedure with wiinfestation?	aypoints descri	bing set a	ections to deal with re-	cognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no pleas	se detail re	easons.		Υ
Containment Inspecti	on						
1. Has the site experier	nced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the	he current or pr	evious pro	oduction cycles?		N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against	the predation experience	ed on site? (De	tail below	·)		Υ
Top Nets, Tensioned	l Nets, M.M.L., ADD	's, Sapphire Seal Pro	nets				
If other, detail below:							
3 Have escape incide	nts or events been ex	perienced on or in the vi	icinity of the site	since the	e last FHI inspection?	,	N
If Yes proceed with que			carriery of the sate	o onice an	c last i il ilispection:		
4. Have these been rep		•				ı	
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB f	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP - 4	.4.37, 5.4	.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where	they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If gill nets were deplo	oyed was this action a	greed with local wild fish	n interests and	was perm	ission given by Scotti	ish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG				,			
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and min	imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not	covered in	n code but could		
be considered under	r satisfactory measu	res of the Act)					
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with i	regards to containment?	If no, please de	etail reaso	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0059	Site No: FS0183	
Date of Visit: 18/02/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
<ul> <li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available for it</li> <li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance</li> <li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fat</li> <li>6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of</li> <li>7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of</li> </ul>	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or statemer f review?	Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	gement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimifarm?	um health standards for the stocks to be introdu	uced to the area or Y
<ol> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccin</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the speci</li> </ol>	nation requirements for stocks held in the area on ies of fish which may be stocked into the area on mum stocking density of any pen on any farm in	r farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any de arm?	ead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	rs and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms covere	ed by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available tr arms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circulused on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls and cl farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or rengements for the movement of live fish on and o	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by v		ow and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brook covered by the agreement or statement?	dstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manageme	ent Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	t include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope	erated in accordance with the agreement or	statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of t	the FMAg/S? 28/07/2017	

Site No: FS0183

Case No: 2020-0059

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0059	Date of visit: 18/02/2020									
Site No:	FS0183	l	Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
Report Summany	1			1							
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inch	and I								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD		Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, CIVI, SLI, VIVID	11/05/2020										
	+										
	<del> </del>										
	+										
	+										
	+										





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS0183 INSPECTOR 
 DATE OF VISIT
 18/02/2020

 SITE NAME
 South Sound

 CASE NO
 20200059

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 11/05/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter">www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter</a>